

BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN

Office of the Borough Manager

<u>MAYOR</u> Yaniv Aronson

BOROUGH COUNCIL Tina Sokolowski, President Kathleen Kingsley, Vice-President Anita Barton, Senior Member Alan Chmielewski, Member Stacy Ellam, Member Ralph Frey, Member Adrian Serna, Member

Stephanie Cecco Borough Manager

FEBRUARY 13, 2025 PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING PACKET

Prelim-Final Minor Subdivision and Waiver of LD Application for the Italian Bakery Prelim-Final Minor Subdivision and LD Application for 440 E 9th Ave

Page 2 Page 132

APPLICATION FOR SUBDIVISION/ LAND DEVELOPMENT

To be completed by the Borough:				
Submission Information: File Number: LD-2024-08 Project Title: CONSADAUKEN ITUlian Bakery Received By: B. ROGLIS	File Date: 12/13/24 Date Complete: 12/20/24 90 Day Date: WhiVid			
REQUIRED MATERIALS FOR ALL LAND DEVELOPMENT/SUBDIVISION APPLICATIONS				
1 This form MUST be completed and submitted with the Boro	ugh's Land Dovelopment /Subdivision application			

••	this form moor be completed and sobilitied with the berough's Land Development/obbattision application.
2.	A Land Development/Subdivision Application MUST include all of the items listed in the application checklist
	to be considered complete.
	Incomplete applications will NOT be placed on a Planning Commission agenda. Incomplete applications will be returned to the applicant.

3. Complete applications must be received at least 38 DAYS (see schedule) prior to the Planning Commission meeting at which it will be heard.

It is highly encouraged to submit applications in a digital format.

4. One (1) digital copy plus seven (7) paper copies of the complete application are required if submitting digitally, or fifteen (15) paper copies of the complete application are required.

Applicant Information:	Property Owner Information (if different):
Name: LaFamiglia I, LP	Name:
Address: 79 Jones Street	Address:
Conshohocken, PA	
Phone: 610-304-0290	Phone:
Fax:	Fax:
E-Mail*: <u>breadboys@aol.com tina@conshybakery.com</u>	E-Mail*:
Architect/Planner:	
Address:	
E-mail*:	Phone/Fax:
Engineer/Surveyor: Jack W. Shoemaker	
Address: 4383 Hecktown Road, Suite B, Bethlehem, P	A 18020
E-mail*:mmaltese@firstorderllc.net, jshoemaker@firstorderllc.net	Phone/Fax: 610-365-2907
Landscape Architect:	
Address:	
E-mail*:	Phone/Fax:
Attorney:Judith P. Rodden, Esquire - Pozzuolo Rodder	Pozzuolo. P.C.
Address: 2033 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, PA	
E-mail*: judy@pozzuolo.com	Phone/Fax: 215-977-8202/215-977-9663

*All correspondence regarding this application from the Planning Commission and staff will be made via e-mail. All persons involved with this application should provide their e-mail addresses so that information including, but not limited to, meeting dates and plan reviews replaces revisions here, is distributed appropriately.

Application For: (See Section 22-305.A or the bottom of page 10 of the application packet	
tor clarification)	X Minor Subdivision
Preliminary Major Land Development	Preliminary Major Subdivision
Eingl Major Land Development	Final Major Subdivision
Project Information:	
Location (Street Address): 603, 607, 613 Spring Mill Avenue, 79 & 83 Jones	s Street
Tax Assessment Parcel No.05-00-06056-00-9 Tax Assessment Parcel No.05-00-06056-00-9 County Deed Book No.	771 Page No. 2175
Description of Proposed Work: <u>Consolidate PIDs 05-00-09756-00-4, 05-00-00</u>	<u>6056-00-5, 05-00-06056-00-9</u>
	09768-00-1 and 05-00-06056-00-9
Total Tract Acreage: 0.5291 Project Acreage 0.5291	
Zoning District BR-2 Existing Number of Lots: 5 Pro	pposed Number of Lots: 3
Proposed Land Use: Single-Family Detached X Single-Family Semi-D	DetachedMulti-Family
Other (Describe): <u>Italian Bakery</u>	
Existing Sewer Flows: Proposed Sewer Fl	ows:
deemed complete. These requirements are listed on information sheets provided at the package. If the required plans listed below do not have sufficient information to allow may be considered incomplete and returned, requesting additional information.	ne end of this application for staff reviews, the application
Record Plan Landscap	pe Plan
Existing Features Site Plan (sealed	by a Landscape Architect)
Grading Plan Demolitie	on Plan
Erosion and Sediment Control Plan	Detail Sheets
Circulation Plan Major Post Cor	nody (II applicable)
Stormwater Calculations Mo	anagement Plan
Check List - Proof of ownership and zoning relief:Utility Pla	an
Proof of equitable ownership or interest in the property - copy of the	e deed to the subject property
Copy of adjudication of Zoning Hearing Board related to the applica	ition
Check List - Color Photographs of Site and Existing Conditions:	
Streetscape in all directions, showing subject property in each	
Façade and secondary elevations of existing building(s) on site	
Sidewalk and curb conditions	
Street trees	
Alley conditions, if present	
Check List - Building Elevations:	
Architectural drawings and renderings of proposed building(s)	
Check List - Setback of Proposed Building(s):	
Established building line for the block on which the property is located (In plan, show setbacks of all existing buildings on same side of the s	d (eg: scale off an aerial) treet as project for entire block.)

Section/Requirement:	Relief Requested:		
	-		
ave you met with the Zoning Officer regarding this	s plan?	X Yes	No
re there known variances/any zoning relief necessar	ry for this project?*	x Yes	No
YES, have you submitted an application for the Zonin	ng Hearing Board?	Yes	X No
as this plan been reviewed by the Zoning Hearing	Board?	Yes	X_No
ne undersigned represents that to the best of his/he prect and complete.	r knowledge and belief, all th	ne above statemen	ts are true,
ignature of Applicant	Signature of Property C	wner (if not the same	as applica
ate	Date		
ALL MAJOR subdivision/land use applications require application submittal.	e a pre-submission meeting to	discuss the project p	rior to full
MINOR subdivision/land use applications may reques	st a pre-submission meeting; if	one is desired.	
Meetings are held the second and fourth Tuesday of a the Borough Administrative Offices.	each month beginning at 1:30p	om at	
Applicants assume responsibility of any fees associat	ed with this meeting.		
Michael Domene 12-13-2024	1		
Applicant signature date			
To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact	t the office of the Borough Ma	nager	
e: landuse@conshohockenpa.gov			
Borough Lise Only.			
Borough Use Only:	Check No.		
Borough Use Only: Filing Fee Amount \$ Pre-Construction Professional Services Escrow Amount \$	Check No. Check No.		
Borough Use Only: Filing Fee Amount \$ Pre-Construction Professional Services Escrow Amount \$ Decision Information:	Check No.		
Borough Use Only: Filing Fee Amount \$ Pre-Construction Professional Services Escrow Amount \$ Decision Information: Approval Denial	Check No Check No		
Borough Use Only: Filing Fee Amount \$ Pre-Construction Professional Services Escrow Amount \$ Decision Information: Approval Denial ments/Conditions:	Check No Check No Decision Date:		

Planning Process Extension Agreement

The Pennsylvania Municipality Planning Code (MPC) and the Conshohocken Borough Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance state that action must be taken by the Borough within ninety (90) days after a complete application is filed with the Borough. In the Borough, larger and complicated projects have historically required additional time in order to complete a thorough review before being considered for approval. As such, an applicant may voluntarily waive the timing requirement at any time, but is encouraged to submit this waiver with the completed application.

I, the applicant, hereby voluntarily waive the timing requirement as set forth in the MPC (Section 509) and the Conshohocken Borough Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance (Section 22-308).

Applicant signature 12-13-2024 Brithany Ray 12/14/24 Particular 12/14/24 Received by (Borou

12/14/24

ESCROW AGREEMENT FOR PROFESSIONAL REVIEW FEES

SUBDIVISION/LAND DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS

The undersigned hereby agrees to post an escrow to cover the costs of the review of subdivision and land development applications by the Borough Planner, Engineer, and Solicitor. The amount of said escrow shall be according to the attached "Schedule of Fees" and shall be posted at the time of initial submission of an application to the Borough. Said fees shall be placed in an escrow account and any balance remaining shall be returned to the applicant subsequent to the receipt of final approval.

The applicant is advised that the "Schedule of Fees" represents only an estimate of the costs associated with plan review. The completeness and quality of the submission, the complexity of the project, the number of revisions and other factors may cause costs to exceed the established escrow amounts. If during the course of a subdivision/land development review an escrow amount falls to 10% of the original escrow amount or \$250, whichever is greater, the Borough may require the posting of additional escrow.

NOTE: NO FINAL APPROVALS, CONSTRUCTION, BUILDING OR OCCUPANCY PERMITS SHALL BE ISSUED UNTIL ALL OUTSTANDING PROFESSIONAL REVIEW FEES HAVE BEEN SATISFIED.

Signed Mechael Sambal Date: 12-13-2024

ESCROW AGREEMENT FOR PROFESSIONAL REVIEW FEES

PRE-SUBMISSION MEETING

The undersigned hereby agrees to post an escrow to cover the costs of the review of subdivision and land development applications by the Borough Planner, Engineer, and Solicitor. The amount of said escrow shall be according to the attached "Schedule of Fees" and shall be posted at the time of initial submission of an application to the Borough. Said fees shall be placed in an escrow account and any balance remaining shall be returned to the applicant subsequent to the receipt of final approval.

The applicant is advised that the "Schedule of Fees" represents only an estimate of the costs associated with plan review. The completeness and quality of the submission, the complexity of the project, the number of revisions and other factors may cause costs to exceed the established escrow amounts. If during the course of a subdivision/land development review an escrow amount falls to 10% of the original escrow amount or \$250, whichever is greater, the Borough may require the posting of additional escrow.

NOTE: NO FINAL APPROVALS, CONSTRUCTION, BUILDING OR OCCUPANCY PERMITS SHALL BE ISSUED UNTIL ALL OUTSTANDING PROFESSIONAL REVIEW FEES HAVE BEEN SATISFIED.

Signed Michael Ambre Date: 12-13-2024

RECORDER OF DEEDS MONTGOMERY COUNTY Nancy J. Becker		DEED BK 5771 PG INSTRUMENT # : 20 RECORDED DATE:	02175 to 02187 010053816 06/30/2010 09:14:31 AM
One Montgomery Plaza Swede and Airy Streets ~ Suite 303 P.O. Box 311 ~ Norristown, PA 19404 Office: (610) 278-3289 ~ Fax: (610) 278-3869		MONTGOME	RY COUNTY ROD
Descurrent Trusse Desci	OFFICIAL REC		Page 1 of 13
Document Type: Deed Document Date: 06/24/2010		Document Page Count:	1284659 - 3 DOC(S)
Reference Info:		Operator Id:	sford
RETURN TO: (Pickup)		SUBMITTED BY:	
	ų	545	
		05.00 00.750 00.9	05 00 00756 00 4
Address: 440 E HECTOR ST		545 SPRING MILL AVE	603 SPRING MILL AVE
PA	. () [*] .).	PA	PA
Municipality: Conshohocken Bore	ugh (100%)	©onshohocken Borough	Conshohocken Borough
	C MAN	(0%)	(0%)
School District: Colonial		Colonial	Colonial
ASSOCIATED DOCUMENT(S):		1	
CONSIDERATION/SECURED AMT:	\$1,690,000.00	DEED BK 5771 PG 02175 t	0 02187
	, siller	Recorded Date: 06/30/2010	0 09:14:31 AM
FEES / TAXES:	¢°		
Recording Fee:Deed	\$65.00		hat www.margare
Additional Pages Fee	\$16.00	this document in	indi
	\$66.50	recorded in the	
Additional Names Fee	\$1.00 \$10.00	Recorder of Deade	
Affordable Housing Pages	\$16.00 \$1.00	Office in Montagme	
Altoroable Housing Names	\$1.00 \$2.50	County Pennsylvan	ia MERV COUNTIN
Anoruable nousing Parcels State PTT	୬୦.୦୦ ⊈16.000.00		and a second sec
Conshohacken Baraugh PTT	\$10,900.00 \$8.450.00		1000
Colonial School District RTT	\$8,450.00 \$8,450.00		Nous Backer
	φ0, 4 00.00		
Total:	\$33,969.00		Nancy J. Becker
		1	Recorder of Deeds

PLEASE DO NOT DETACH THIS PAGE IS NOW PART OF THIS LEGAL DOCUMENT

NOTE: If document data differs from cover sheet, document data always supersedes. *COVER PAGE DOES NOT INCLUDE ALL DATA, PLEASE SEE INDEX AND DOCUMENT FOR ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. (1)

Prepared by: Judith P. Rodden, Esquire Pozzuolo Rodden, P.C. 2033 Walnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19103	RECORDER OF DEEDS MONTGOMERY COUNTY 2010 JUN 30 AM 8: 50
Record and return to: Fidelity Abstract Agency 1415 Route 70 East Suite LL5 Cherry Hill, NJ 08034	
Parcels: 05-00-06056-005 05-00-06052-009 05-00-05456-002 05-00-09752-008 05-00-09764-005 05-00-09764-005 05-00-09768-001 05-00-09776-002	MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS REGISTRY 05-00-06056-00-5 CONSHOHOCKEN 79 JONES ST MANZE FRANK & DOMENICO GAMBONE \$10.00 B 041 U 031 L 3321 DATE: 06/30/2010 JO MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS REGISTRY 05-00-06052-00-9 CONSHOHOCKEN 83 JONES ST MANZE FRANK F & DOMENIC GAMBONE \$10.00 B 041 U 030 L 3321 DATE: 06/30/2010 JO
Frank Manze, a/k/a Frank F. Manze and Domenico Gambone, a/k/a Dominic C to	e, a/k/a Frank Mause Bambone, Co- partners
LAFAMIGLIA I, L A Pennsylvania limited particular	P., artnership

RECEIVED JUN 3 0 2010

MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS REGI 05-00-05456-00-2 CONSHOHOCKEN 440 E HECTOR ST GAMBONE DOMENICO & MANZE FRANK B 023 U 025 L 1101 DATE: 06/30/2010	STRY \$10.00 JO	MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS REGI 05-00-09764-00-5 CONSHOHOCKEN 607 SPRING MILL AVE GAMBONE DOMENICO & MAUSE FRANK B 041 U 027 L 1101 DATE SOUTH	STRY \$10.00
		0 327 E 1101 DATE: 06/30/2010	JO
MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS F 05-00-09752-00-8 CONSHOHOCKEN 545 SPRING MILL AVE GAMBONE DOMENICO & MANZE FRANK B 038 U 013 L 4100 DATE: 06/30/2010	REGISTRY \$10.00 JO	MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS RE(05-00-09768-00-1 CONSHOHOCKEN 613 SPRING MILL AVE GAMBONE DOMINIC & MANZE FRANK CO-P B 041 U 026 L 1101 DATE: 06/30/2010	3ISTRY \$10.00
MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS F 05-00-09756-00-4 CONSHOHOCKEN 603 SPRING MILL AVE GAMBONE DOMINIC & FRANK MANZE B 041 U 029 L 1101 DATE: 06/30/2010	REGISTRY \$10.00 JO	MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS REGI 05-00-09776-00-2 CONSHOHOCKEN 617 SPRING MILL AVE GAMBONE DOMENICO & MANZE FRANK B 041 U 024 L 1101 DATE: 06/30/2010	30 STRY \$10.00 JO

DEED

THIS INDENTURE made this 24th day of June, 2010.

BETWEEN

FRANK MANZE, a/k/a FRANK F. MANZE, a/k/a FRANK MAUSE AND DOMENICO GAMBONE, a/k/a DOMINIC GAMBONE, Co-Partners, each being a 50% partner of that certain Frank Manze and Domenico Gambone Partnership, a Pennsylvania General Partnership (hereinafter referred to as "Grantors") of the one part; and

LAFAMIGLIA I, L.P., a Pennsylvania Limited Partnership (hereinafter referred to as "Grantee") of the other part,

WITNESSETH that the said Grantor for and in consideration of the sum of One Million six Hundred and Ninety Thousand (\$1,690,000.00) Dollars, lawful money of the United States of America, unto the said Grantors well and truly paid by the said Grantee, at or before the sealing and delivery hereof, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, have granted, bargained and sold, released and confirmed, and by these presents do grant, bargain and sell, release and confirm unto the Grantee, its successors and assigns.

ALL THAT CERTAIN lots or pieces of ground with the buildings and improvements thereon erected,

BEING KNOWN as 79 Jones Street, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and being Tax Parcel No. 05-00-06056-005;

BEING KNOWN AS 83 Jones Street, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and being Tax Parcel No.: 05-00-06052-009;

BEING KNOWN AS 440 Hector Street, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and being Tax Parcel No.: 05-00-05456-002;

BEING KNOWN AS 545 Spring Mill Avenue, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and being Tax Parcel No.: 05-00-09752-008;

BEING KNOWN AS 603 Spring Mill Avenue, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and being Tax Parcel No.: 05-00-09756-004

BEING KNOWN AS 607 Spring Mill Avenue, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and being Tax Parcel No.: 05-00-09764-005

BEING KNOWN AS 613 Spring Mill Avenue, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and being Tax Parcel No.: 05-00-09768-001

BEING KNOWN AS 617 Spring Mill Avenue, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and being Tax Parcel No.: 05-00-09776-002

And more particularly described by the Legal Descriptions for each Parcel which are attached as <u>Exhibit "A"</u> hereto and made a part hereof.

TOGETHER, with all singular the improvements, ways, streets, alleys, driveways, passages, waters, water-courses, rights, liberties, privileges, hereditaments and appurtenances, whatsoever unto the hereby granted premise belonging, or in any wise appertaining, and the reversions and remainders, rents, issues, and profits thereof; and all the estate, right, title, interest, property, claim and demand whatsoever of Grantors, as well at law as in equity, of, in, and to the same.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the lots or pieces of ground described above with the messuage or tenement thereon erected hereditaments and premises hereby granted, or mentioned and intended so to be, with the appurtenances, unto Grantee, and its successors and assigns, to and for the only purpose use and behoof of Grantee, and its successors and assigns, forever.

AND the Grantors, and their heirs, executors and administrators and assigns does, by these presents, covenant, grant and agree, to and with the said Grantee, and its successors and assigns, by these presents, that the said Grantors, their heirs, executors and administrators and assigns, all and singular the hereditaments and premises herein described and granted, or mentioned and intended so to be, with the appurtenances unto the said Grantee, and its successors and assigns, against them, the said Grantors and their heirs, executors, administrators and assigns, and against all and every person and persons whomsoever lawfully claiming or to claim the same or any part thereof, by, from or under him, her, them or any of them, shall and will

WARRANT and forever DEFEND

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties of the first part have hereunto set their hand and seal, dated the day and year first above written.

SEALED AND DELIVERED IN THE PRESENCE OF US:

e Mana

FRANK MANZE, a/k/a FRANK F. MANZE a/k/a FRANK MAUSE

DOMENICO GAMBONE a/k/a DOMINIC GAMBONE

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA COUNTY OF Philaduphia

On this the <u>1</u> day of June, 2010, before me, a Notary Public for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the undersigned officer, personally appeared FRANK MANZE, a/k/a FRANK F. MANZE, a/k/a FRANK MAUSE, known to me (satisfactorily proven) to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged that he executed the same for the purposes therein contained.

: SS:

MMONWEALTH

NOTARIAL

38

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and official seal.

TERRY L. ROOT - NOTARY PUBLIC LANSDALE BORO., MONTGOMERY COUNTY

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES NOV. 21, 2011

OF PENNSYLVANIA

SEAL

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA COUNTY OF DIA 16 AUNTLA

On this the 24^{\prime} day of June, 2010, before me, a Notary Public for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the undersigned officer, personally appeared **DOMENICO GAMBONE** a/k/a **DOMINIC GAMBONE**, known to me (satisfactorily proven) to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged that he executed the same for the purposes therein contained.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and official seal.

TERRY L. ROOT - NOTARY PUBLIC LANSDALE BORO., MONTGOMERY COUNTY MY COMMISSION EXPIRES NOV. 21, 2011

The address of the within Grantee is: LaFamiglia I, L.P. 3111 Mill Road Norristown, PA 19402

On behalf of Grantes

PREMISE "A" LEGAL DESCRIPTION 79 JONES STREET, CONSHOHOCKEN, PA TAX PARCEL NO.: 05-00-06056-005

ALL THAT CERTAIN lot or piece of ground with the buildings and improvements thereon erected, situate in the Borough of Conshohocken. County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, bounded and described as follows, to wit:

BEGINNING at a point on the northwest side of Hector Street (fifty feet wide), at the distance of forty eight feet measured North eighty five degrees, East along said side of Hector Street, from the northeast side of Jones Street (fifty feet wide), a corner of land conveyed to Frank S. Borkowski and Marie P., his wife; thence along said land, the two following courses and distances: (1) North five degrees, West fifty two feet to a point; (2) South eighty five degrees West, forty eight feet to a point on the northeast side of Jones Street; thence along said side of Jones Street, North five degrees West, sixty eight feet to a point in line of land of John Schlenker; thence along the same, North eighty five degrees, East sixty feet to a point; thence South five degrees East, one hundred twenty feet to a point on the northwest side of Hector Street; thence along said side of Hector Street, South eighty five degrees West, twelve feet to the first mentioned point and place of beginning.

BEING KNOWN AS 79 Jones Street, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

BEING KNOWN AS Tax Parcel No. 05-00-06056-005.

BEING THE SAME PREMISES WHICH DiSanto Enterprises, Inc., a Pennsylvania corporation, by Deed dated February 8, 1983 and recorded in the Office for the Recording of Deeds in and for the County of Montgomery on February 15, 1983 in Deed Book 4701 page 2059, granted and conveyed unto Frank Manze and Domenico Gambone, in fee.

PREMISE "B" LEGAL DESCRIPTION 83 JONES STREET, CONSHOHOCKEN, PA TAX PARCEL NO.: 05-00-06052-009

ALL THAT CERTAIN lot or piece of land with the buildings thereon erected, situate in the Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, bounded and described as follows, to wit:

BEGINNING at a point on the easterly side of Jones Street, seventy one feet southeasterly from the southeasterly corner of said Jones Street and Spring Mill Avenue; thence extending North eighty one degrees, fifty six minutes East, forty two feet to a point; thence extending North eight degrees, four minutes West, seventy seven one-hundredths feet to a point, thence extending North eighty one degrees, fifty six minutes East. Eighteen feet to a point, being a corner of this land and a certain water course; thence extending along said water course, South eight degrees, four minutes East, forty nine and seventy seven one-hundredths feet to a point; thence extending South eighty one degrees, fifty six minutes West, sixty feet to the easterly side of Jones Street aforesaid; and thence extending along said side of said Jones Street, North eight degrees, four minutes West, forty nine feet to the place of beginning.

BEING KNOWN AS 83 Jones Street, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

BEING KNOWN AS Tax Parcel No. 05-00-06052-009.

BEING THE SAME PREMISES WHICH Kathryn B. Lee, Executrix of the Last Will and Testament of Cora L. Beisel, deceased by Deed dates December 1, 1977 and recorded on December 1, 1977 in the Office for the Recording of Deeds in and for the County of Montgomery in Deed Book 4262 page 188, granted and conveyed unto Frank F. Manze and Domenico Gambone, in fee.

PREMISE "C" LEGAL DESCRIPTION 440 HECTOR STREET, CONSHOHOCKEN, PA TAX PARCEL NO.: 05-00-05456-002

ALL THAT CERTAIN lot or piece of land with the tenement or messuage thereon erected, situate in the Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, bounded and described as follows, to wit:

BEGINNING at a point on the northerly side of Hector Street, at the distance of 40 feet westerly from the westerly side of Apple Street, thence extending northerly on a line at right angles to said Hector Street and passing through the middle of the partition wall between the house on this and the adjoining lot, 79 feet to a point, being a line of land conveyed by Fleming to Beneditti, thence extending along said land, westerly on a line at right angles to said Apple Street; 32.77 feet to a point; thence extending southerly on a line at right angles to said Hector Street, 79 feet to the northerly side of said Hector Street; thence extending along said side thereof easterly 32.77 feet to the first mentioned point and place of beginning.

BEING KNOWN AS 440 Hector Street, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

BEING KNOWN AS Tax Parcel No. 05-00-05456-002.

BEING THE SAME PREMISES WHICH Michael Fogel, also known as Michael J. Fogel and Jacqueline Fogel, also known as Jacqueline S. Fogel, by Deed dates June 28, 1991 and recorded on July 2, 1991 in the Office for the Recording of Deeds in and for the County of Montgomery in Deed Book 4979 page 1942, granted and conveyed unto Domenico Gambone and Frank Manze, in fee.

PREMISE "D" LEGAL DESCRIPTION 545 SPRING MILL ROAD, CONSHOHOCKEN, PA TAX PARCEL NO.: 05-00-09752-008

ALL THAT CERTAIN frame, messuage or tenement and two town lots or piece of land, situate in the Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, being Lots Nos. 251 and 252 in a plan of lots laid out on the farm of Issac Jones, deceased, bounded and described as follows:

BEGINNING at a stake on the southwest corner of Spring Mill Avenue and Jones Street, thence along the westerly side of said Jones Street southerly 120 feet to a stake; thence westerly parallel with Spring Mill Avenue 40 feet to a stake a corner of and late of William Penn Jones; thence by and along said land northerly parallel with said Jones Street 120 feet to Spring Mill Avenue aforesaid and along the southerly side thereof easterly 40 feet to the place of beginning.

BEING KNOWN AS 545 Spring Mill Road, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

BEING KNOWN AS Tax Parcel No. 05-00-09752-008.

BEING THE SAME PREMISES WHICH Gregory J. Jacquot, by Deed dated October 19, 1984 and recorded on October 24, 1984 in the Office for the Recording of Deeds in and for the County of Montgomery in Deed Book 4751 page 129, granted and conveyed unto Domenico Gambone and Frank Manze, as tenants in common, in fee.

<u>PREMISE "E"</u> <u>LEGAL DESCRIPTION</u> <u>603 SPRING MILL AVENUE, CONSHOHOCKEN, PA</u> <u>TAX PARCEL NO. 05-00-09756-004</u>

ALL THAT CERTAIN lot or piece of ground situate in Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, bounded and described as follows:

BEGINNING at a corner which is formed by the intersection of the southerly side of Spring Mill Avenue with the easterly side of Jones Street; thence along the easterly side of Jones Street South seventy one feet to a point a corner of land now or late of Joseph Ollinsky; thence eastwardly along the latters land and parallel with Spring Mill Avenue forty one and seventy five one-hundredths feet to a point in line of land now or late of Joseph Matteo; thence along the same in a northerly direction through the middle of the partition wall of this and the house on the adjoining lot seventy one feet to the southerly side of Spring Mill Avenue; thence westwardly along the said side of Spring Mill Avenue forty one and seventy five one-hundredths feet to the point and place of beginning.

BEING KNOWN AS 603 Spring Mill Avenue, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. BEING KNOWN AS Tax Parcel No. 05-00-09756-004.

BEING THE SAME PREMISES which Dorothy A. Jablonski, widow, by Deed dated March 30, 1994 and recorded on April 7, 1994 in the Office for the Recording of Deeds in and for the County of Montgomery in Deed Book 5074 page 159, granted and conveyed unto Dominic Gambone and Frank Manze, in fee.

PREMISE "F" LEGAL DESCRIPTION 607 SPING MILL AVENUE, CONSHOHOCKEN, PA TAX PARCEL NO. 05-00-09764-005

ALL THOSE THREE ADJOINING CERTAIN lots or pieces of ground, with the buildings and improvements thereon erected, situate in the Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, being Lots Nos. 344, 345 and 346, on a plan of lots laid out on the farm of Isaac Jones (the elder) deceased, bounded and described together as one lot as follows:

BEGINNING at a stake on the southerly side of Spring Mill Avenue, seventy-five and 8/10 feet easterly from Jones Street, and a corner of lot or strip of ground 15 and 8/10 feet wide running from Spring Mill Avenue to Hector Street and reserved as a water course; thence by and along the easterly side of said lot or strip of ground reserved as water course, southerly at right angles to said Spring Mill Avenue one hundred twenty feet to a stake; thence easterly parallel with said Spring Mill Avenue sixty feet to a stake and corner of Lot No. 347; thence by and along said lot northerly parallel with Jones Street one hundred twenty feet to Spring Mill Avenue, aforesaid; and along the southerly side thereof westerly sixty feet to the place of beginning.

Also, premises beginning at a point on the easterly line of the property which Thomas F. Kirdergan conveyed to John J. Prior to deed dated June 1, 1914, recorded at Norristown in Deed Book 717/116, said point being at the distance of ninety-one feet from the southerly side of Spring Mill Avenue and at the southerly line of a ten feet wide private alley laid out for the common use of the properties abutting thereon; thence along said lot South 8 degrees 4 minutes East twenty-nine feet to a point a corner; thence 81 degrees 56 minutes East forty feet to a point a corner of land now or late of John Q. Thomas; thence by said Thomas' land northerly parallel with the first course twenty-nine feet to a point in the southerly side of said ten feet wide alley; thence westerly along said alley forty feet to the place of beginning.

BEING KNOWN AS 607 Spring Mill Avenue, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

BEING KNOWN AS Tax Parcel No. 05-00-09764-005.

BEING THE SAME PREMISES which Edward F. Phipps, Executor of the Last Will and Testament of Helen E. Marwood, deceased, by Deed dated March 20, 1992 and recorded on March 24, 1992 in the Office for the Recording of Deeds in and for the County of Montgomery in Deed Book 5001, page 2130, granted and conveyed unto Domenico Gambone and Frank Mause, Co-Partners, in fee.

PREMISE "G" LEGAL DESCRIPTION 613 SPRING MILL AVENUE, CONSHOHOCKEN, PA TAX PARCEL NO. 05-00-09768-001

ALL THAT CERTAIN messuage and lot or piece of ground situate in Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, bounded and described as follows, to wit:

BEGINNING at a point on the southerly side of Spring Mill Avenue, at the distance of one hundred thirty five and eight tenths feet easterly form the easterly side of Jones Street, thence by other land John J. Prior, South eight degrees, for minutes East, eighty one feet to the northerly side of a ten feet wide private alley, which extends parallel to said Spring Mill Avenue, easterly into another private alley leading into Spring Mill Avenue; thence by said side of said alley, North eight one degrees fifty six minutes East, seventeen and seventy seven one hundredths feet to land of Martha English, thence northerly along said English's land, North eight degrees, four minutes West, the line being through the middle of a partition wall between the house on this land and the house on said English's land. Eighty one feet to the south side of said Spring Mill Avenue and along said side thereof. South eighty one degrees, fifty six minutes West, seventeen and seventy seven one-hundredths feet to the place of beginning.

BEING KNOWN AS 613 Spring Mill Avenue, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

BEING KNOWN AS Tax Parcel No. 05-00-09768-001.

BEING THE SAME PREMISES which Carolyn F. Bowe, Jesse Bowe, Edward Bowe, III, by his attorney-in-fact Carolyn Bowe and Danielle Bowe, by her attorney-infact Carolyn Bowe, by Deed dated January 13, 1997 and recorded on June 27, 1997 in the Office for the Recording of Deeds in and for the County of Montgomery in Deed Book 5190 page 742, granted and conveyed unto Dominico Gambone and Frank Maze, Co-Partners, in fee.

PREMISE "H" LEGAL DESCRIPTION 617 SPRING MILL AVENUE, CONSHOHOCKEN, PA TAX PARCEL NO. 05-00-09776-002

ALL THAT CERTAIN messuage and lot or piece of land situate in Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, bounded and described as follows, to wit:

BEGINNING at a stake on the southerly side of Spring Mill Avenue at the distance of 175.8 feet easterly from Jones Street, a corner of this and Lot No. 348; thence by and along said Lot No. 348 southerly at right angles to said Spring Mill Avenue, 120 feet to a stake; thence easterly parallel with said Spring Mill Avenue, 40 feet to a stake a corner of this and Lot No. 351; thence by and along said Lot No. 351 northerly parallel with the first line 120 feet to a Spring Mill Avenue aforesaid and along the southerly side thereof, westerly 40 feet to the place of beginning.

BEING KNOWN AS 617 Spring Mill Avenue, Borough of Conshohocken, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

BEING KNOWN AS Tax Parcel No. 05-00-09776-002.

BEING THE SAME PREMISES which Robert R. Wilson and Susan Wilson, husband and wife, by Deed dated November 30, 1993 and recorded on December 9, 1993 in the Office for the Recording of Deeds in and for the County of Montgomery in Deed Book 5063 page 1319, granted and conveyed unto Domenico Gambone and Frank Manze, in fee.



Borough of Conshohocken

1 West First Avenue, Suite 200 • Conshohocken, PA 19428 TEL: 610-828-1092 FAX: 610-828-0920 Website: www.conshohockenpa.org

January 6, 2015

La Famiglia, LLP c/o Tina Gambone 603 Spring Mill Avenue Conshohocken, PA 19428

Re: PZ-2014-20 603 Spring Mill Avenue

Dear Ms. Gambone:

Enclosed is a copy of the executed Land Development resolution for the project at 603 Spring Mill Avenue along with the Land Development Compliance Checklist for the project. The checklist is designed to track completion of conditions placed on land development approval resolutions.

All items must be satisfied before Record Plans will be accepted for signature, and/or construction permits issued. It is the Borough's hope that use of the checklist will provide a clearer path for development projects after Borough Council approves a project.

Questions regarding the checklist or specific conditions may be addressed to me at 610-828-1092.

Sincerely,

Chico Stetler

Christine M. Stetler Community Development and Zoning Officer

Enc.

BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY

RESOLUTION $\supseteq \bigcirc$ OF 2014

RE: Approval of Land Development Plan at 603 Spring Mill Conshohocken, PA 19428

WHEREAS, the Borough of Conshohocken has received an application for approval of a Land Development Plan for property located at 603 Spring Mill Avenue, a .07 acre lot, to convert the existing dwelling to a commercial space and one (1) apartment and to construct a new retail building for the Conshohocken Italian Bakery attached to the existing structure; and

WHEREAS, the Borough of Conshohocken Zoning Hearing Board has granted the following special exception and variances for the project:

- 1. §27-703 D to permit a second expansion of a non-conforming use;
- 2. §27-703E to permit to permit the expansion of a non-conforming use, building or structure onto a different lot;
- 3. §27-1103 to permit the conversion of a residential dwelling into a commercial and residential building;
- 4. §27-1105C to permit a variance to the front yard setback requirement;
- 5. §27-1105 D to permit a rear yard variance of zero (0) on the lot.
- 6. §27-1105 G to permit building coverage of more than 40% of the lot area;
- §27-1105 H to permit impervious coverage of greater than 60%;
- 8. §27-2106 to permit a sign no larger than eighteen(18) square feet; and
- 9. §27-2108 H to permit one (1) sandwich board sign; and

WHEREAS, the Conshohocken Planning Commission recommended approval of the plan and the grant of the following waivers from the Conshohocken Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance:

- 1, §22-804 to permit the negotiation of a fee in lieu of a land contribution for recreational purposes;
- 2. 22-306.A.1 to permit plans to not show features within 100 feet of the proposed development;
- 3. §22-421.4 to not provide shade trees in the verge;

- 4. §22-410.1 to not provide drainage as shown on the plans;
- 5. §22-407.7 to allow building lines to not conform to the requirements of the zoning district;
- 6. §22-404.3.F to reduce the required landscape buffer for the parking lot from ten (10) feet; and

WHEREAS, Conshohocken Borough Council finds it to be in the best interest of the Borough to grant CONDITIONAL APPROVAL of the Plan, subject to compliance with all of the terms and conditions set forth in the Resolution;

NOW THEREFORE, the Borough Council of the Borough of Conshohocken hereby approves the Plan of Land Development for 603 Spring Mill Avenue subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Applicant shall be granted WAIVERS from the requirements of the Conshohocken Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, and particularly the requirements of §22-306.A.1, §22-421.4; §22-410.1; §22-407.7, §22-404.3.F.
- 2. Applicant shall be granted a partial waiver of the requirements of the Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, §22-804, to permit payment of a fee in lieu of park and recreation facilities or land, in an amount approved by the Borough Solicitor.
- 3. Applicant shall limit the sign size at the site to eighteen (18) square feet;
- 4. The Applicant shall be limited to the use of one (1) sandwich board sign;
- 5. The Applicant shall revise the cover sheet index to match the sheet set;
- 6. The Applicant shall revise the plan to show the provision of a six (6) foot decorative opaque fence along the western property line between the parking lot and the adjacent residential property;
- 7. The Applicant shall revise the Plan to show the removal of the chain link fencing from the parking lot along the street side property lines;
- 8. The Applicant shall provide a notation on the architectural drawings as to the materials proposed including the addition of stone and glass;
- 9. The material of the signage band above the windows on the Jones Street elevation should be identified and be of a material that resists weathering.
- 10. The Applicant shall revise the Plan to show the parking lot with a one-way drive aisle with "do not enter" signage that is a maximum of eighteen (18) feet in width, and to provide a landscape buffer along the edges of the parking lot adjacent to Spring Mill Avenue and Jones Street.
- 11. The applicant shall revise the Plan to show the accessible parking stall in the parking lot to be sixteen (16) feet wide, providing an eight (8) foot wide parking space and an eight (8) foot wide accessible aisle;
- 12. The Applicant shall meet all requirements of the Code Enforcement/Building Official, specifically in regard to accessible parking spaces and awning construction;

- 13. The Applicant shall demonstrate that the architectural elevations will match the renderings shown to the Planning Commission at the August and November Planning Commission meetings;
- 14. The Applicant shall execute a Land Development and Escrow agreement and shall post such escrows for the project satisfactory to the Borough Solicitor prior to the issuance of any building permits;
- 15. The Applicant shall satisfy any and all outstanding invoices from the Borough relative to the review and approval of the Plan, and shall post such escrow as required by the Borough to secure the public improvements proposed on the Plan, as well as to defer the administrative, engineering and legal expenses incurred by the Borough for the construction and inspection of any public improvements proposed on the plan.
- 16. The Applicant shall satisfy all outstanding comments of the planning, zoning, engineering, County Planning Commission, and fire marshal reports
- 17. The Applicant shall abide by all of the terms and conditions of the Approval, and shall demonstrate compliance with each and every condition prior to the issuance of any building or other permits for this project pursuant to the Approved Plan.

ENACTED AND ORDAINED, this 17th day of December, 2014 in Council Chambers.

Idalo

Paul McConnell Council President

Richard J. Manfredi, Borough Secretary

APPROVED this 17th day of December, 2014.

Robert Frost, Mayor

Land Development Condition Compliance Checklist

Project #:	PZ-2014-20	Resolution #: 26 of 2014
Project Address:	603 Spring Mill Avenue	Date Approved: 12/17/14
Developer:	LaFamiglia Conshohocken Italian Bakery	Date(s) Reviewed:
Contact Information:	tina.gambone@verizon.net 610-825-9334	

Condition	Completed	Date	Comments
Satisfy all outstanding comment in RVB letter dated 11/7/14	×		
Sewer Authority Approval			
Negotiate a fee in lieu of land contribution satisfactory to the Borough Solicitor to comply with Section 22-804 of the SALDO			
Provide documentation of a sign size no greater than 18 square feet			
Provide documentation of 1 sandwich board sign			
Revise the cover sheet index to match the sheet set			
Revise the plan to show a 6 foot opaque fence along the western property line of between the parking lot and the adjacent residential dwelling.			
Revise the plan to show removal of the chain link fence from the parking lot along the street side property line.			

Land Development Condition Compliance Checklist

Condition	Completed	Date	Comments
Provide a notation on the architectural drawings as to the materials proposed inclkuding the addition of glass and stone.			
Identify the material (resistant to weathering) of the signage band above the windows on the Jones Street elevation .			
Revise plan to sho one way drive aisle through the parking lot with appropriate "do not enter"signage			
Show a landscape buffer along the sides of the prarking lot adjacent to Spring Mill Avenue and Jones Street			
The applicant shall provide one on-street accessible parking space satisfactory to the Borough Solicitor and the Borough Engineer.			
Demonstrate that the architectural renderings for the project match those shown to the CPC at August and November, 2014 meetings.			
Applicant shall meet all outstanding comments in Planning, Zoning, and Fire Marshall reports.			
Meetring Date		1	
The Applicant shall execute a land development and escrow agreement satisfactory to the Borough Solicitor			

Land Development Condition Compliance Checklist

Condition	Completed	Date	Comments	
The Applicant shall satisfy any and all outstanding plan review invoices.		,		
The Applicant shall deposit all required escrow payments.				
Submit Record Plans for signature.				
Return 3 copies of the recorded plans to the Borough				
Return one copy of the recorded Land Development and Escrow Agreement to the Borough				
Schedule a pre-construction with engineering and Borough staff.				

our petitioner (name)	1-0-00
act address)	
<pre>(Indicate below the nature of the petition) Hereby appeals from the decision of the Zoning Officer; Hereby applies for special exception to, or variance fro Ordinance; or Hereby applies for: e owner of the real estate involved in this petition is: ne</pre>	Phone No.
e owner of the real estate involved in this petition is: me	r , the terms of the Zoning
act address 79 Jones Street, Conshchocken, PA 19428 d who has joined in this petition. e location of the real estate involved in this petition i 607 Spring Mill Avenue and 79 Jones Street e dimensions and area of the real estate are: e real estate in question is classified at present under present it is used for the purpose of	
<pre>d who has joined in this petition. e location of the real estate involved in this petition i</pre>	
<pre>d who has joined in this petition. e location of the real estate involved in this petition i</pre>	Phone No.
<pre>e location of the real estate involved in this petition i</pre>	
607 Spring Mill Avenue and 79 Jones Street e dimensions and area of the real estate are:	as follows:
e dimensions and area of the real estate are: e real estate in question is classified at present under present it is used for the purpose of Baking Busines e buildings and other improvements now on the property co d Bakery business itioner claims the variance or exception requested ein may be allowed under Article(s)	
present it is used for the purpose of <u>Baking Busines</u> > buildings and other improvements now on the property co d Bakery business itioner claims the variance or exception requested ein may be allowed under Article(s)	oning Class R-3
buildings and other improvements now on the property co d Bakery business itioner claims the variance or exception requested ein may be allowed under Article(s)	of 25 plus years
itioner claims the variance or exception requested ein may be allowed under Article(s)	sist of <u>l residence</u>
	Part 15
tion(s) 186 B,C,D	the second se
53 4,C	
the Borough of Conshohocken Zoning Ordinance #8-1965, as	

.

PETITION OF Gambone and Manze

DATE 4-6-00

5.	The variance or except	ion requ	ested	and the ne	w improvements	and/or additions	to	
	existing improvements,	desired	to be	e made are	as follows:			
							-	

Buildings to be erected:	None
Buildings to be changed:	existing building
To be used for the purpose	e of Bakery
Attached hereto is a plot and size of the lot, and t	plan of the real estate involved, indicating the location the size and details of improvements now erected thereon
INGINY BYANAGAA TA NA AYAA	cted.
Petitioner believes that the following reasons: 1. Pro	the exception(s) or variance(s) should be granted for the oposed change is consistent with current use and insures the
Petitioner believes that the following reasons: <u>1. Pro</u>	the exception(s) or variance(s) should be granted for the oposed change is consistent with current use and insures the urrent use. 2. Proposed change will not adversely affect the
Petitioner believes that a following reasons: <u>1.</u> Pro- afety and efficiency of co- ealth, safety or welfare of	the exception(s) or variance(s) should be granted for the oposed change is consistent with current use and insures the urrent use. 2. Proposed change will not adversely affect the of neighborhood. 3. Proposed change will not increase
Petitioner believes that a following reasons: <u>1. Pro</u> <u>afety and efficiency of cu</u> <u>ealth, safety or welfare of</u> <u>ehicular or pedestrian tra</u>	the exception(s) or variance(s) should be granted for the oposed change is consistent with current use and insures the urrent use. 2. Proposed change will not adversely affect the of neighborhood. 3. Proposed change will not increase affic in the area. 4. Proposed site will be professionally

(If additional space is required, please continue on an additional sheet)

б.

7. The names and addresses of the owners of every lot on the same street within five hundred (500) feet of the lot or building involved, and the owners of every lot not on the same street within one hundred fifty (150) feet of said lot or building are to be notified of this petition and the date on which hearing will be held.

e V.p Emen 10 Petitioner Owner AOTARIAL SEAL GARY & DeMEDIO, Notary Public Conshohocken Boro, Montegenery Coon My Commission Expires Dec. 8, 2003 Petitioner (if any)

PETITION OFGa	mbone and Manze	DATE 4-6-0
Commonwealth of Pennsylvani.	a: SS	
County of Montgomery		
Dominic Gembone heing	ully overn according to 1	an denotes and cause that the
facts above set forth are true ar	nd correct.	aw, deposes and says that the
Sworn to and subscribed before me		
Sworn to and subscribed before me		
P 11	Λ	
this	day of	xx 20
Carty DielaFhid Wheney Pr	Ablic)	
Mynotary Pullping Dac. 8.	2003	ignature of Applicant
(DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW)		
The required notices have been gi	ven for the hearing on the	e foregoing petition
The required notices have been gi	ven for the hearing on the	e foregoing petition
The required notices have been gi	ven for the hearing on the	e foregoing petition
The required notices have been gi	ven for the hearing on the	e foregoing petition
The required notices have been gi	ven for the hearing on the	e foregoing petition
Che required notices have been gi	ven for the hearing on the DECISION	e foregoing petition
Che required notices have been gi	ven for the hearing on the DECISION Date petition	foregoing petition
The required notices have been gi	ven for the hearing on the DECISION Date petition Date petition	filed
The required notices have been gi	ven for the hearing on the DECISION Date petition Date petition Received by w	n filed n received n received n m fuls
The required notices have been gi	ven for the hearing on the DECISION Date petition Date petition Received by w Date and time	filed $4 7 + 9 2$ filed $4 7 + 9 2$ received $4 7 + 9 2$ whom fully of hearing $7:30$
The required notices have been gi	ven for the hearing on the DECISION Date petition Date petition Received by w Date and time	filed $4 7 + 32$ received $4 7 + 32$ received $4 7 + 32$ rhom fully of hearing $7:30$ 5 9 + 32
The required notices have been gi	ven for the hearing on the DECISION Date petition Date petition Received by w Date and time	e foregoing petition filed received whom fully e of hearing 5 9 = 26
The required notices have been gineration granted () Petition refused () The following special conditions a	DECISION DECISION Date petition Date petition Received by w Date and time are imposed:	filed $4 7 = 32$ received $4 7 = 32$ show fully of hearing $7:30$ $5 9 \neq 32$
The required notices have been gi	ven for the hearing on the DECISION Date petition Date petition Received by w Date and time are imposed: BY ORDER OF T	e foregoing petition filed received shom fuls of hearing 5 9 9 20 THE ZONING HEARING BOARD
The required notices have been gi	DECISION DECISION Date petition Date petition Received by w Date and time are imposed: BY ORDER OF T	e foregoing petition filed # ? 20 n received? 29 shom fully of hearing? 30 519 \$ 20 THE ZONING HEARING BOARD
The required notices have been gi	DECISION DECISION Date petition Date petition Received by w Date and time are imposed: BY ORDER OF T	e foregoing petition filed filed received from fully for fhearing for hearing 5 9 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
The required notices have been gi	DECISION DECISION Date petition Date petition Received by w Date and time are imposed: BY ORDER OF T	e foregoing petition filed received whom fully of hearing 5 9 \$ 200 THE ZONING HEARING BOARD

TOTAL P.04

BEFORE THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN IN RE: APPLICATION OF DOMENIC GAMBONE and FRANK MANZE

DECISION

I. <u>HISTORY OF CASE</u>:

By application dated April 6, 2000, the Applicants, Domenic Gambone and Frank Manze are seeking relief from the Zoning Hearing Board, (hereinafter referred to as "Board"), in the nature of a special exception to and variance from the terms of the Conshohocken Borough Zoning Ordinance to permit the extension of a non-conforming building and a variance from the rear yard requirements.

Specifically, Section 186.B of the Conshohocken Borough Zoning Ordinance provides, in part, that: "The lawful non-conforming use of a portion of a building or structure may be extended . . . if no structural alterations are made therein, provided that such extensions may include structural alterations when authorized as a special exception."

Section 187.C provides, in part, that: "Any lawful, non-conforming building or structure or any building or structure of which a lawful non-conforming use is made, may be extended upon the lot occupied by such building or structure . . . provided that such extension is authorized as a special exception." Section 186.D provides, in part, that: "The Zoning Hearing Board may allow a special exception . . . subject to the following requirements in addition to the conditions for which the granting of a special exception described elsewhere in this Ordinance. 1. The area of such building or structure shall not increase more than 33-1/3 per cent of the area such building or structure existed on the date that it first became a lawful, non-conforming building or structure in which a lawful non-conforming use is made. 2. Any structural alterations, extensions, or additions shall conform with all height, area, width, yard, off-street parking, coverage, and all review, recommendation and approval requirements for the district in which it is located."

A public hearing was held before the **Board** on the evening of Tuesday, May 9, 2000, at 7:30 o'clock P.M., at the Borough Hall in Conshohocken, Pennsylvania. Due notice was given for the public hearing.

To render a Decision on this matter, a public hearing was held before the **Board** on the evening of Wednesday, May 17, 2000, at 7:15 o'clock P.M., at the Borough Hall in Conshohocken, Pennsylvania. Due notice was given for this hearing. The **Board** concludes as follows:

II. FINDINGS OF FACT:

1. The Applicants are Dominic Gambone and Frank Manze, owners of the property located at 79 Jones Street, Conshohocken, Pennsylvania.

2. The property is located in an R-3 High Density Residential District.

3. The **Applicants** are in the process of expanding the non-conforming business located on the property, which business is the Conshohocken Italian Bakery.

4. The Applicants propose to complete the extension of the building on the property to accommodate the removal of a conveyor belt from inside the building to the outside of the building which will allow greater access to the oven. The conveyor belt will occupy an area approximately five (5) feet wide and sixty-one (61) feet long along one side of the building. In addition, the bakery will expand approximately fifteen (15) feet on one end of the building to allow for more efficient operations inside the bakery.

5. The **Applicants** stated that expansion of the building will not result in an increase in production, traffic, or number of employees. The amount of loading and unloading of materials and the amount of baked goods will remain the same.

 The Applicants further testified that the property cannot be used for any other purpose.

7. Raymond Lebold, of 622 Springfield Avenue, questioned the Applicants. Mr. Lebold inquired as to whether there will be a second oven in the building which would increase production. The Applicants stated that there would not be an increase in production.

8. John R. Betz, a registered architect of Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania, testified that he prepared construction plans for the bakery addition. Mr. Betz described the conveyor belt system in the bakery and stated that it was necessary to run the conveyor belt in a new location to allow for safer operation of the bakery as well as to provide additional means of egress from the building.

9. Raymond Lebold questioned the accuracy of the construction plan and inquired as to variances requested by the **Applicants**.

10. Gary DeMedio, a licensed real estate broker, testified on behalf of the Applicants and

stated that he was familiar with the bakery property. He further stated that the property is unique and is comprised of several parcels which are unique in shape. It is Mr. DeMedio's opinion that the only reasonable use of the property is for a bakery. Mr. DeMedio further stated that the **Applicants** require a variance from the required twenty-five (25) foot rear yard. The expansion of the building would result in a ten (10) foot rear yard.

11. Raymond Lebold asked Mr. DeMedio why the property could not be developed for residential purposes.

12. Mr. Lebold then stated his opposition to the application. It was his belief that addi-Tional variances should have been requested by the **Applicants**. Mr. Lebold stated that work previously performed by the **Applicants** on the property had not received the necessary municipal approvals. Mr. Lebold further stated that a water management study should have been performed on the property as it was his belief that work previously done by the **Applicants** interfered with a water culvert on the property. Mr. Lebold further stated that he believed the property could be used for residential purposes.

13. Vince Totaro, of 635 Spring Mill Avenue, a member of Borough Council, testified that Borough Council had previously given permission to the **Applicants** to traverse the water culvert.

14. The Board finds that the matter has been properly advertised.

III. DISCUSSION:

The **Applicants** seek to expand a non-conforming use. The area of the building expansion is less than 33-1/3 per cent of the area of the building. The **Applicants** further seek a dimensional variance to allow them to have a rear yard of ten (10) feet where a twenty-five (25) foot rear yard is required under the provisions of the R-3 High Density Residential District. It was indicated from the Findings of Fact that the proposed expansion of the building will not substantially change the current operation of the bakery, nor will it be contrary to the health, safety, welfare, or morals of the community and will in no way adversely affect the public interest. The **Board** feels that the **Applicants** have presented sufficient testimony to enable the **Board** to **grant a special exception to permit the expansion of the building**.

The Board feels that the Applicants have presented sufficient testimony to enable the Board to grant the requested dimensional variance. The Pennsylvania Supreme Court has determined that dimensional variances are subject to a less stringent hardship requirement than other variances. See <u>Hertzberg v. Zoning Board of Adjustment of the City of Pittsburgh</u>, 554 Pa.249, 721 A2d 43 (1998). The Court determined that a zoning hearing board could consider the following factors in determining whether a hardship exists: (1) economic detriment to the applicant; (2) financial hardship to bring the building into compliance; and (3) the characteristics of the neighborhood. In the instant case, the Applicants have shown that there would be an economic detriment to them if the expansion were not permitted, it would be a financial hardship to the Applicants to bring the building into compliance, and the characteristics of the neighborhood would not be adversely affected by granting the minor dimensional variance. Therefore, the Board feels that the Applicants have presented sufficient testimony to enable it to grant the variance requested.

IV. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

1. The matter was properly presented before the Zoning Hearing Board.

2. The matter was properly advertised and the hearing appropriately convened in accordance with the provisions of the Municipalities Planning Code and the Zoning Ordinance of the Borough of Conshohocken.

3. The proposed relief in the form of a special exception to permit the expansion of a non-conforming building and use will not be contrary to the health, safety, welfare or morals of the community and will not adversely affect the public interest. Therefore, the proposed relief in the form of a special exception is granted.

4. The application requesting a dimensional variance permitting the non-conforming building to encroach into the required twenty-five (25) foot rear yard, thus, creating a ten (10) foot rear yard, is granted.

CONSHOHOCKEN ZONING HEARING BOARD

Bv: Martinelli ARNOLD MARTINELLI, CHAIRMAN

Ingelucci

By: **NE ULSH** JOAD


June 6, 2000

DANTE MATTIONI BLASCO MATTIONI** GEORGE R. ZACHARKOW* ROBERT W. WEIDNER, JR. STEPHEN M. MARTIN+ MICHAEL MATTIONI** PAUL A. KETTUNEN++ DONALD A. SABATINI METTE DELEURAN** JOSH J.T. BYRNE+++

FAUSTINO MATTIONI* JOHN MATTIONI* EUGENE MATTIONI** ANDREW H. QUINN*** SCOTT J. SCHWARZ* STEPHEN J. GALATI** BRUCE A. O'NEILL EVA H. BLEICH** ANTHONY GRANATO** JOSEPH F. BOUVIER** TIZCHAK E. KORNFELD** MARK D. HASENAUER**† DANTE C. ROHR** SARAH WILSON WEINGAST^D BRIDGET C. SCIAMANNA** PETER MILDENBERG***

COUNSEL TO THE FIRM BLASCO MATTIONI** FRANK CARANO RONALD R. DONATUCCI

Our File No.

DIST. OF COLUMBIA BAR ALSO ILLINOIS BAR ALSO WEST VIRGINIA BAR ALSO DC BAR AND VIRGINIA BAR ONLY WISCONSIN BAR ONLY

34093-25397

MILTON H. KUNKEN (1934-1992)

OF COUNSEL JOHN ADAM DIPIETRO KRISTI L.TREADWAY^{oo}

FRANCIS X. KELLY

ALAN MATTIONI**

++ NEW JERSEY BAR ONLY ** NEW JERSEY BAR ALSO O MASSACHUSETTS BAR ALSO ++ MICHIGAN BAR ALSO † FLORIDA BAR ALSO

Please Reply To: Conshohocken

Domenic Gambone Frank Manze Conshohocken Italian Bakery 79 Jones Street Conshohocken, PA 19428

Zoning Decision Re:

Dear Messrs. Gambone and Manze:

Enclosed is a copy of the decision of the Zoning Hearing Board of Conshohocken approving the application for a special exception and variance to allow the Bakery to expand.

Thank you for allowing our firm to be of service. Please keep us in mind for all of your future legal services.

Very truly yours,

MATTIONI, LTD.

Michael Mattioni

MM:mjm cc: John Mattioni, Esquire

kery/l-gan

e-5-22-00.wp

ocken Ital. Ba

PHILADELPHIA - 399 Market Street, 2nd Floor • Philadelphia, PA 19106 • 215-629-1600 • Fax 215-923-2227 firmmail@mattioni.com • http://www.mattioni.com NEW JERSEY - Mattioni, LLP, 216 Haddon Avenue, Suite 100 • Westmont, NJ 08108 • 856-854-6200 • Fax 856-854-3338 MONTGOMERY COUNTY - 1101 Fayette Street, Suite 200 • Conshohocken, PA 19428 • 610-941-9530 • Fax 610-940-4489

BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN

720 Fayette Street Conshohocken, PA 19428

Phone: (610) 828-1092 Fax: (610) 828-0920

May 27, 1999

Mr. Joseph DelBuono 216 East 4th Avenue Conshohocken, PA 19428

Re: Zoning Hearing # 13-99—1999

Dear Mr. Del Buono:

This letter is to officially notify you that your petition, #13-99—1999 for The Conshohocken Italian Bakery, 96 Jones Street to the Conshohocken Zoning hearing Board, was granted on Wednesday, May 26, 1999.

Approval was granted in accordance with the terms of your application, and any specific conditions imposed by the Board.

A written decision is being prepared by the Board's Solicitor, and will be forwarded to you at later date. The decision will contain the condition imposed by the Zoning Board.

The approval is grant subject to your being in compliance with all local, state and federal laws/requirements, and will expire six (6) months from the date of authorization, if any required permits are not obtained during that period.

Do not hesitate to contact me at (610) 828-1092, ext. 11, if you require any additional information. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

Christing M. Statler

Christine M. Stetler Community Development and Zoning Officer

Cc: Conshohocken Italian Bakery G. DeMedio BEFORE THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN IN RE: APPLICATION OF JOSEPH DEL BUONO

DECISION

I. HISTORY OF CASE:

By application dated April 16, 1999, the Applicant is seeking relief from the Zoning Hearing Board, (hereinafter referred to as "Board"), in the nature of a special exception to the terms of the Conshohocken Zoning Ordinance to permit the extension of a non-conforming use by enclosing an open storage area on the site. Specifically, Section 186-C. provides that any lawful, non-conforming building or structure may be extended upon the lot occupied by such building or structure provided that such extension is authorized by a special exception.

A public hearing was held before the Board on the evening of Wednesday, May 26, 1999, at 7:30 o'clock P.M., at the Borough Hall in Conshohocken, Pennsylvania. Due notice was given for the public hearing.

After the conclusion of the hearing, the **Board** concluded as follows:

II. FINDINGS OF FACT:

1. The Applicant is Joseph Del Buono, a principal in the

Conshohocken Italian Bakery, Inc. located at 96 Jones Street, Conshohocken, Pennsylvania.

2. The property involved is 96 Jones Street, Conshohocken, Pennsylvania, presently operated as the Conshohocken Italian Bakery, Inc..

3. The Applicant has an open storage area approximately 22 feet 3-1/2 inches by 36 feet 6 inches where they store baking racks and associated baking hardware. The Applicant seeks to eliminate spot storage on open ground, eliminate storage sheds, and eliminate the public eyesore and upgrading the lot by enclosing the storage area and having it conform with the existing neighborhood.

4. The building will be concrete and concrete block and will be steel studded. It will be the same construction and height of the existing building.

5. Plans for the proposed building along with pictures of the area were provided. The entrance to the storage area will be from Jones Street plus there will be an entrance created through an existing building so that access will not have to be from the exterior.

 Drainage will go out to Jones Street and will take care of problems.

7. Vince Totaro asked what the property would look like and was advised that it will fit in exactly with the existing building. Mr. Totaro said the project is an improvement for the area.

8. The Board finds that the matter has been properly adver-

tised.

III. DISCUSSION:

Section 186-C. provides for the extension of a non-conforming use. The proposal will be beneficial to the area in that it will enclose an existing eyesore and the enclosure will correspond with other buildings in the area. It will be an upgrade for the community and no evidence was submitted which would show that the proposed use and addition would be adverse to the health, safety, and welfare of the community. The Board feels that the Applicant has presented sufficient testimony to enable the Board to grant the special exception.

IV. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

 The matter was properly presented before the Zoning Hearing Board.

2. The matter was properly advertised and the hearing appropriately convened in accordance with the provisions of the Municipalities Planning Code and the Zoning Ordinance of the Borough of Conshohocken.

3. The proposed relief in the form of a special exception will not be contrary to the health, welfare, safety, or morals of the community and will not adversely affect the public interest.

4. The request for a special exception is granted.

CONSHOHOCKEN ZONING HEARING BOARD

By: Amold Martinelle ARNOLD MARTINELLI, CHAIRMAN

By: Unice Mgeleecei VIVIAN ANGELUCCI By: August Acht GREGORY F. SCHARFF

BEFORE THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN

23-1972

APPLICANTS: FRANK F. MANZE and DOMINICK GAMBONE

PREMISES: 79 Jones Street, Conshohocken, Pa.

This is an application for approval of change of Non-Conforming Use from Light Manufacturing to Bakery.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Applicants are Frank F. Manze and Dominick Gambone whose address is 2805 Soni Drive, Norristown, Pa.

2. The owner of the premises in question is DiSanto Enterprises, Inc., whose address is 700 Fairfield Road, Norristown, Pa.

3. The premises are located at 79 Jones Street, Conshohocken,

Pa., in an R-3 Residential District.

4. The improvements thereon consist of a one-story masonry

building, 50' \times 60', containing 3,000 square feet of floor space.

5. The premises have a non-conforming use permit for use as light industrial and warehouse.

6. The premises previously were used as a plant where tools were covered with plastic material.

7. In prior use, ovens were used to melt plastic material.

8. The premises are presently vacant but the prior non-conforming use has never been abandoned.

9. The applicants propose to use the premises for the baking of bread, rolls and pizza which will be sold at wholesale and retail.

10. The planned hours of operation are from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. daily.

11. The plans for the operation and use of premises as a bakery have been approved by the Department of Labor & Industry of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

12. No trucks for delivery of their products will be employed by applicants.

13. Raw materials and supplies will be received by truck via driveway from Hector Street no more than two times per week.

14. The retail portion of the bakery will be in the front of the building along Jones Street.

15. The applicants will have four or five employees and most of them will be members of their families.

16. Unsold and waste baked goods will be stored inside the premises

17. A retail bakery is a permitted use in a Heavy Business District.

18. The proposed new use as a bakery is of the same general

character as those uses specifically permitted in a Light Industrial District.

19. The proposed new use as a bakery is of the same class of use as the existing permitted non-conforming use and is permissible.

DECISION

The change of non-conforming use is approved and applicants are granted permission to use the premises as a wholesale and retail bakery.

ZONING HEARING BOARD:

BY Join allian Chairman Amded J Martinelle

DATED: 1-4-73

BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN, PA.

To the Conshohocken Zoning Board of Adjustment:

Frank F. Manze & Dominick Gambone

Your petitioner, (name) ... T/A Conshohocken Italian Bakery

of (exact address) .2805 Soni Drive, Norristown, Penna. 19401

(Indicate below the nature of the petition)

Z

. mar

- Hereby appeals from the decision of the Building Inspector; or)
- Hereby applies for special exception to, or variance from, the terms () of the Zoning Ordinance; or,

Hereby applies for: Change from one Non-Conforming use to another) (Non-Conforming use, under Article XV, Section 1506

1. The owner of the real estate involved in this petition is Di Santo Enterprises, Inc. William Di Santo, President..... whose exact address is . 700 Fairfield Road, Norristown, Penna. 19401. and who has joined in this petition.

- 2. The location of the real estate involved in this petition is as follows: #79 .Jones.Street, Conshohocken, Pennsylvania The dimensions and area of the real estate are: . Approx. 50".x.60!...
- 3. The real estate in question is classified at present under class R-3 Carrying a Non-Conforming Use for Light Industral Use. Previously used as light industrial use.. Presently - vacant as of At present it is used for the purpose of Feb. 1, 1972. The buildings and other improvements now on the property consist of: One story masonry block building which measures approx. 3,000 sq. Ft.
- 4. Petitioner claims that the variance or exception herein requested may Conshohocken Zoning Ordinance, 1965.

5. The variance or exception requested and the new improvements or additions to old improvements desired to be made are as follows:

Buildings to be builtNONE..... Buildings to be changedNONE.... To be used for the purpose of .Bakery Goods, Bread, Rolls, Pizza Attached hereto is a plot plan of the real estate affected, indicating the location and size of the lot, and size of improvements now erected and proposed to be erected thereon.

- 6. Petitioner belives that the exceptions or variances should be granted Building was previously occupied for light for the following reasons: industrial .use.by.Utilities. Research, Inc. Mfg. Plastic Products. We are seeking an occupancy permit for use as a Bakery Shop.
- 7. The names and addresses of the owners of every lot on the same street within five hundred (500) feet of the lot or building in question and of the owners of every lot not on the same street within one hundred fifty (150) feet of the said lot and building are as follows:

Name

Address

(If additional space is needed attach an extra sheet)

Owner

Attorney for Petitioner (if any)

State of Pennsylvania : ss County of Montgomery :

FIRNK F. MANZE. being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that the facts above set forth are true and correct.

Sworn to and subscribed before me ... Q. Mother Ry. Public.....

Notary Public augustine M. L AUGUSTINE M. DEMEDIO NOTARY PUBLIC Arces L. Many Signature of Applicant (seal) CONSHOHOCKEN BOROUGH MONTGOMERY COUNTY MY COMMISSION EXPIRES SEPT 30, 1976

(Do not write in the spaces below)

The required notices have been given for the hearing on the follow-

ing petition

DECISION

Petition granted ()

Petition refused ()

Date Petition Filed	19	
Date of Hearing	19	

The following special conditions are imposed:

By Order of the Board of Adjustment

.....

Date of Order

ZONING HEARING #23-1972

Applicant: Frank F. Manze and Dominick Gambone, 2805 Soni Dr. Norristown Premises: 79 Jones Street Applicant requests change from one non-conforming use to another non-conforming use under Article XV, Section 1506 Date of hearing Dec. 5, 1972

Messrs. Williams and Martinelli, the Solicitor and Zoning Officer were present. Nr. Williams read the petition and was assured all required advertising of the hearing had been done.

Testimony for the applicant

1

Mr. A. M. De Medio, 1105 Fayette Street, realtor, asked to represent the applicant.

Mrs. William De Santo, 700 Fairfield Road, Norristown, was sworn in and testified she and her husband own the premises under the name of DeSanto Enterprises, Inc. Bought 12/23/66 at which time Utilities Research, plastic fabricators, occupied the premises. This company used two ovens which are still on premises. Mr. DeMedio presented copy of a lease with Utilities Research running from 1/1/67 to 1/1/72 in which the use of the building is specified for manufacturing and warehousing. Owners have tried to locate another tenant since lease expired beginning of this year. Previous tenants, she believes, operated from 9 AM to 5PM daily, 5 or 6 days a week. This testimony was offered to establish ownership and previous use of the property.(Lease is exhibit Al)

Mr. Frank F. Manze, 2805 Soni Drive Norristown was sworn in. He and Mr. Gambone, the co-applicant, are both bakers. They plan retail and wholesale baking of bread, rolls and pizza - no pastries. Plans were submitted (exhibit A2) and Mr. Manze went over these detailing how the building would be divided between retail and the baking process. Plans were prepared by Joseph Rainey, architect who is contacting Penna L&I for approval. The Board pointed out to Mr. Manze that it must have the L&I decision on the plan before any ruling on the application can be made.

They are trying to develop off street parking for up to 4 cars. They have 4 or 5 employees, all in their families, and these employees would travel to work in one or two cars. They have no delivery trucks, only two station wagons. Deliveries to them of supplies would be by truck via a 12 ft driveway from Hector St, - deliveries once a week or posibly bi-weekly.

Planned hours are from 6AM to 6 PM, possibly seven days a week.

There is an exhaust fan directed toward Hector Street in the building and Mr. Manze feels this is sufficient ventilation. Ovens are to be oil or gas fired reaching temperatures 300 to 500 deg. Compliance with fire requirements being handled on application being submitted to State L&I.

Mr. Charles Kelly asked about disposition of unsold goods. Mr. Manze said these would be crumbed or sold to a farmer for pickup two or three times a week. Would be kept inside store, but not in metal containers. Mr. Kelly feels this unsold merchandise could attract rats. He also questioned whether the driveway was wide enough to accommodate trucks making delivery

APPLICATION #23-1972 continued

of flour, etc. to the bakery. Mr. Manze said it would be - if not he could probably arrange for smaller trucks to make delivery.

No further testimony for the applicant was offered.

OPPOSING TESTIMONY

Mr. Charles Kelly, 91 Walnut Street, Councilman for the 5th Ward, who had previously questioned the applicant, was sworn in. He feels that there is no demonstrated hardship, that the bakery would aggravate already congested parking. Also the odor of baking could become objectionable Recommends seeking a tenant which would operate about to residents. He objects to seven days a week operation 9 AM to 5 PM 5 days a week.

Mr. William Brown,/ Hector Street, owner of the Hector Hotel adjoining the premises, says parking is already a problem and a bakery would add to the congestion. He has 7 employees at the hotel but no offstreet parking. Mentioned that they also had parking problems while the previous tenant was occupying the premises. Also said the exhaust fan is partially blocked by an addition to his hotel and he is afraid the bakers might dump dough into the sewer system which could cause blockage. Mr. Manze said the second would not happen and that as far as the fan is concerned, it could be moved to the ceiling. Mr. Brown did say, however, that he would think a bakery preferable over a manufacturing plant.

No further testimony or questioning and the Board will take under advisement.

Proceedings recorded by Mrs. Louise Duvall, court steno. and also on Boro. tape recorder.

EVBrandt

this would applo grant Zonin 02 were struc 600-83-4 TOT / .. This is an application for a variance from the terms of the Zoning Ordinance to construct two additions to exisiting structures on The property is located at 79 Jones Strat, Conshohocken, The applicants proposal would not be contrary to the wel-The applicants seek a variance from the terms of the Zoning Pennsylvania in an "R-3" Residential Zone with a non-conforming fare of the community and would not adversely affect the health, The applicant would sustain an unnecessary hardship if The applicants wish to construct two additions to the Application of Frank F. Manze and Domenico Gambone The applicant has established that 2. The applicants and the owners are Frank F. Manze and Ordinance in order to construct two additions to the existing e. weer Domenico Gambone of 79 Jones Street, Conshohocken, FA. BEFORE THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN 79 Jones Street, Conshohocken, PA. existing structure on the premises. safety and welfare of the community. FINDINGS OF FACT . D1SCUSSION the proposal were not granted. structure on the premises. use as a bakery. the premises. PREMISES: 3.. s. 1. 4. IN RE: 5600-83-4 NAM/mon ADDOLOGION AND ADDOLOGION ふう 16unet 104 133320 merer 0 0 0 9

0 Θ 0

01 Constant and Internal Buice S. NOLLIVON MITH CITS QUOUD 2/0 applicants would sustain an unnecessary hardship if this proposal grant of a variance by the Zoning Hearing Board is appropriate. would be in the best interest of the community. Likewise, the Since both requirements for a variance have been met, the this proposal would not be contrary to the public welfare and Applicants request for a variance from the terms of the CONSHOHOCKEN ZONING HEARING BOARD Zoning Ordinance to construct two additions to the existing pyc. mille. structure on the premises is hereby granted. D march Weak.cl -2-DECISION were not granted. Dated: May 16, 1983 600-83-4 M/mon ms of the Zoning t, Censhohocken, rary to the welof the Zoning ct the health, structures on on-conforming hardship if Manie and tions to the nico Gambone c existing lished that PA.

of the Borough of Consistence Zoning Ordinance = S.1965,amen.l.d.	The buildings and other improvements now on the property consist of <u>two buildings operated</u> <u>as bakery bakery sales affice</u> 4. Fetitioner claims the variance or exception requested herein may be allowed under Artick(s) <u>18.</u> Section(s) <u>212 213</u>	At present it is used for the purpose of <u>bakery and selling of bakery iters</u>	ENULY OUNDER OUNDER PLATE DATE OUNDER PLATE DATE OUNDER PLATE DATE INTE INTE INTE OUT pelliner (ume) FAME F. MATE and DOFENICO EXEMPLIC OUT pelliner (ume) FAME F. MATE and DOFENICO EXEMPLIC OUT pelliner (ume) FAME F. MATE and DOFENICO EXEMPLIC OUT pelliner (ume) Fame for the decision of the Zoning Outliner; cit. Interby applea for uplica for the terms of the Zoning Outliner; cit. Interby applea for uplica for the terms of the Zoning Outliner; cit. Interby applea for uplica for the terms of the Zoning Outliner; cit. Interby applea for uplica for the terms of the Zoning Outliner; cit. Interby applea for uplica for the terms of the Zoning Outliner; cit. Interby applea for uplica for the real estate involved in this petition for the real estate involved in this petition for the real estate involved in this petition is as follows:	
	(l'additional space is needed, attach an extra .	<u>Variances are not granted</u> (If additional space is requested, attach an add 7. The names and addresses of the owners of every lot or building involved, and of the owners of e feet of the said lot or building are as follows NAME	PETITION OF FRMX 6. The variance or exception requested and the struction of a 29' X 33' add Struction of a 29' X 33' add Structure	

:)

のないである

-



) feet of the d fifty (150) e lot, and the sketch. cisting * the provements, de-ODA: :h 3 , 1983 BR Date of order Org . The following special conditions are imposed: Petition refused () Petition granted W The required notices have been given for the hearing on the foregoing petition (DO NOT WRITE INSPACES BELOWDA 134 this Sworn to and subscribed before me true and correct. County of Montgomery : FRANK F. HANZE & DOMENICO GAMBONE being dully sworn according to law, deposes and says that the facts above act forth are Turk achier To Daylight in. Comm wealth of Pennsylvania; PETITION OF FRANK F. MATE & DOMENICO GANBONE Q Notary Public 8 19 87 Courses day of A Notacy Public DECISION S BY ORDER OF THE ZONING HEARING BOARD Date and time of hearing Date petition received Date petition filed Received by whom MARCH Demence Signature of Applicant DATE Narch 1, 1983 E. C. 5 with 19 forder 15 FM 83 PROPOSED New Hon Constantacken Imping BAIKEN 10



BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN

CONSHOHOCKEN, PA.

APPLICATION FOR ZONING PERMIT

(Non-Conforming Use)

Date:66
Premises: 79 Janes St Constatecter Va
Size of Lot: 70 X60
Zoning District: R-3
Owner of Record: Petto Dellepante
Decupant: Sight Industrial + Ware house
Nature of Use: Sight Industral + Ware house
,
Date such use actually began: 1960
Proof of use:

1.	Date fictitious name, if any, registered:
2.	Date of incorporation, if any:
3.	Business listing in telephone directory, if any: Letter Delleforte
4.	Pa. Sales and Use Tax Registration No.:
5.	Other:

I hereby certify that the statements contained herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

I understand that if I knowingly make any false statements herein, I am subject to such penalties as may be prescribed by law or ordinance.

Peter Dellifent

BAM/mh M5600-88-7 4/88

BEFORE THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN

IN RE: Application of Frank Manzi and Domenico Gambone

additic with th applica

not be

excepti granted

È

portion

PREMISES: 79-81 Jones Street, Conshohocken, Pennsylvania

This is an application for a special exception to construct a second floor on an existing portion of a first floor structure.

FINDINGS OF FACT

 The property is located at 79-81 Jones Street in an "R-3" Residential Zone with a non-conforming use as a wholesale/retail bakery. The owners and the applicants are Frank Manzi and Domenico Cambone of 79-81 Jones Street, Conshohocken, PA.
 The applicants request a special exception to make a structural alteration involving the extension of a non-conforming use.

The

Board is

in accor

terms of

4. The applicants propose to erect a second floor on an existing portion of a first floor structure in order to house special equipment needed in connection with the bakery business.
5. The applicants' proposal would not be contrary to public interest and would not adversely affect the health, safety and welfare of the commuty.

2

DISCUSSION

Board

The applicants request a special exception to extend a nonconforming use by constructing a second floor on an existing

Dated:



portion of a first floor structure. The applicants need this additional space to house special equipment needed in connection with the bakery business.

The Board determines that the applicants' proposal would not be contrary to the welfare of the community and that the applicants meet all of the requirements necessary for a special exception. Accordingly, the applicants proposal should be granted.

structure.

TUCE

one

in an

Premises:

Occupant:

Nature of

Owner of

DECISION

The applicants request for a special exception from the terms of the Zoning Ordinance to erect a second story addition in accordance with the plans submitted to the Zoning Hearing Board is hereby granted.

animion

nake a

pu

co public

ecy and

usiness.

on an house

Proof of u 1. D 2. D

CONSHOROCKEN ZONING HEARING BOARD

Corrado Dricher Mr.

Date such

5. 0

4. P.

3. B

the best o

1588

Dated: April 6,

-uou a b:

scing

subject to

5. The variance or existing improve Section 53.3 i required side Sections 1/1 a Buildings to be	Buildings to be building. To be used for th	Attached hereto i and size of the i and/or proposed t 6. Peritioner believ following reasons Conshohocken Ital is vital.and can Building coverage	efficient operation loading operation in staff size are (:f additional s; 7. The names and add hundred (500) fee on the same stree to be notified of	SWORN AND SWORN AND ON CHIS SE 1992 BEFOR D'ANGTUR AND BA. CONTROL
BOROUCH OF CONSHOHOCKEN, PA. TO THE CONSHOHOCKEN ZONING HEARING BOARD: DATE ZONSHOHOCKEN ZONING HEARING BOARD: DATE ZONSHOHOCKEN ZONING HEARING BOARD: DATE ZONZAN Tour petitioner (name) Frank F. Marze and Domenic Gambone Of (eract address) 19-83 Jones Street Indicate below the nature of the petition! (1) Hereby applets from the decision of the Zoning Officer; or (2) Hereby applets for special exception to, or variance from, the terms of the Zoning Officer; or	() Hereby applies for: () Hereby applies for: 1. The owner of the real estate involved in this petition is: Name Frank F. Manze and Domenic Gambone Exact address 79-83 Jones Street	Conshorcken, PA 19428 Phone No. 825-9334 and who has joined in this petition. 2. The location of the real estate involved in this petition is as follows: 607 2. Spring Mill Avenue 607 607 The dimensions and area of the real estate are: 8.360 square feet - dimensioned as shown on the enclosed plan 1. The real estate in question is classified at present under Zoning Class	high density residence district At present it is used for the purpose of <u>Residential lot</u> , currently uninhabited The buildings and other improvements now on the property consist of <u>Ane 2-1/2</u>	story frame house

all.

1 a line 1.57 * -has proved adequate for the business and there is no apparent need for additional parking facilities. This non-conforming use has been an integral part of the * neighborhood for some time and wishes to continue at this location. Continuation of Item 6: 5 26 Demlarie Catendar DATE 7- 8-42 -king. No significant changes the existing bakery building o side and offstreet parking Geten e the space necessary for rowth and success of the he non-conforming use, ng will reduce current idicating the location juirements is granted. ners of every lot not lot or building are id be granted for the now erected thereon street within five and/or additions to ted to the proposed ion 53.4 to reduce . Oliverice :itioner (if any) Contraction of the ing spaces. vill be held. onal sheet) peration.

Tac

PETITION OF

Ch-& -T and

has proved adequate f

Continuation of Item

neighborhood for some

parking facilities.

The variance or exception requested and the new improvements and/or additions to existing improvements, desired to be made are as follows:

5.

してい - 14.

Section 53.3 to allow approximately 70% building area. Section 53.4 to reduce

required side and rear yard depths. Section 186 to extend the non-conforming use,

Sections 171 and 174 to maintain the current number of parking spaces.

Buildings to be erected: ± 5800 square foot masonry building

The existing bakery is to be connected to the proposed Buildings to be changed:

building.

extension of existing bakery operation. To be used for the purpose of Attached hereto is a plot plan of the real estate involved, indicating the location and size of the lot, and the size and details of improvements now erected thereon and/or proposed to be erected.

•

-

following reasons: Expansion is necessary for the continued growth and success of the Petitioner believes that the exception(s) or variance(s) should be granted for the 6.

Conshohocken Italian Bakery within the Borough. Connection to the existing bakery building

is vital, and can only be accomplished if a variance of yard requirements is granted.

Building coverage in excess of 40% of the lot area will provide the space necessary for

efficient operation of the business. Proposed off-street loading will reduce current

loading operations on Jones Street. allowing more curb side parking. No significant changes

in staff size are anticipated. The current combination of curb side and offstreet parking (if additional space is required, please continue on an additional sheet)

hundred (500) feet of the lot or building involved, and the owners of every lot not on the same street within one hundred fifty (150) feet of and lot or building are to be notified of this petition and the date on which hearing will be held. The names and addresses of the owners of every lot on the same street within five

1. Mary Brondin in Concher French & Blange Demunice Geende Hand 1992 BEFRE ME, FAMIL SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED

Attorney for Petitioner (if any)

D'ANGETU, And HALLEY IN CONSE.

When the state of the state Constrotoden Bar

8A.

ない。

BEFORE THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN

IN RE: Application of Frank Manze and Domenic Gambone PREMISES: 83 Jones Street, Conshohocken, Pennsylvania

Applicants seek a Use Variance and a Variance from the building area coverage requirements of the zoning ordinance to erect a two story building with a bakery shop and offices.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The premises in question are situate at 83 Jones Street, and are located in an "R-3" Residential Zone.

2. The applicants are Frank Manze and Domenic Gambone of 79 Jones Street, Conshohocken, Pennsylvania.

3. The owners of the real estate in question are Rowland F. Lee and Catherine B. Lee of 201 Church Road, Norristown, Pennsylvania.

4. The applicants seek a Use Variance and a Variance from the lot coverage requirement of the zoning ordinance in order to erect a two story building to be used as a bakery shop and offices.

5. The proposal of the applicants would not be contrary to the health, safety and welfare of the community.

6. The applicants have established an unnecessary hardship which would entitle them to a Variance from the zoning ordinance.

名父

Sutclife Park for Usely a miral, 410 sp. mill and . aget.

2: 12:4

DISCUSSION

The Conshohocken Zoning Ordinance gives the Zoning Hearing Board the power to grant Variances from the terms of the Ordinances. Since the applicants' proposal is not contrary to the welfare of the community, and since the applicants have unnecessary hardship, the grant of a Variance is appropriate.

DECISION

The applicants' request for a Use Variance and a Variance from the terms of the lot coverage requirements of the Zoning Ordinance is hereby granted with the following stipulation: 1. Have off street parking be made available for all trucks and vehicles owned by the Conshohocken Italian Bakery.

ZONING HEARING BOARD Vous alleanne. Chairman (Imale) mastinelle

DATE SIGNED; DECEMBER 6, 1977

Applicant Request for County Review

This request should be filled out by the applicant and submitted to the municipality where the application is being filed along with digital copies of all plan sets/information. Municipal staff will electronically file the application with the county, and a notice for the prompt payment of any fees will be emailed to the Applicant's Representative.



Date: 12/12/2024

Municipality: Borough of Conshohocken

Proposal Name: Conshohocken Italian Bakery Minor Subdivision and Lot Consolidation

Applicant Name: LaFamiglia I, LP

Address: 79 Jones Street

City/State/Zip: Conshohocken, PA 19428

Phone: 610-340-0290 / 610-304-0222

Email: breadboys@aol.com, tina@conshybakery.com

Type of Review Requested:

(Check All Appropriate Boxes)

- □ Land Development Plan
- X Subdivision Plan
- X Residential Lot Line Change
- Nonresidential Lot Line Change
- Zoning Ordinance Amendment
- Zoning Map Amendment
- Subdivision Ordinance Amendment
- Curative Amendment
- Comprehensive / Other Plan
 - Conditional Use

□ Special Review*

*(Not included in any other category - includes parking lot or structures that are not associated with new building square footage)

Type of Plan:

Type of Submission:

Tentative (Sketch) Preliminary / Final

New Proposal *

* A proposal is NOT a resubmission if A) The proposed land use changes, or B) The amount of residential units or square footage proposed changes more than 40%, or C) The previous submission was over 5 years ago.

Zoning:

Existing District: **BR-2** Special Exception Granted Variance Granted

Yes No No For Applicant's Representative:

Address:

City/State/Zip: Business Phone (required): Business Email (required):

Plan Information:

Tax Parcel Number(s)

05-00-09756-00-4, 05-00-09764-00-5, 05-00-06056-00-5, 05-00-09768-00-1, 05-00-06056-00-9

Location 603, 607, 613 Spring Mill Avenue, 79 & 83 Jones Street, Conshohocken, PA Nearest Cross Street Jones Street

Total Tract Area 0.5291 Acres

Total Tract Area Impacted By Development N/A - No development proposed

(If the development is a building expansion, or additional building on existing development, or only impacts a portion of the tract, please provide a rough estimate of the land impacted, including associated yards, drives, and facilities.)

Land Use(s)	Number of New		Senior Housing		Open Space	Nonresidential New
	Lots	Units	Yes	No	Acres.	Square reet
Single-Family						
Townhouses/Twins						
Apartments						
Commercial						
Industrial						
Office						
Institutional						
Other						

*Only indicate Open Space if it will be on a separate lot or deed restricted with an easement shown on the plan.

Additional Information:

RESET





































































































ROROUGH of CONSHOHOCKEN

DEC 13'24 PM1:08

LAW OFFICES

POZZUOLO RODDEN POZZUOLO, P.C. A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

THE BYE-BENSON HOUSE 2033 WALNUT STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4403 (215) 977-8200 FAX (215) 977-9663

JOSEPH R. POZZUOLO JUDITH P. RODDEN* JEFFREY S. POZZUOLO* MARY YURICK RECEIVED

MONTGOMERY COUNTY OFFICE** 108 WOODSIDE ROAD ARDMORE, PA 19041

> NEW JERSEY OFFICE ** 1916 E. ROUTE 70, SUITE 6 CHERRY HILL, NJ 08003 (856) 489-7730 **Please reply to Philadelphia

PA AND NJ BARS*

December 13, 2024

Stephanie Cecco, Borough Manager Borough of Conshohocken 400 Fayette Street, Suite 200 Conshohocken, PA 19428 VIA HAND DELIVERY

> RE: Subdivision and Land Development Plan Application 79 Jones Street (Parcel No. 05-00-06056-005) 83 Jones Street (Parcel No. 05-00-06052-009) 545 Spring Mill Avenue (Parcel No. 05-00-09752-008) 603 Spring Mill Avenue (Parcel No. 05-00-09756-004)

Dear Ms. Cecco:

Enclosed herewith please find the Application of LaFamiglia I LP for subdivision and land development of the current Conshohocken Italian Bakery property to consolidate the existing Bakery parcels into a single parcel. This application also includes the subdivision of portions two other adjacent properties also owned by LaFamiglia I LP, namely 607 Spring Mill Avenue (Parcel No. 05-00-09764-005 and 613 Spring Mill Avenue (Parcel No. 05-00-09768-001). The current Bakery property occupies part of both 607 and 613 Spring Mill Avenue.

The objective of the Subdivision Plan is to be able to convey the Bakery property as one parcel to the buyer including the certain portions of 607 Spring Mill Avenue and 613 Spring Mill Avenue which are part of the existing Bakery property. Also, to subdivide 607 Spring Mill Avenue and 613 Spring Mill Avenue to separate the Bakery's use of the properties from the residential use of the properties.

A check in the amount of \$300 representing the application fee is also enclosed.

My client most respectfully requests that the Borough Council consider a waiver of subdivision and land development in regard to this Application.

If you have any questions or need further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very Truly Yours, POZZUOLO RODDEN, P.C.

BY<u>: /s/ Judith P. Rodden</u> JUDITH P. RODDEN, ESQUIRE

Enclosures

- cc: Conshohocken Italian Bakery, Inc. LaFamiglia I, LP Tina Gambone Michael Gambone
 # Michael E. Peters, Esquire (via email <u>mpeters@eastburngray.com</u>)
 - Michael E. Peters, Esquire (via email <u>mpeters@easeamging.com</u>) Mr. Ray Sokolowski (via email zrsokolowski@conshohockenpa.gov Peter Soloff, Esquire First Order, LLC



February 5, 2025

File No. 25-00010

Stephanie Cecco, Borough Manager Borough of Conshohocken 400 Fayette Street, Suite 200 Conshohocken, PA 19428

Reference: Conshohocken Italian Bakery – LD 2024-08 TMPs #05-00-06056-00-5, 05-00-06052-00-9, 05-00-09756-00-4, 05-00-09764-00-5, & 05-00-09768-00-1 Minor Subdivision and Waiver of Land Development

Dear Ms. Cecco:

Pursuant to the Borough's request, Gilmore & Associates, Inc. has reviewed the submission for Minor Subdivision and Waiver of Land Development for the above-referenced project. Upon review we offer the following comments for consideration by the Conshohocken Borough Council:

I. Submission

A. Conshohocken Italian Bakery Minor Subdivision and Lot Consolidation Plan, consisting of sheet 1 of 1 dated December 2, 2024, as prepared by First Order, LLC

II. Project Description

The subject properties, Tax Map Parcels 05-00-06056-00-5, 05-00-06052-00-9, 05-00-09756-00-4, 05-00-09764-00-5, and 05-00-09768-00-1, are situated in the BR-2 Borough Residential Two Zoning District on the eastern side of Jones Street, spanning between Spring Mill Avenue and East Hector Street (S.R. 3058), and along the southern side of Spring Mill Avenue. The five parcels are of varying size and are all owned by LaFamiglia I, LP. The combined net lot area of the five existing parcels is 20,367 square feet (approximately 0.468 acres).

The Applicant is proposing to consolidate and subdivide the existing lots into three parcels. Lot A would contain 17,945 square feet, with frontage on Jones Street, East Hector Street (S.R. 3058), and Spring Mill Avenue to include the buildings used for the Italian Bakery (entirely of current addresses 79 Jones Street, 83 Jones Street, 603 Spring Mill Avenue, and portions of current addresses 607 Spring Mill Avenue and 613 Spring Mill Avenue) and 2,682 square feet of area currently reserved as a water course and containing an existing stormwater culvert. Lot B would contain 3,792 square feet (a portion of current address 607 Spring Mill Avenue) and include an existing 3-story dwelling with an associated garage and concrete. Lot C would contain 1,312 square feet (a portion of current address 613 Spring Mill Avenue) and include one dwelling of an existing 3-story twin. The combined net lot area of the three proposed parcels is 23,049 square feet (approximately 0.529 acres). There are no proposed improvements.

- III. Review Comments
 - A. Zoning Ordinance

We defer all comments with respect to the Conshohocken Borough Zoning Ordinance to the Borough's Zoning Officer.

65 East Butler Avenue | Suite 100 | New Britain, PA 18901 | Phone: 215-345-4330 | Fax: 215-345-8606

B. Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance

We offer the following comments with respect to the Borough of Conshohocken Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance:

- 1. $\underline{\$22-305}$ We offer the following plan presentation comments:
 - a. Add bearings and distances for each existing lot line and easement to the plan, including:
 - i. 79 Jones Street east property line bearing and East Hector Street (S.R. 3058) property line distance.
 - ii. 607 Spring Mill Avenue east and west property line distances.
 - iii. 613 Spring Mill Avenue west property line distance.
 - iv. Existing culvert easement east, south, and west bearings and distances.

Adding this information via line references to the table containing lines, bearings, and distances would be acceptable.

- b. Update the existing lot area for TMP 05-00-09764-00-5 in Site Data Note 2 to reference the lot area associated with the premises included in the provided deed legal description for 607 Spring Mill Avenue.
- c. Confirm the length of the Spring Mill Avenue property line associated with 603 Spring Mill Avenue (TMP 05-00-09756-00-4). The provided deed legal description references 41.75 feet but the plan view references 42.00 feet. The area of adjacent property 605 Spring Mill Avenue (TMP 05-00-09760-00-9) shall not be modified.
- d. Confirm the length of the SE/NW lot line shared between 603 Spring Mill Avenue (TMP 05-00-09756-00-4) and adjacent parcel 605 Spring Mill Avenue (TMP 05-00-09760-00-9). The provided legal description references 71.00 feet but the plan view references 70.23 feet. The area of adjacent property 605 Spring Mill Avenue (TMP 05-00-09760-00-9) shall not be modified.
- e. Per the provided deed, update references to TMP 05-00-0656-00-9 with 05-00-06052-00-9.
- f. Update the plan to include identifying East Hector Street as a state road, i.e. S.R. 2038.
- g. Indicate the existing and proposed uses of each property.
- h. Add existing utility service locations to the plans, including water, sewer, gas, and electric. Easements shall be provided where any existing services would cross proposed property lines.
- i. Signature blocks for a notary public seal and signature acknowledging for the Owner's signature and the Borough Engineer shall be added to the plan.
- 2. <u>§22-308</u> The Applicant has submitted a request for this application to proceed as a waiver of land development. Based on the scope of the project, we support a waiver of the formal land development procedure conditioned upon all items being resolved to the satisfaction of the Borough.
- 3. <u>§22-407</u> A portion of the existing bakery building (identified on the plan as Significant Observation F) and a stockade fence extend beyond the lotted area, within a 10 foot alley. We recommend the Applicant propose to incorporate this alley area, located between the lot line labeled as L8 and the existing fence crossing the alley, into Lot A and coordinate with the Borough and Borough Solicitor regarding vacating this portion of the alley.
- 4. <u>§22-411</u> The Applicant proposes to adjust a lot line to include an area reserved as a water course, which contains an existing stormwater culvert, within proposed Lot A. We recommend the Applicant also include the existing encroachment into the reserved area by the 3 story dwelling located on 607 Spring Mill Avenue into Lot A. The Applicant shall coordinate with the Borough and Borough Solicitor regarding ownership and an easement for this area.

C. Stormwater Management Ordinance

We offer no comments with respect to the Borough of Conshohocken Stormwater Management Ordinance and the Drainage requirements in the Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance since there is no increase in impervious coverage and there are no proposed improvements.

D. General Comments

We offer the following general comments:

- 1. The Applicant shall obtain all required approvals, permits, etc. Copies of these approvals and permits shall be submitted to the Borough of Conshohocken and our office.
- 2. Legal descriptions for the proposed lots and easements shall be provided to our office for review.

If you have any questions regarding the above, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

MacMan

Karen M. MacNair, P.E. Borough Engineer Gilmore & Associates, Inc.

KMM/ddr/

cc: Brittany Rogers, Executive Assistant Ray Sokolowski, Executive Director of Operations and Building Code Official Michael E. Peters, Esq., Borough Solicitor



BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN

Office of the Borough Manager

MEMORANDUM

Date: January 28, 2025

To: Stephanie Cecco, Borough Manager

- From: Tim Gunning, Fire Marshal Matthew Traynor, Commercial Building Inspector
- Re: Italian Bakery Prelim-Final Minor Subdivision and Waiver of Land Development 12/13/2024 Submission (Original Submission)

As requested, the following materials were submitted for the above references land development proposal were reviewed:

• Conshohocken Italian Bakery Minor Subdivision and Lot Consolidation Plan, consisting of sheet 1 of 1 dated December 2, 2024, as prepared by First Order, LLC

Upon review of the submitted plans, we have no comments.

BOROUGH COUNCIL Tina Sokolowski, President Kathleen Kingsley, Vice-President Anita Barton, Senior Member Alan Chmielewski, Member Stacy Ellam, Member Ralph Frey, Member Adrian Serna, Member

Stephanie Cecco Borough Manager

400 Fayette Street, Suite 200 | Conshohocken, PA 19428 | Phone: 610-828-1092 | Fax: 610-828-0920 | www.conshohockenpa.gov

<u>MAYOR</u> Yaniv Aronson

MONTGOMERY COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

NEIL K. MAKHIJA, CHAIR JAMILA H. WINDER, VICE CHAIR THOMAS DIBELLO, COMMISSIONER

WWW.MONTGOMERYCOUNTYPA.GOV



MONTGOMERY COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

Montgomery County • PO Box 311 Norristown, Pa 19404-0311

610-278-3722 PLANNING@MONTGOMERYCOUNTYPA.GOV

> SCOTT FRANCE, AICP EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

January 28, 2025

Stephanie Cecco, Borough Manager Borough of Conshohocken 400 Fayette Street, Suite 200 Conshohocken, Pennsylvania 19428

Re: MCPC #24-0276-001 Plan Name: Conshohocken Italian Bakery Subdivision & Lot Consolidation for 79, 83 Jones Street & 607 & 613 Spring Mill Avenue 3 lots/ totaling 23,049 S. F. (0.529 acres) Situate: East Hector St (north)/ Jones Street (west) Borough of Conshohocken

Dear Ms. Cecco:

We have reviewed the above-referenced subdivision and land development proposal in accordance with Section 502 of Act 247, "The Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code," as you requested on December 20, 2024. We forward this letter as a report of our review.

BACKGROUND

The applicant, LaFamiglia I. LP, has submitted for review a subdivision plan that proposes the resubdivision of 5 tax parcels by the elimination of certain lot lines and the consolidation of certain lot areas, resulting in 3 new lots. The new lots are 'Proposed Lot A'- 17,945 S.F.; 'Proposed Lot 'B'- 3,792 S.F.; and 'Proposed Lot 'C'- 1,312 S.F. The properties take access to Spring Mill Avenue, Jones Street, and East Hector Street. The subject tax parcels are: Tax Parcel: 05-00-09756-00-4 (2,982 SF); Tax Parcel: 05-00-06056-00-5, (4,704 SF); Tax Parcel: 05-00-06056-00-9, (2,954 SF); Tax Parcel:05-00-09764, (7,200 SF); Tax Parcel: 05-00-09768-00-1, (1,439 SF). The subject parcels are in the BR-1 Borough Residential District One. The plan notes indicate several significant observations recorded in the survey: the building at 79 Jones Street crosses the property boundary by 6.9 ft on the east and 5.5 ft. on the western boundary and several other instances where structures cross certain property boundaries.

The applicant's submission includes the Conshohocken Zoning Hearing Board decision of December 14, 2014, granting 9 variances and a special exception to the applicant. Among the variances granted was

relief from Section 27-703.E. that permitted the expansion of a non-conforming use onto a different property

RECOMMENDATION & COMMENT

The Montgomery County Planning Commission (MCPC) has reviewed the lot consolidation plan and we have not identified any significant land use, transportation, design or other issues that should be addressed in the consideration of this proposal. Therefore, we have no substantive comments. Nevertheless, the municipal staff should ensure that the proposal meets all appropriate municipal land use regulations and other codes prior to granting approval.

CONCLUSION

We have no substantive comments to offer and generally support the proposed lot consolidation plan. Please note that the review comments and recommendation contained in this report are advisory to the municipality and final disposition for the approval of any proposal will be made by the municipality.

Please be aware that the MCPC number #24-0276-001 has been set aside for the applicant' plan. If any subsequent plans are submitted for final recording, this MCPC number should appear on the applicant sheets within the plans in the box reserved for the seal of this agency.

Should the governing body approve a final plat of this proposal, the applicant must present the plan to our office for seal and signature prior to recording with the Recorder of Deeds office. A paper copy bearing the municipal seal and signature of approval must be supplied for our files.

Sincerely,

Bang W. Jeffies

Barry W Jeffries, ASLA, Senior Design Planner bjeffrie@montgomerycountypa.gov (610) 278-3444

Chair, Boro Planning Commission Michael Peters, Esq., Conshohocken Boro Solicitor Karen MacNair, Boro Engineer Lafamiglia I, LP, Applicant Tina Gambone, Applicant's Rep

ATTACHMENTS A & B



Conshohocken Italian Bakery MCPC#240276001

Montgomery County	0	50
Planning		
Commission		
Montgomery County Country PCI Box 311 Nontstown PK 1 (p) 610 278-3722 (f) 610 278 www.montcopa.org/plancom Aerial photography.provided	use - Planning (940-4-0311 -394 T by Nearmap	Commissio

Ă





February 4, 2025

BCONS 24024

Stephanie Cecco, Borough Manager Conshohocken Borough 400 Fayette Street, Suite 200 Conshohocken, PA 19428

RE: Conshohocken Italian Bakery LD-2024-08 – Minor Subdivision and Waiver of Land Development Traffic Engineering Review (1st Submission)

Dear Ms. Cecco:

We have reviewed the following in association with the above referenced project:

• *"Conshohocken Italian Bakery Minor Subdivision and Lot Consolidation Plan."* (1 sheet) dated December 2, 2024; as prepared by First Order, LLC, 4383 Hecktown Road, Bethlehem, PA.

We offer the following comments and information for your consideration:

1. §27-824 - Traffic Impact Study

As the current proposal does not change the intended use or operation of the existing properties involved in this Minor Subdivision and Lot Consolidation Plan, a Traffic Impact Study (TIS) is not required at this time. However, should the use of any property be modified in the future, the need for a TIS must be reconsidered at that time in accordance with this section of the code.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me.

Sincerely,

PENNONI ASSOCIATES INC.

Bein R. Kearmy

Brian R. Keaveney, PE, PTOE Transportation Division

cc: Ray Sokolowski, Executive Director of Operations Brittany Rogers, Executive Assistant to the Borough Manager Karen MacNair, PE, Borough Engineer Michael E. Peters, Esq., Borough Solicitor Allison A. Lee, PE, Zoning Officer



February 5, 2025

BCONS 24034

Stephanie Cecco, Borough Manager Conshohocken Borough 400 Fayette Street, Suite 200 Conshohocken, PA 19428

RE: Conshohocken Italian Bakery LD-2024-08 – Minor Subdivision & Waiver of Land Development Zoning Review (1st Submission)

Dear Ms. Cecco:

As requested, we reviewed the following in connection with the above referenced project:

• *"Conshohocken Italian Bakery Minor Subdivision and Lot Consolidation Plan."* (1 sheet) dated December 2, 2024; as prepared by First Order, LLC, 4383 Hecktown Road, Bethlehem, PA.

Under this plan submission, the Applicant, LaFamiglia I, LP is proposing the following:

• Consolidate the following three (3) parcels into one (1) irregular shaped parcel to comprise proposed Lot A:

Proposed Lot	Existing Parcel ID	Existing Address/Location	Existing Lot Area	New Lot Area
А	05-00-09756-00-4	603 Spring Mill Avenue	2,964 SF (or 0.068 Acre)	1704505
A	05-00-06056-00-5	79 Jones Street	4,704 SF (or 0.108 Acre)	17,943 SF (or 0.412 pore)
А	05-00-06052-00-9	83 Jones Street	2,940 SF (or 0.067 Acre)	(01 0.412 acre)

• Subdivide portions of the following parcels to create new Lots B and C and/or to combine with proposed Lot A:

Proposed Lot	Existing Parcel ID	Existing Address/Location	Existing Lot Area	New Lot Area
В	05-00-09764-00-5	607 Spring Mill Avenue	8,360 SF (or 0.192 Acre)	3,792 SF (or 0.087 Acre)
С	05-00-09768-00-1	613 Spring Mill Avenue	1,440 SF (or 0.033 Acre)	1,312 SF (or 0.031 Acre)
А	05-00-09760-00-9	605 Spring Mill Avenue	1,264 SF (or 0.29 Acre)	1,255 SF (or 0.0288 Acre)

The proposed three (3) lots that will be created will comprise of the following:

<u>Proposed Lot A</u> - will consist of the existing 2-story single-family semi-detached (twin) residential dwelling located at 603 Spring Mill Avenue and the Italian Bakery warehouse building located at 79 and 83 Jones Street. With the proposed lot consolidation, proposed Lot A will change from a double frontage lot to a triple frontage lot fronted by Spring Mill Avenue (80 ft Right-of-Way) to the north; Jones Street (50 ft Right-of-Way) to the west; East Hector Street (50 ft Right-of-Way) to the south; and residential properties zoned within the BR-2 – Borough Residential District Two surrounding the remainder of the lot. The existing storm culvert, which currently bisects the middle of the Italian Bakery building between Spring Mill Avenue and East Hector Street will remain with the northern section of the storm culvert right-of-way to remain

and the southern portion of the storm culvert right-of-way will be consolidated into the Italian Bakery property abutting 608 E. Hector Street (Parcel ID no.: 05-00-05524-00-6). In addition, a portion of the existing 10-feet wide alley located to the rear of 613 Spring Mill Avenue (proposed Lot C) will also be added to proposed Lot A.

- <u>Proposed Lot B</u> will consist of the existing 3-story single-family detached dwelling and accessory garage structure located at 607 Spring Mill Road Avenue. Lot B is fronted by Spring Mill Avenue (80 ft Right-of-Way) to the north; the storm culvert right-of-way to remain to the west; the Italian Bakery warehouse building (proposed Lot A) to the south; and residential properties zoned within the BR-2 Borough Residential District Two to the east. The Lot B property is currently under the same ownership as the Applicant.
- <u>Proposed Lot C</u> will consist of the existing 3-story single-family semi-detached (twin) residential dwelling located at 613 Spring Mill Avenue. Lot C is fronted by Spring Mill Avenue (80 ft Right-of-Way) to the north; the Italian Bakery warehouse building (proposed Lot A) to the south; and residential properties zoned within the BR-2 Borough Residential District Two to the west and east.

All properties are located within the BR-2 – Borough Residential District Two.

In accordance with the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Panel No. 42091C0358G, effective 3/2/2016, the southern portion of the existing 79 Jones Street parcel (ID no. 05-00-06056-00-5) along E. Hector Street is located within Zone X - areas determined by the FEMA FIRM with a 0.2% annual chance flood hazard, or areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile. The remainder of the area is located within Zone X, which are areas determined by the FEMA FIRM as areas outside of the flood hazard areas or areas of minimal flood hazard. Therefore, based on the FEMA FIRM determination, this site is not located within the Floodplain Conservation Overlay District and will not be subject to the Floodplain Conservation Overlay District regulations.

VARIANCES RECEIVED:

- The Applicant was granted the following zoning relief for 79 Jones Street by the Borough of Conshohocken Zoning Hearing Board under Application no. 23-1972, in a Decision dated January 4, 1973:
 - 1. The change of non-conforming use from a light manufacturing use is approved and Applicants are granted permission to use the premises as a wholesale and retail bakery.
- The Applicant was granted the following zoning relief for 83 Jones Street by the Borough of Conshohocken Zoning Hearing Board in a Decision dated December 6, 1977:
 - 1. A use variance and a variance from the terms of the lot coverage requirements of the Zoning Ordinance.

The variance was granted subject to the following condition:

- 1. Have off street parking be made available for all trucks and vehicles owned by the Conshohocken Italian Bakery.
- The Applicant was granted the following zoning relief for 79 Jones Street by the Borough of Conshohocken Zoning Hearing Board in a Decision dated May 16, 1983:
 - 1. A variance from the terms of the zoning ordinance to construct two additions to the existing

structure on the premises located at 79 Jones Street.

- The Applicant was granted the following zoning relief for 79 Jones Street by the Borough of Conshohocken Zoning Hearing Board in a Decision dated August 3, 1987:
 - 1. Permission for the construction of a 29'x33' addition to the front of one side of the existing structure; and Construction of a second addition 12' x 50' in front of the other existing building. Joinder of the two existing buildings.
 - 2. Variances from the front yard, side yard, and building area.

The permission and variances were granted subject to the following condition:

- 1. Truck delivery are restricted to daylight hours.
- The Applicant was granted the following Special Exception for 79-81 Jones Street by the Borough of Conshohocken Zoning Hearing Board in a decision dated Apil 6, 1988:
 - 1. A special exception from the terms of the Zoning Ordinance to erect a second story addition in accordance with he plans submitted to the Zoning Hearing Board.
- The Applicant was granted the following Special Exception for 79-83 Jones Street by the Borough of Conshohocken Zoning Hearing Board in a decision dated Apil 6, 1988:
 - 2. A special exception from the terms of the Zoning Ordinance to erect a second story addition in accordance with he plans submitted to the Zoning Hearing Board.
- The Applicant was granted the following Special Exception for 96 Jones Street by the Borough of Conshohocken Zoning Hearing Board under Application no. 13-99-1999, in a Decision dated May 26, 1999:
 - 1. A special exception from Section 186-C to permit the extension of a non-conforming use by enclosing an open storage area on the site.
- The Applicant was granted the following Special Exception and Variance for 79 Jones Street by the Borough of Conshohocken Zoning Hearing Board in a decision dated June 6, 2000:
 - 1. A special exception to permit the expansion of a non-conforming building and use.
 - 2. A dimensional variance permitting the non-conforming building to encroach into the required twentyfive (25) foot rear yard, thus, creating a ten (10) foot rear yard.
- The Applicant was granted the following Special Exceptions and Variances by the Borough of Conshohocken Zoning Hearing Board in accordance the Borough of Conshohocken Council Resolution no. 26 of 2014 dated December 17, 2014:
 - 1. Section 27-703.D to permit a second expansion of a non-conforming use.
 - Section 27-703.E to permit the expansion of a non-conforming use, building, or structure onto a different lot
 - 3. Section 27-1103 to permit the conversion of a residential dwelling into a commercial and residential building
 - 4. Section 27-1105.C to permit a variance to the front yard setback requirement
 - 5. Section 27-1105.D to permit a rear yard variance of zero (0) on the lot

- 6. Section 27-1105.G to permit building coverage of more than 40% of the lot area
- 7. Section 27-1105.H to permit impervious coverage of greater than 60%
- 8. Section 27-2106 to permit a sign no larger than eighteen (18) square feet; and
- 9. Section 27-2108 to permit one (1) sandwich board sign

We offer the following zoning comments:

1. **Per §27-703.A** – Nonconforming status shall continue, and a property may continue to be used as nonconforming until it complies with the requirements of this Chapter.

Based on the current Zoning Ordinance, the existing Italian Bakery use is classified as an existing nonconforming use since this commercial use is not a use permitted by right nor by conditional use approval within the BR-2 Zoning District. This existing nonconforming use may be continued in accordance with the above Code Section. The Applicant shall be informed that should there be a deviation in the current use or a change in use to the properties, either in scale or intensity and/or expansion, the prospective property owner will be required to seek zoning relief.

Per §27-808 – A lot fronting on two or more streets at their junction is considered a corner lot. In the case
of a corner lot, said lot shall be considered to have two front yard setbacks and one side yard setback and
a rear yard setback.

The required front, side, and rear yard setback lines are not shown on the plan provided. These yard setback lines shall be provided, dimensioned, and labeled on the plan accordingly.

 Per §27-1105.A – Permitted Use Dimensional Standards – The minimum lot size shall be 3,500 square feet for single-family detached dwellings; 2,500 square feet for single-family semidetached dwellings per individual dwelling unit; 1,800 square feet per unit for single-family attached dwellings; and 5,000 square feet for two family detached dwellings.

The existing 605 Spring Mill Avenue and 613 Spring Mill Avenue (proposed Lot C) parcels consist of a single-family semi-detached (twin) dwelling and has a lot size of 1,264 SF and 1,440 SF, respectively, which are less than the minimum lot size requirement of 2,500 SF for the dwelling type within the BR-2 zoning district. Since the existing 1,264 SF and 1,440 SF lot sizes are less than the required 2,500 SF minimum lot size, these lots are considered existing non-conforming lots. With the proposed lot subdivision, the proposed 605 Spring Mill Avenue and 613 Spring Mill Avenue (proposed Lot C) parcel sizes will be further reduced to 1,255 SF and 1,312 SF, respectively due to the existing Italian Bakery warehouse building addition located to the rear of these parcels. The variances for the yard encroachments and reduced setback distances were approved by the Zoning Hearing Board per the Borough of Conshohocken Council Resolution no. 26 of 2014 dated December 17, 2014; therefore, the reduced lot areas are considered existing non-conforming. However, the rear lot line of 605 Spring Mill Avenue does not appear to be accurately depicted on the plan provided. On the plan, the Applicant is proposing to move the rear yard lot line 0.77 feet closer towards the dwelling, which will result in a decreased rear yard area for the existing dwelling. The Applicant shall clarify and confirm. If the rear yard lot line is reduced closer towards the 605 Spring Mill Avenue property, the existing property owner will need to be notified; accept the proposed lot line change; and also seek a variance to permit the additional reduced rear yard setback.

4. Per §27-1105.D – Permitted Use Dimensional Standards – The minimum rear yard setback shall be 25 feet.

With the property line revisions, the Applicant will be creating a new rear yard property line for the following parcels:

• Italian Bakery property (proposed Lot A)

- 605 Spring Mill Avenue
- 607 Spring Mill Avenue (proposed Lot B)
- 613 Spring Mill Avenue (proposed Lot C)

With the proposed new rear yard property line, the rear yard setback for the Italian Bakery property (proposed Lot A) will have approximately zero (0) to six (6) feet of rear yard setback from the rear lot lines of the 608 to 614 East Hector Street properties and from the rear lot line of from the existing 10 feet wide alley located to the rear of 613 Spring Mill Avenue (proposed Lot C). In addition, 605 and 613 Spring Mill Avenue (proposed Lot C) will only be approximately 18 feet and 20 feet, respectively, which are less than the minimum required 25 feet rear yard setback. The variances for the yard encroachments and reduced setback distances were approved by the Zoning Hearing Board per the Borough of Conshohocken Council Resolution no. 26 of 2014 dated December 17, 2014; therefore, the reduced lot areas are considered existing non-conforming.

In addition, based on the Montgomery County Property Records for the existing dwelling located at 605 Spring Mill Avenue, the rear yard property line appears to traverse straight across to the right-of-way area for the water course. This rear yard lot line does not appear to be accurately depicted on the plan provided. On the plan, the Applicant is proposing to move the rear yard lot line 0.77 feet closer towards the dwelling, which will result in a decreased rear yard area for the existing dwelling. The Applicant shall clarify and confirm. If the rear yard lot line is reduced closer towards the 605 Spring Mill Avenue property, the existing property owner will need to be notified; accept the proposed lot line change; and also seek a variance to permit the additional reduced rear yard setback.

5. **Per §27-1105.E – Permitted Use Dimensional Standards** – The minimum side yard setback shall be five feet for each side for a single-family detached dwellings. Semidetached dwellings shall have a five-foot side yard setback for the side not sharing a common wall. The minimum side yard setback of a two-family detached or the end unit of a single-family attached dwelling shall be seven feet.

Based on the plan provided, the Applicant is not proposing any changes to the existing side yards for residential parcels. However, the plan is showing the existing 3-story single-family detached dwelling located on 607 Spring Mill Avenue (proposed Lot B) to be encroaching onto the area reserved as a water course. The Applicant shall clarify and confirm this encroachment and revise the plan accordingly. The existing zero (0) feet side yard offset of the existing Italian Bakery warehouse building adjacent to 600 East Hector Street is considered existing non-conforming.

 Per §27-1105.G – Permitted Use Dimensional Standards – The maximum building coverage shall not exceed 40% of the lot area. Building coverage for private garages shall be subject to the provisions of §27-811C.

Based on the plan and proposed lot areas provided, the Applicant is proposing Lot A to have a building coverage of 58% and Lot C to have a building coverage of 45%, both of which are greater than the maximum allowable building coverage of 40%. The variance to permit a lot coverage above 40% was approved by the Zoning Hearing Board per the Borough of Conshohocken Council Resolution no. 26 of 2014 dated December 17, 2014; therefore, the exceedance on the maximum lot coverage is considered existing non-conforming.

In addition, based on our measurements of the Italian Bakery warehouse and building, we measured 13,067 SF of building coverage, which is calculated to be approximately 72.8% for proposed Lot A instead of the 58% as shown in the Zoning Data table. The Applicant shall clarify and confirm by providing a building coverage calculation on the plan and revise the plan accordingly.

7. Per §27-1105.H – Permitted Use Dimensional Standards – The maximum impervious coverage shall not exceed 60% of the lot area. A maximum of two permanent rear off-street parking spaces per single-family

dwelling measuring nine feet by 18 feet may be excluded from the impervious coverage calculation. Such spaces will be subject to review by the Borough Engineer to determine that there are no adverse effects related to drainage and stormwater management. The cost of the engineering review will be borne by the homeowner. Impervious coverage for private garages shall be subject to the provisions of § 27-811C.

The impervious coverage dimensional standard is not shown in the Zoning Data table and shall be provided accordingly.

General Zoning Comments

- 8. The zoning data table shall be expanded to include the existing parcel data in addition to the proposed zoning data provided on the plan.
- 9. The zoning data table shall also be revised to show the dimensional requirements for both a single-family detached dwelling and a single-family semi-detached (twin) dwelling since both housing types are present under this application.
- 10. The zoning data table shall indicate any existing non-conformities from the dimensional standard, as well as, any standard that requires a variance.
- 11. The plan shall list all prior variances, dates, and zoning decisions obtained for the site.
- 12. The Applicant will be required to revise the property boundary lines to not outline the existing building and instead be more straight uniform property boundary lines.
- 13. The Applicant shall provide an existing features plan showing the existing lot lines for the parcels. Based on the Montgomery County Property Records, there are existing property lines that do not appear to be accurately depicted on the plan. The Applicant shall confirm and revise the plan accordingly.
- 14. The proposed lot lines shall be clearly shown on the plan for clarity. Currently it is difficult to determine what is an internal lot line and what is an edge of building line. In addition, proposed lot lines should not trace the perimeter of the existing warehouse building and irregular lot lines should be avoided wherever possible.
- 15. The existing and proposed property lines shall be provided in the legend.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Allisin A. Lee

Allison A. Lee, PE Zoning Officer **PENNONI ASSOCIATES INC.** AAL/

U:\ACCOUNTS\BCONS\BCONS24034 - ZONING LD RVW - CONSHOHOCKEN ITALIAN BAK\DOCUMENTS\2024.12.13 SUBMISSION (ORIGINAL SUBMISSION)\ZONING REVIEW\ZONING LD REVIEW LETTER 2025-02-04.DOCX

BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

APPLICATION FOR SUBDIVISION/ LAND DEVELOPMENT

AT LICATION FOR SUBDIVISION	AND DEVELOT MENT
To be completed by the Borough:	
Submission Information: File Number : LD - 2024 - 07	File Date: $12/2/24$
Project Title: 440 E 944 AVC	Date Complete: 12/4/24
Received By: B. ROGULS	90 Day Date: Willivid
REQUIRED MATERIALS FOR ALL LAND DEVELOP/	MENT/SUBDIVISION APPLICATIONS
1. This form MUST be completed and submitted with the Be	orough's Land Development/Subdivision application.
 A Land Development/Subdivision Application MUST inc to be considered complete. 	lude all of the items listed in the application checklist
Incomplete applications will NOT be placed on a Planni be returned to the applicant.	ng Commission agenda. Incomplete applications will
 Complete applications must be received at least 38 DA' meeting at which it will be heard. 	YS (see schedule) prior to the Planning Commission
It is highly encouraged to submit applications in a dig 4. One (1) digital copy plus seven (7) paper copies of the	<pre>sital format. complete application are required if submitting</pre>
digitally, or fifteen (15) paper copies of the complete of	application are required.
Applicant Information: Name: KEITH CATANIA/CATANIA Name: KEITH CATANIA/CONSTRUCTION,U	Property Owner Information (if different): (Name: <u>SAME</u>
Address: 4/21 FILGRAM FOAD OINAMOUTTI MEETING PA19467	Address:
Phone: 215-669-4917	Phone:
Fax:	Fax:
E-Mail*: KCATANIA (2) COMCAST. NET	E-Mail*:
Architect/Planner:	
E-mail*: #174 JOHNO TRBETTS ARCHITE	TUREPhone/Fax: 610-279-3131
Engineer/Surveyor: GEORGE MAALOVE/BOR Address: <u>TIBGRAVEL PIKE, COLLEGEVI</u> E-mail*: TBORDSIENICZ DAOL.COM	CUSIENTICZ SURVEYORS & SITE LUSIENTICZ SURVEYORS & SITE LUSIENTICZ SURVEYORS & SITE PLANNERS Phone/Fax: 610-941-7181
Landscape Architect: REQUESTING WAIVER -	-ONLY TWO/2) STREEF TREES
Address:	
E-mail*:	Phone/Fax:
Attorney: MARKS. DANEK, ESQ. / 0	BERMAYER-
Address: 100 FOUR FALLS CORPORATE	CENITER, SUITE 1-210, W: CONSHO.,
E-mail*: MARK. DANEL OCBERMAYER	ComPhone/Fax: #484-344-5429
*All correspondence regarding this application from the Plan All persons involved with this application should provide their	nning Commission and staff will be made via e-mail. r e-mail addresses so that information including, but

Application For: (See Section 22-305.A or the bottom of page 10 of the application packet for clarification) Minor Land Development Preliminary Major Land Development Final Major Land Development Final Major Subdivision Final Major Subdivisio	r Subdivision vision
Project Information: Location (Street Address): 440 EAST 9TH AVENUE Tax Assessment Parcel No. 05-00-06904- County Deed Book No. 6169 Page No. 00-3	.1312
Description of Proposed Work: SINCLE LOT GO'X 140' TO BE SUBDIVIDED TWO (2) 30'X 140' LOTS WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF TWO/2 FAMING PETACHED DWELLING 20'X 45' - EX. HOUSE & GARD BE DEMOLISHED Total Tract Acreage: 0.2 Project Acreage 0.2	INTO SINGLE- GETO
Zoning District B2-1 Existing Number of Lots: / Proposed Number of Lot	ts: 2
Proposed Land Use: Single-Family Detached Single-Family Semi-Detached Single-Family Attached Commercial Office I Other (Describe):	Aulti-Family ndustrial
Existing Sewer Flows: 350 GPD Proposed Sewer Flows: 700 CP	Þ
The applicant must provide all of the following plans for an application to be considered complete. Section 22 the SALDO outlines plan submission requirements and the criteria that must be met in order for submissions is deemed complete. These requirements are listed on information sheets provided at the end of this application package. If the required plans listed below do not have sufficient information to allow for staff reviews, the ap may be considered incomplete and returned, requesting additional information. Record Plan Creating Features Site Plan Carading Plan Circulation Plan_Major Stormwater Calculations Check List - Proof of ownership and zoning relief: Copy of adjudication of Zoning Hearing Board related to the application Streetscape in all directions, showing subject property in each Sidewalk and curb conditions Ma Street trees Alley conditions, if present Check List - Setback of Proposed Building(s):	p, Part 3 of to be m plication tect)

Section/Requirements Relief Requested: SEES SUPPEMENT To GM	L	ist of Requested Waivers:
BEEL SUPPLEMENT TO GLE PLANDED Have you met with the Zoning Officer regarding this plan? Are there known variances/any zoning relief necessary for this project?* Yes Are there known variances/any zoning relief necessary for this project?* Yes The beam review of by the Zoning Hearing Board? *** The statis plan been review of by the Zoning Hearing Board? *** *** The undersigned represents that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all the above statements are to correct and complete. Junt Signature of Applicant Signature of Applicant Signature of Applicant Signature of Property Owner (if not the same as applications require a pre-submission meeting to discuss the project prior to full application submittal. MINOR subdivision/land use applications ray request a pre-submission meeting; if one is desired. Meetings are held the second and foury Tuesday of each month beginning at 1:30pm at the because doffees. Applicant signature date To subdivision /land use applications ray request a pre-submission meeting; if one is desired. Meetings are held the second and foury Tuesday of each month beginning at 1:30pm at the because doffees. Applicant signature date	S	Section/Requirement: Relief Requested:
How you met with the Zoning Officer regarding this plan? Yes Have you met with the Zoning Officer regarding this plan? Yes Are there known variances/any zoning relief necessary for this project? Yes If YES, have you submitted an application for the Zoning Hearing Board? Yes Please to addred that if any variances are fearing board? Yes Please to addred that if any variances are fearing board? Yes Please to addred that if any variances are fearing board? Yes Please to addred that if any variances are fearing board? Yes Please to addred that if any variances are fearing board? Yes Please to addred that if any variances are fearing board? Yes Please to addred that if any variances are fearing board? Yes Please to addred that if any variances are fearing board? Yes Please to addred that if any variances are fearing board? Yes In undersigned represents that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all the above statements are trained complete. Juit (Juit Officer Signature of Property Owner (if not the same as applications require a pre-submission meeting, if one is desired. MiNOR subdivision/land use applications require a pre-submission meeting. Japplication submitted. MiNOR subdivision/land use applications require a pre-submi	7	SEE SUPPLEADENTRY
Planuber Planuber Have you met with the Zoning Officer regarding this plan? Are there known variances/any zoning relief necessary for this project?* Yes N Has this plan been reviewed by the Zoning Hearing Board? Yes *** Yes *** N *** And the in any variance feads to accessary doing the core of the review of this to your will be required to get the Sonake water to th	1-	DOCUMARXIT TO BIG
Have you met with the Zoning Officer regarding this plan? Yes N Are there known variances/any zoning relief necessary for this project?* Yes N If YES, have you submitted an application for the Zoning Hearing Board? Yes N Has this plan been reviewed by the Zoning Hearing Board? Yes N Hearts be offset that if any variances or hear to be accurs of the review of the Action you will be required to a prove to the Yes N Hearts be offset that if any variances or hear to be accurs of that you will be required to represented to a require the YO day activated to require the Sonogh a value to the YO day activated to require the Sonogh a value to the YO day activated or animmediae denied of this ophication will be made, and you will be required to require the Sonogh a value to the YO day activated or animmediae denied of this ophication will be made, and you will be required to require the sone statements are true correct and complete. Just of Applicant Signature of Property Owner (if not the some as applied to application submittal. MINOR subdivision/land use applications require a pre-submission meeting; if ane is desired. Meetings are held the second and fourth Tuseday of each month beginning at 1:30pm at the Borough Administrative Offices. Applicant signature date To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager ph 610.028.1092 e londus@Controlhockenpa.gov Deale Beadan Morone		PRODER
Have you met with the Zoning Officer regarding this plan? Yes N Are there known variances/any zoning relief necessary for this project? Yes N If YES, have you submitted an application for the Zoning Board? Yes N If Neas this plan been reviewed by the Zoning Hearing Board? Yes N **there be added that if any variances are found to be rescard to the rescard to a measure of the added that if any variances are found to be measure the project or met the Sough and water the 90-day and period or an immediate dentiof of this oppleatien will be required to reschem the capited on a water to the 90-day and period or an immediate dentiof of this oppleatien will be made, and you will be required to reschem the capited on a water to the 90-day and period or an immediate dentiof of this oppleatien will be made, and you will be required to reschem the capited on a water to the 90-day and period or an immediate dentiof of this oppleatien will be made, and you will be required to reschem the capite to the 90-day and period or an immediate dentiof of this oppleatien will be made, and you will be required to reschem the capite to the sough and period. The understigned represents that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all the above statements are the carried and any the data. Signature of Applicant Signature of Property Owner (if not the same as applied to reschem the sough and the period of an immediate dentiof of the application submittel. MINOR subdivision/land use applications require a pre-submission meeting, if one is desired. Meetings are held the second and fourth Tuesday of each mont	5	TRADUCTO J
Have you met with the Zoning Officer regarding this plan? Yes N Are there known variances/any zoning relief necessary for this project?* Yes N If YES, have you submitted an application for the Zoning Hearing Board? Yes N **Here be advised that if any variances are faund to be necessary during the course of the zoning Hearing Board? Yes N **Here be advised that if any variances are faund to be necessary during the course of the zoning a value to the 90 day and period a could of the project of the project or wards and you will be required to resubmit the optication. Notice the 90 day and period or an interval of the project or an interval of the project or an interval of the project of project or proceeding to the Paring Commission. In addition, you will be required to resubmit the optication. Notice the project or proceeding to the Paring Commission was the project or prove of the project or prove of the period or an interval of the second and fourth Tuesdoy of each month beginning at 1.30pm at the Borage and the second and fourt Tuesdoy of each month beginning at 1.30pm at the Borage and the second and fourt Tuesdoy of each month beginning at 1.30pm at the Borage and the second and fourt Tuesdoy of each month beginning at 1.30pm at the Borage and the second and fourt Tuesdoy of each month beginning at 1.30pm at the Borage and the second and fourt Tuesdoy of each month beginning at 1.30pm at the Borage and the second	-	
Have you met with the Zoning Officer regarding this plan? Yes N Are there known variances/any zoning relief necessary for this project?* Yes Yes N If YES, have you submitted an application for the Zoning Hearing Board? Yes N N **Perse be addied that if any variances are fand to be necessary during the carrae of the rowe of the addies, we will be required to go the the Od day addient be addied that if any variances are fand to be necessary during the carrae of the rowe of the dark we will be required to go the the Od day addient period or can the board of the application. N **Perse be addied that if any variances are fand to be necessary during the carrae of the rowe of the dark we will be required to go the the Od day addient period or can the row of the above statements are transmitted eareit and to the Barring Commission. In addition, you will be required to resubmit the Od day addient period or a period. The undersigned represents that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all the above statements are transmitted and application submittal. Signature of Property Owner (if not the same as applined application submittal. If 2.99.2. Date Date NINOR subdivision/land use applications nay request a pre-submission meeting; if ane is desired. Meetings are held the second and fough Tuesday of each month beginning at 1:30pm at the Borough Administrative Offices. Applicant sasume responsibility of any fees associated with this meeting. Applicant signature Anewer 8 Otech No.		
Are there known variances/eny zoning relief necessary for this project?* Yes	1	lave you met with the Zoning Officer regarding this plan? Yes N
If YES, have you submitted an application for the Zoning Hearing Board? Has this plan been reviewed by the Zoning Hearing Board? Hears he advised haft if any variances are found to be necessary during the course of the review of this laber, you will be required to resolve the the society of a schere to the 90-day active profer to proceeding to the filtering Commission. In addition, you will be required to resolve the application. The undersigned represents that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all the above statements are the correct and complete. Luck (ctars) Signature of Applicant It-99-24 Date ALL MAJOR subdivision/land use applications require a pre-submission meeting to discuss the project prior to for application submitted. MINOR subdivision/land use applications may request a pre-submission meeting; if one is desired. Meetings are held the second and fourth Tuesday of each month beginning at 1:30pm at the Borough Administrative Offices. Applicant signature date Applicant signature Applicant S Oneck No. Pre-Construction Professional Services Excerv Amount S Oneck No. Deciden Date	A	Are there known variances/any zoning relief necessary for this project?* Yes 🗸 N
Has this plan been reviewed by the Zoning Hearing Board? Yes Yes "Hease be advised that if any variance are found to be necessary during the correct of the Necessary of the Board? Yes Yes "Hease be advised that if any variance are found to be necessary during the correct of the Necessary of the Board? Yes Yes Yes "Hease be advised that if any variance are found to be necessary during the correct of the Necessary of the Necessar	H	YES, have you submitted an application for the Zoning Hearing Board?
These be addised that if any variances are stand to be recessory during the course of the provide or an interaction on the Parting Count of the Parting	F	las this plan been reviewed by the Zoning Hearing Board?
The undersigned represents that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all the above statements are the correct and complete. Signature of Applicant I1-29-24 Date ALL MAJOR subdivision/land use applications require a pre-submission meeting to discuss the project prior to fu application submittal. MINOR subdivision/land use applications have request a pre-submission meeting; if one is desired. Meetings are held the second and fourn Tuesday of each month beginning at 1:30pm at the Borough Administrative Offices. Applicant signature date To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager ph: 610.828.1092 e: landuse@conshohackenpa.gov Everypticate Ordyr:	* H P	Please be advised that if any variances are found to be necessary during the course of the review of this plan, you will be required to go to the Zonin earing Board prior to proceeding to the Planning Commission. In addition, you will be requested to grant the Borough a waiver to the 90-day action eriod or an immediate dental of this application will be made, and you will be required to resubmit the application.
correct and complete. Signature of Applicant Signature of Applicant Signature of Property Owner (if not the same as appling the same as approved to the same as appling the same as appling the	T	he undersigned represents that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all the above statements are true
Signature of Applicant Signature of Property Owner (if not the same as applications require of Applicant of Property Owner (if not the same as applications and the same as applications submitted. ALL MAJOR subdivision/land use applications require a pre-submission meeting to discuss the project prior to fu application submitted. MINOR subdivision/land use applications may request a pre-submission meeting; if one is desired. Meetings are held the second and fourn Tuesday of each month beginning at 1:30pm at the Borough Administrative Offices. Applicant signature date To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager ph: 010.828.1092 e: landuse@conshokockenpa.gov Eorough Use Only:	с	orrect and complete.
Signature of Applicant Signature of Property Owner (if not the same as appling the same as a appling the same as a same as a appling the same as a same asame as a same as		Keith Catana
11-29-24 Date Date	s	ignature of Applicant Signature of Property Owner (if not the same as applic
Date Date ALL MAJOR subdivision/land use applications require a pre-submission meeting to discuss the project prior to full applications submittal. MINOR subdivision/land use applications may request a pre-submission meeting; if one is desired. Meetings are held the second and fourn Tuesday of each month beginning at 1:30pm at the Borough Administrative Offices. Applicants assume responsibility of any fees associated with this meeting. Applicant signature date date To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager ph: 610.828.1092 e: landuse@conshohockenpa.gov Berough Use Only:	1071	
All MAJOR subdivision/land use applications require a pre-submission meeting to discuss the project prior to fu application submittal. MINOR subdivision/land use applications may request a pre-submission meeting; if one is desired. Meetings are held the second and fourn Tuesday of each month beginning at 1:30pm at the Borough Administrative Offices. Applicants assume responsibility of any fees associated with this meeting. Applicant signature date To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager ph: 610.828.1092 e: landuse@conshohockenpa.gov	D	
ALL MAJOR subdivision/land use applications require a pre-submission meeting to discuss the project prior to fu Application submittal. MINOR subdivision/land use applications may request a pre-submission meeting; if one is desired. Meetings are held the second and fourth Tuesday of each month beginning at 1:30pm at the Borough Administrative Offices. Applicants assume responsibility of any fees associated with this meeting. Applicant signature date To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager pl: 610.828.1092 e: landuse@conshohockenpa.gov Borough Use Only:		uie Date
MINOR subdivision/land use applications may request a pre-submission meeting; if one is desired. Meetings are held the second and fourth Tuesday of each month beginning at 1:30pm at the Borough Administrative Offices. Applicants assume responsibility of any fees associated with this meeting. Applicants assume responsibility of any fees associated with this meeting. Applicant signature date To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager ph: 610.828.1092 e: landuse@conshohockenpa.gov Borough Use Only:		ALL MAJOR subdivision/land use applications require a pre-submission meeting to discuss the project prior to fu application submittal.
Meetings are held the second and fourth Tuesday of each month beginning at 1:30pm at the Borough Administrative Offices. Applicants assume responsibility of any fees associated with this meeting. Applicant signature date To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager ph: 610.828.1092 e: landuse@conshohockenpa.gov		MINOR subdivision / land use applications may request a pre-submission meeting, if one is desired
Meetings are held the second and fourn Tuesday of each month beginning at 1:30pm at the Borough Administrative Offices. Applicants assume responsibility of any fees associated with this meeting. Applicant signature date To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager ph: 610.828.1092 e: landuse@conshohockenpa.gov Borough Use Only: Filing Fee Pre-Construction Professional Services Escrow Amount \$ Check No. Decision Information: Approval Denial Decision Informations:		with the subdivision with use applications had request a pre-submission meening; it one is desired.
Applicants assume responsibility of any fees associated with this meeting. Applicants assume responsibility of any fees associated with this meeting. Applicant signature date To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager ph: 610.828.1092 e: landuse@conshohockenpa.gov Borough Use Onty:	L.	Meetings are held the second and fourth Tuesday of each month beginning at 1:30pm at
Applicants assume responsibility of any fees associated with this meeting. Applicant signature date To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager ph: 610.828.1092 e: landuse@conshohockenpa.gov Borough Use Only:	Y	the Borough Administrative Offices.
Applicant signature date To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager ph: 610.828.1092 e: landuse@conshohockenpa.gov Berough Use Only:		Applicants assume responsibility of any fees associated with this meeting
Applicant signature date To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager ph: 610.828.1092 e: landuse@conshohockenpa.gov Borough Use Only:		
Applicant signature date To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager ph: 610.828.1092 e: landuse@conshohockenpa.gov Borough Use Only: Filing Fee Pre-Construction Professional Services Escrow Amount \$		
To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager ph: 610.828.1092 e: landuse@conshohockenpa.gov Borough Use Only:		Applicant signature date
To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager ph: 610.828.1092 e: landuse@conshohockenpa.gov Borough Use Only:		
ph: 610.828.1092 e: landuse@conshohockenpa.gov Borough Use Only: Filing Fee Amount \$		To schedule a pre-submission meeting, please contact the office of the Borough Manager
e: landuse@conshohock&npa.gov		ph: 610.828.1092
Borough Use Only:		e: landuse@conshohockénpa.gov
Filing Fee Amount \$ Check No. Pre-Construction Professional Services Escrow Amount \$ Check No. Decision Information:	Γ	Borough Use Only:
		Filing Fee Amount \$ Check No
Decision Information: Approval Denitel Decision Date: omments/Conditions:	-	Pre-Construction Professional Services Escrow Amount \$ Check No.
Approval Decision Date:	L	
Approval Denial Decision Date:		
omments/Conditions:	1	Approval Denial Decision Dates
	000	mante /Conditions
	.om	

Application For: (See Section 22-305.A or the bottom of page 10 of the application packet for clarification) Minor Land Development Preliminary Major Land Development Final Major Land Development Final Major Land Development
Project Information: Location (Street Address): <u>440 EASE 9TH AVENUE</u> Tax Assessment Parcel No. <u>65-00-06904</u> County Deed Book No. <u>6169</u> Page No. <u>1312</u>
Description of Proposed Work: SINCLE LOT GO'X 140' TO BE SUBDIVIDED INTO TWO (2) 30' X 140' LOTS WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF TWO(2) SINCLE FAMING DETACHED DWELLING 20'X 45' - EX. HOUSE & GARAGE TO Total Tract Acreage: 0.2 Project Acreage 0.2
Zoning District BR-1 Existing Number of Lots: / Proposed Number of Lots: 2 Proposed Land Use: X Single-Family Detached Single-Family Semi-Detached Multi-Family Other (Describe):
Existing Sewer Flows: DO GAV Proposed Sewer Flows: DO GAV Check List - Plans: The applicant must provide all of the following plans for an application to be considered complete. Section 22, Part 3 of the SALDO outlines plan submission requirements and the criteria that must be met in order for submissions to be deemed complete. These requirements are listed on information sheets provided at the end of this application package. If the required plans listed below do not have sufficient information to allow for staff reviews, the application may be considered incomplete and returned, requesting additional information. Image: A considered incomplete and returned, requesting additional information. Image: A considered incomplete and returned, requesting additional information. Image: A considered incomplete and returned, requesting additional information. Image: A considered incomplete and returned, requesting additional information. Image: A considered incomplete and returned, requesting additional information. Image: A considered incomplete and returned, requesting additional information. Image: A considered incomplete and returned, requesting additional information. Image: A considered incomplete and returned, requesting additional information. Image: A considered incomplete and returned, requesting additional information. Image: A considered incomplete and returned, requesting additional information. Image: A considered incomplete and returned, requesting a considered by a Landscape Architect. Image: A considered incomplete and considered incomplete and consindered incomplete.
N/A Lighting Plan_Major N/A Traffic Study (if applicable) N/A Circulation Plan_Major Post Construction Stormwater Stormwater Calculations Management Plan Check List - Proof of ownership and zoning relief: Utility Plan Proof of equitable ownership or interest in the property - copy of the deed to the subject property
Streetscape in all directions, showing subject property in each Façade and secondary elevations of existing building(s) on site Side walk and curb conditions NA Street trees Alley conditions, if present Check List - Building Elevations: Architectural drawings and renderings of proposed building(s) Check List - Setback of Proposed Building(s):
Established building line for the block on which the property is located (eg: scale off an aerial) (In plan, show setbacks of all existing buildings on same side of the street as project for entire block.)

BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

Planning Process Extension Agreement

The Pennsylvania Municipality Planning Code (MPC) and the Conshohocken Borough Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance state that action must be taken by the Borough within ninety (90) days after a complete application is filed with the Borough. In the Borough, larger and complicated projects have historically required additional time in order to complete a thorough review before being considered for approval. As such, an applicant may voluntarily waive the timing requirement at any time, but is encouraged to submit this waiver with the completed application.

I, the applicant, hereby voluntarily waive the timing requirement as set forth in the MPC (Section 509) and the Conshohocken Borough Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance (Section 22-308).

alan Applicant signature

<u>//-29-24</u> Date 12/4/24 Date

Received by (Borough)

BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

ESCROW AGREEMENT FOR PROFESSIONAL REVIEW FEES

SUBDIVISION/LAND DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS

The undersigned hereby agrees to post an escrow to cover the costs of the review of subdivision and land development applications by the Borough Planner, Engineer, and Solicitor. The amount of said escrow shall be according to the attached "Schedule of Fees" and shall be posted at the time of initial submission of an application to the Borough. Said fees shall be placed in an escrow account and any balance remaining shall be returned to the applicant subsequent to the receipt of final approval.

The applicant is advised that the "Schedule of Fees" represents only an estimate of the costs associated with plan review. The completeness and quality of the submission, the complexity of the project, the number of revisions and other factors may cause costs to exceed the established escrow amounts. If during the course of a subdivision/land development review an escrow amount falls to 10% of the original escrow amount or \$250, whichever is greater, the Borough may require the posting of additional escrow.

NOTE: NO FINAL APPROVALS, CONSTRUCTION, BUILDING OR OCCUPANCY PERMITS SHALL BE ISSUED UNTIL ALL OUTSTANDING PROFESSIONAL REVIEW FEES HAVE BEEN SATISFIED.

Signed Keill atom Date: 11-29-24

Applicant









LOFT PLAN

SCALE 1/4'' = 1'-0''



ROOF PLAN

SCALE 1/4" = 1'-0"

			_			
•	. DI	PROPOSED SINGLE FAMILY HOME	ЭΠ.	BETTS DESIGN GROUP, LLC	DWN	
A	RAW	CATANIA CONSTRUCTION	<u> </u>	21202 NODTH CDANTTE DEFF DOAD	JRB	
`	IN				SIONS	
4	G 1	440 E. NINTH AVENUE	T T	SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266		
_	NO	CONSHOHOCKEN, PA		JOHN@JRBETTSARCHITECTURE.COM		



THIS PAGE IS NOW PART OF THIS LEGAL DOCUMENT NOTE: If document data differs from cover sheet, document data always supersedes. *COVER PAGE DOES NOT INCLUDE ALL DATA, PLEASE SEE INDEX AND DOCUMENT FOR ANY ADDITIONAL

Montgomery County

MAY 2 1 2024

Prepared by and Return to:

Suburban Philadelphia Abstract, Inc. 922 West Ridge Pike Conshohocken, Pa. 19428 610-828-6133

File No. 500-788 UPI # 05-00-06904-00-3 **Recorder of Deeds**

MONTGOMERY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS REGISTRY 05-00-06904-00-3 CONSHOHOCKEN 440 E NINTH AVE

BURT PROPERTY HOLDINGS LLC B 052 U 031 L 1101 DATE: 05/21/2024 \$15.00 JH

This Indenture, made the 13" day of MAY 2024

Between

BURT PROPERTY HOLDINGS LLC

(hereinafter called the Grantor), of the one part, and

CATANIA CONSTRUCTION

(hereinafter called the Grantee), of the other part,

Ditnesseth, that the said Grantor for and in consideration of the sum of Five Hundred Thousand And 00/100 Dollars (\$500,000.00) lawful money of the United States of America, unto it well and truly paid by the said Grantee, at or before the sealing and delivery hereof, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained and sold, released and confirmed, and by these presents does grant, bargain and sell, release and confirm unto the said Grantee

ALL THAT CERTAIN lots or pieces of land, with the dwelling thereon erected, SITUATE in Conshohocken Borough, County of Montgomery, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Being Lots Nos 18, 19 and 20, in Block "B" in a Plan of Lots – Part of Conshohocken property of Spring Mill Improvement company bounded and described together as one lot as follows, to wit:

BEGINNING at appoint on the Northeasterly side of 9th Avenue at the distance of 76 feet Northwesterly from the Northwesterly corner of 9th Avenue and Right Street; thence extending Northwesterly along said side of 9th Avenue 60 feet to a point a corner; thence extending of that width in length or depth lines at right angles to said 9th Avenue 140 feet to the Southwesterly side of a 20 feet wide alley.

BEING Parcel#05-00-06904-00-3

BEING THE SAME premises which David Dietzel by indenture bearing date the 21st day of January 2020 and as recorded at Norristown in the Office for the Recorder of Deeds in and for the County of Montgomery on the 22nd day of January 2020 in Deed Book 6169 page 1312 granted and conveyed unto Burt Property Holdings, LLC in fee.

4/3N

Together with all and singular the buildings and improvements, ways, streets, alleys, driveways, passages, waters, water-courses, rights, liberties, privileges, hereditaments and appurtenances, whatsoever unto the hereby granted premises belonging, or in anywise appertaining, and the reversions and remainders, rents, issues, and profits thereof; and all the estate, right, title, interest, property, claim and demand whatsoever of it, the said grantor, as well at law as in equity, of, in and to the same.

To have and to hold the said lot or piece of ground described above, with the buildings and improvements thereon erected, hereditaments and premises hereby granted, or mentioned and intended so to be, with the appurtenances, unto the said Grantee, its successors and assigns, to and for the only proper use and behoof of the said Grantee, its successors and assigns, forever.

And the said Grantor, for itself, its successors and assigns, does, by these presents, covenant, grant and agree, to and with the said Grantee, its successors and assigns, that it, the said Grantor, and its successors and assigns, all and singular the hereditaments and premises herein described and granted, or mentioned and intended so to be, with the appurtenances, unto the said Grantee, its successors and assigns, against it, the said Grantor, and its successors and assigns, will **WARRANT SPECIALLY** and defend against the lawful claims of all persons claiming by, through or under the said Grantor but not otherwise.

In Witness Whereof, the party of the first part has caused its common and corporate seal to be affixed to these presents by the hand of its Member, and the same to be duly attested by its Secretary. Dated the day and year first above written.

ATTEST

BURT PROPERTY HOLDINGS LLC

By: <u>Kebert Buit monbor</u> Robert Burt, Member

[SEAL]

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania County of Monte Strength Sector Strength Sector Strength Sector Strength Sector Strength Sector Sector Strength S

This record was acknowledged before me on $\frac{5/13/24}{13/24}$ by <u>Robert Burt</u> as

Notary Public

My commission expires

Member , who represents that he/she is authorized to act on behalf of Burt Property Holdings LLC .

-		
-	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania - Notary Seal	
	RAYMOND R. VERBRUGGHE, Notary Public	
	Montgomery County	
	My Commission Expires July 11, 2026	
	Commission Number 1171555	
The precise residence and the complete post office address of the above-named Grantee is:

4121 Pilarin Rond Plymouth Meeting, Pa 19462

On behalf of the Grantee

File No. 500-788

Record and return to: Suburban Philadelphia Abstract, Inc. 922 West Ridge Pike Conshohocken, Pa. 19428

Deed UPI # 05-00-06904-00-3

Burt Property Holdings LLC

ТО

Catania Construction

Suburban Philadelphia Abstract, Inc. 922 West Ridge Pike Conshohocken, Pa. 19428

DRAINAGE REPORT

FOR

440 E 9TH AVENUE CONSHOHOCKEN BOROUGH FO CONSHOHOCKEN MONTGOMERY COUNTY PENNSYLVANIA

PREPARED

BY



GME ENGINEERING

by Alpha Designs LLC

1117 Carolina Ave, West Chester, PA 19380

P: (610)732-0707

November 28, 2024

Design Engineer Statement

I, GEORGE S. MAALOUF, ON THIS DAY THE 28 OF NOVEMBER 2024 HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE DRAINAGE PLANS AND CALCULATIONS MEET ALL DESIGN STANDARDS AND CRITERIA OF CONSHOHOCKEN BOROUGH STORMWATER MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE.



GEORGE S. MAALOUF, PE

CONTENTS

1-	INTRODUCTION:	4
2-	INFILTRATION TEST:	4
3-	Methodology	4
4-	CALCULATIONS	6
5-	SUMMARY:	7
Ехн	ивіт А	i
Ехн	ивіт В	.ii

1- INTRODUCTION:

This drainage report is prepared for the re-development of the lot located at 440 E 9th Avenue in Conshohocken Borough, Pennsylvania.

The owner is proposing to re-develop the site and remove existing feature, subdivide the lot onto two lots and add a proposed features. The proposed features include a house, a garage, a concrete apron near the garage, a walkway, a porch and a patio.

Stormwater management requirements are met using two infiltration pits. One on each of the new lots.

2- INFILTRATION TEST:

The available soil on site are UugD. Which is Urban land-Udorthents, schist and gneiss complex. It is a soil group "C".

The soils limits and description are taken from USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service website. An excerpt is shown under *Figure 1*.

The soil testing by "PR, Environmental Designs", resulted in an infiltration rate of 1.25 in/hr for test pit (TP) #1 and 0.875 in/hr for TP#2. The adopted design infiltration rate for the proposed two infiltration pits is 0.875 in/hr. The results of the soil testing are included in *Appendix A*.

3- METHODOLOGY

The purpose of this report is to provide a drainage design that complies with the Borough Drainage Code – Chapter 19.

The existing non impervious cover and 20% of the impervious cover is assumed meadow. Since it is a small site, the time of concentration is 5 minutes. The existing drainage area is the limits of the existing lot boundary limits.

The proposed watershed of each infiltration pit contains the roof areas of the house and the garage on each lot. The uncontrolled areas drain freely to the neighboring areas.

SCS TR-55 is used to determine the peak flows and the flow volumes of each watershed.

The two infiltration pits have an overflow structure draining to the curb of E 9th Avenue. The infiltration basin meets the following requirements:

The rainfall intensities are taken from NOAA Atlas 14.

The storms depth is a s follows:

depth (in)

Year Strom



FIGURE 1: SOILS MAP (USDA WEBSITE)

1-Year Storm	2.97
2-Year Storm	3.59
5-Year Strom	4.50
10-Year Strom	5.26
25-Year Storm	6.34
50-Year Storm	7.26
100-Year Storm	8.25

Section 19-303: Volume Control: Requires controlling the net volume change for the 2-year storm event.

Section 19-304: Rate Controls: The post development discharge flows for the post 1, 2, 5, 10, 25, 50 & 100-year storm events shall be less than the pre discharge flows for the 1, 2, 5, 10, 25, 50 & 100-year storm events.

The two drainage plans included in the back pocket show the existing and proposed drainage limits and Tc paths for both conditions

4- CALCULATIONS

The pre and post calculations are included in Appendix B.

Table 1 below, shows the pre and post 2-year storm event. The controlled 2-year storm events for the proposed two pits is fully infiltrated. The uncontrolled volume is taken from page 15 of Appendix B. The

	Pre-2yr	Post 2-Yr					
	Existing	Ріт#1	Ріт#2	ΤΟΤΑΙ			
FLOW VOLUME	974 C.FT.	424 C.FT.	424 C.FT.	848			

TABLE 1: 2-YR STORM EVENT FLOW VOLUMES

Table 2 summarizes the discharge values for each area in the existing and proposed conditions. For safety, and since both watersheds are small, the total outflow for each sub-watershed is added and not routed at the discharge point. i.e. The peak flows of the non-controlled area and outflow of the infiltration pits on each sub-watershed are added. The total outflows for all design storm events is less than the pre flows for the existing conditions.

		1- YR	2- YR	5-Yr	10-YR	25-YR	50-YR	100- YR
EXISTING ⁽¹⁾		0.338	0.487	0.718	0.926	1.23	1.492	1.777
Lot#1 ⁽¹⁾	PIT OUTFLOW	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.003	0.064	0.133	0.194
	NOT CONTROLLED	0.153	0.21	0.299	0.375	0.483	0.576	0.676
Lot#2 ⁽¹⁾	PIT OUTFLOW	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.003	0.064	0.133	0.194
	NOT CONTROLLED	0.153	0.21	0.299	0.375	0.483	0.576	0.676
TOTAL PROPOSED		0306	0.42	0.598	0.756	1.094	1.418	1.74
NOTE F	< Pre	< Pre	< Pre	< Pre	< Pre	P< Pre	P< Pre	

TABLE 2: SITE OUTFLOWS

(1) Refer to page 2 of Appendix B.

5- <u>SUMMARY:</u>

The two infiltration pits are designed to meet the requirements of the borough stormwater management code.

EXHIBIT A Soil Test



1465 Hollow Road Chester Springs, PA 19425 phone (610) 933-1960 prenvironmentaldesigns.com

Storm Water Management Soil Testing Report

440 E. 9th Avenue Conshohocken Borough, Montgomery County November 5, 2024

PR Environmental Designs, Inc. performed a soil investigation for a storm water management system at the above referenced address on November 5, 2024. Soil testing was performed in in two locations in the areas of the proposed storm water management system for each proposed lot.

Soils on the site are mapped as Urban Land Udorthents- Schist and Gneiss Complex by the USDA Soil Survey. Soils observed during site testing were generally consistent with the mapped soils.

Two soil test pits were evaluated to a depth of 84" below grade and described below. Two double ring infiltration tests were performed in each test pit at a depth of 60" below grade.

Soil Test Pit Descriptions

Test Pit 01

0-6" Ap -Dark Brown Loam, 3 Granular, Friable, Abrupt
6-25" Bt1– Light Brown Silt Loam, 2 Subangular Blocky, Firm, Clear
25-44"Bt2- Variegated Silt Loam, 1 Subangular Blocky, Friable, Clear
44-68"C1- Variegated Gravelly Silt Loam, Massive, Structure-less, Very Friable, Clear, 20% coarse fragments
68-84" C2- Variegated Silt Loam, Massive, Structure-less, Loose

No limiting zone observed to a depth of 84" below grade, extent of excavation.

Storm Water Management Soil Testing Report 440 E. 9th Avenue Conshohocken Borough, Montgomery County November 5, 2024

Test Pit 02

- 0-6" Ap -Dark Brown Loam, 3 Granular, Friable, Abrupt
 6-26" Bt1– Light Brown Gravelly Silt Loam, 2 Subangular Blocky, Firm, Clear, 25% coarse fragments
 26-40"Bt2- Variegated Silt Loam, 1 Subangular Blocky, Friable, Clear
- 40-63"C1- Variegated Gravelly Silt Loam, Massive, Structure-less, Very Friable, Clear, 20% coarse fragments
- 63-84" C2- Variegated Silt Loam, Massive, Structure-less, Loose

No limiting zone observed to a depth of 84" below grade, extent of excavation.

Test Pit 01 Double-Ring Infiltration Test Results

Infiltration Test 1A Test Depth = 60" below grade Infiltration Test 1B Test Depth = 60" below grade

Test Hole #	Reading 1 (30 m. presoak)	Reading 2 (30 m. presoak)	Reading 3	Reading 4	Reading 5	Reading 6
IT 1A	1 3/8"	7/8"	3/4"	3/4"	7/8"	3/4"
IT 1B	1 1/4"	7/8"	3/4"	5/8"	5/8"	1/2"

IT 1A final reading = 3/4" during 30 min. interval = 1.5 inches per hour

IT 1B final reading = 1/2" during 30 min. interval = 1.0 inches per hour

Test Area Average = <u>1.25 inches per hour</u>

Storm Water Management Soil Testing Report 440 E. 9th Avenue Conshohocken Borough, Montgomery County November 5, 2024

Test Pit 02 Double-Ring Infiltration Test Results

Infiltration Test 2A Test Depth = 60" below grade Infiltration Test 2B Test Depth = 60" below grade

Test Hole #	Reading 1 (30 m. presoak)	Reading 2 (30 m. presoak)	Reading 3	Reading 4	Reading 5	Reading 6
IT 2A	5/8"	5/8"	1/2"	1/2"	3/8"	3/8"
IT 2B	3/4"	5/8"	3/4"	5/8"	1/2"	1/2"

IT 2A final reading = 3/8" during 30 min. interval = 0.75 inches per hour

IT 2B final reading = 1/2" during 30 min. interval = 1.0 inches per hour

Test Area Average = **<u>0.875 inches per hour</u>**

2 Petre

Paul R. Rosone PR Environmental Designs, Inc.

EXHIBIT B Drainage Calculations

Watershed Model Schematic

1



Project: 440-E9TH Avenue-2024-11-23.gpw

Hydrograph Return Period Recap Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd.	Hydrograph Inflow		Peak Outflow (cfs)						Hydrograph		
No.	type (origin)	hyd(s)	1-yr	2-yr	3-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr	Description
1	SCS Runoff		0.338	0.487		0.718	0.926	1.230	1.492	1.777	PRE CONDITIONS
2	SCS Runoff		0.120	0.146		0.183	0.215	0.259	0.297	0.337	LOT 1- CONTROLLED
3	SCS Runoff		0.153	0.210		0.299	0.375	0.483	0.576	0.676	LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED
4	Reservoir	2	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.003	0.064	0.133	0.194	INFILTRATION PIT- 1
5	SCS Runoff		0.120	0.146		0.183	0.215	0.259	0.297	0.337	LOT 2- CONTROLLED
6	SCS Runoff		0.153	0.210		0.299	0.375	0.483	0.576	0.676	LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED
7	Reservoir	5	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.003	0.064	0.133	0.194	INFILTRATION PIT- 2

Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	0.338	2	718	677				PRE CONDITIONS
2	SCS Runoff	0.120	2	716	280				LOT 1- CONTROLLED
3	SCS Runoff	0.153	2	718	307				LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED
4	Reservoir	0.000	2	1164	0	2	96.43	131	INFILTRATION PIT- 1
5	SCS Runoff	0.120	2	716	280				LOT 2- CONTROLLED
6	SCS Runoff	0.153	2	718	307				LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED
7	Reservoir	0.000	2	1164	0	5	95.43	131	INFILTRATION PIT- 2
440	-E9TH Avenu	e-2024-1	1-23.gp		Return P	eriod: 1 Ye	Par	Saturday, 1	1 / 23 / 2024
440-E9TH Avenue-2024-11-23.gpw			Return P	eriod: 1 Ye	ar	Saturday, 1	1 / 23 / 2024		

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 1

PRE CONDITIONS

Hydrograph type =	SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.338 cfs
Storm frequency =	= 1 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval =	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 677 cuft
Drainage area =	= 0.200 ac	Curve number	= 76*
Basin Slope =	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method =	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip. =	= 2.97 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration =	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.160 x 71) + (0.040 x 98)] / 0.200



4

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 2

LOT 1- CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.120 cfs
Storm frequency	= 1 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 280 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.030 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 2.97 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 3

LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.153 cfs
Storm frequency	= 1 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 307 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.070 ac	Curve number	= 81*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 2.97 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.020 x 98) + (0.050 x 74)] / 0.070



6

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

Hyd. No. 4

INFILTRATION PIT-1

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.000 cfs
Storm frequency	= 1 yrs	Time to peak	= 1164 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 0 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 2 - LOT 1- CONTROLLED	Max. Elevation	= 96.43 ft
Reservoir name	= INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 1	Max. Storage	= 131 cuft

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.



Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Pond No. 1 - INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 1

Pond Data

UG Chambers -Invert elev. = 95.50 ft, Rise x Span = 2.50 x 2.50 ft, Barrel Len = 20.00 ft, No. Barrels = 2, Slope = 0.00%, Headers = No **Encasement -**Invert elev. = 95.00 ft, Width = 4.00 ft, Height = 3.50 ft, Voids = 40.00%

Stage / Storage Table

Stage (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Contour area (sqft)	Incr. Storage (cuft)	Total storage (cuft)
0.00	95.00	n/a	0	0
0.35	95.35	n/a	22	22
0.70	95.70	n/a	27	49
1.05	96.05	n/a	37	86
1.40	96.40	n/a	41	128
1.75	96.75	n/a	43	171
2.10	97.10	n/a	43	214
2.45	97.45	n/a	41	255
2.80	97.80	n/a	37	293
3.15	98.15	n/a	27	319
3.50	98.50	n/a	22	342

Culvert / Orifice Structures

Weir Structures

	[A]	[B]	[C]	[PrfRsr]		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]
Rise (in)	= 4.00	3.00	0.00	Inactive	Crest Len (ft)	= 25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Span (in)	= 4.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	Crest El. (ft)	= 98.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
No. Barrels	= 1	1	0	0	Weir Coeff.	= 3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33
Invert El. (ft)	= 95.00	97.50	0.00	390.50	Weir Type	= 1			
Length (ft)	= 100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Multi-Stage	= Yes	No	No	No
Slope (%)	= 1.00	0.00	0.00	n/a	-				
N-Value	= .011	.013	.013	n/a					
Orifice Coeff.	= 0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	Exfil.(in/hr)	= 0.880 (by	y Wet area))	
Multi-Stage	= n/a	No	No	No	TW Elev. (ft)	= 0.00			

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s). Stage / Storage / Discharge Table

Stage ft	Storage cuft	Elevation ft	Clv A cfs	Clv B cfs	Clv C cfs	PrfRsr cfs	Wr A cfs	Wr B cfs	Wr C cfs	Wr D cfs	Exfil cfs	User cfs	Total cfs
0.00	0	95.00	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.000		0.000
0.04	2	95.04	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.003		0.003
0.07	4	95.07	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.003		0.003
0.11	7	95.11	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.003		0.003
0.14	9	95.14	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.003		0.003
0.17	11	95.18	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.21	13	95.21	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.24	16	95.25	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.28	18	95.28	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.31	20	95.32	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.35	22	95.35	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.38	25	95.39	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.42	28	95.42	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.45	30	95.46	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.49	33	95.49	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.52	36	95.53	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.56	39	95.56	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.60	41	95.60	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.63	44	95.63	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.67	47	95.67	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.70	49	95.70	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.74	53	95.74	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.77	57	95.77	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
0.80	60	95.81	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
0.84	64	95.84	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
0.87	68	95.88	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
0.91	72	95.91	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
0.94	75	95.95	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
0.98	79	95.98	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.01	83	96.02	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.05	86	96.05	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.08	91	96.09	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005

8

Continues on next page ...

INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 1 Stage / Storage / Discharge Table

Stage ft	Storage cuft	Elevation ft	Clv A cfs	Clv B cfs	Clv C cfs	PrfRsr cfs	Wr A cfs	Wr B cfs	Wr C cfs	Wr D cfs	Exfil cfs	User cfs	Total cfs
1.12	95	96.12	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.15	99	96.16	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.19	103	96.19	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.22	107	96.23	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.26	111	96.26	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.29	115	96.30	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.33	120	96.33	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.36	124	96.37	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.40	128	96.40	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.43	132	96.44	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.47	136	96.47	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.50	141	96.51	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.54	145	96.54	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.57	149	96.58	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.61	154	96.61	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.64	158	96.65	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.68	162	96.68	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1./1	167	96.72	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.75	1/1	96.75	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.78	1/5	96.79	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.82	180	96.82	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.85	184	96.86	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.89	188	96.89	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.92	193	96.93	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.96	197	96.96	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.99	201	97.00	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.03	205	97.03	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.06	210	97.07	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.10	214	97.10	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.13	218	97.14	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.17	222	97.17	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.21	227	97.21	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.24	231	97.24	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.28	235	97.28	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.31	239	97.31	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.30	243	97.33	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.38	247	97.38	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.42	201	97.42	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.40	200	97.45	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.40	209	97.49	0.00	0.00 0.00 io			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.52	203	97.52	0.00	0.00 10			0.00				0.007		0.000
2.50	207	97.50	0.00	0.01 ic			0.00				0.007		0.014
2.55	270	07.63	0.00	0.02 ic			0.00				0.007		0.024
2.05	274	97.00	0.00	0.05 ic			0.00				0.000		0.057
2.00	281	97.00	0.00	0.00 ic			0.00				0.000		0.000
2.70	201	97.70	0.00	0.00 ic			0.00				0.000		0.003
2.73	200	97.73	0.00	0.00 ic			0.00				0.000		0.000
2.80	203	97.80	0.00	0.00 ic			0.00				0.000		0.000
2.00	200	97.84	0.00	0.10 ic			0.00				0.000		0.107
2.00	200	97.87	0.00	0.17 ic			0.00				0.000		0.110
2.07	301	97.91	0.00	0.12 ic			0.00				0.008		0.120
2 94	303	97.94	0.00	0.13 ic			0.00				0.008		0 141
2.98	306	97.98	0.00	0.14 ic			0.00				0.008		0 148
3.01	309	98.01	0.00	0.15 ic			0.00				0.008		0 155
3.05	311	98.05	0.00	0.15 ic			0.00				0.008		0.161
3.08	314	98.08	0.00	0.16 ic			0.00				0.008		0 168
3 12	317	98.12	0.00	0.17 ic			0.00				0.008		0 174
3.15	319	98.15	0.00	0.17 ic			0.00				0.008		0 180
3.18	322	98 19	0.00	0.18 ic			0.00				0.008		0 185
3.22	324	98.22	0.00	0.18 ic			0.00				0.009		0,191
3.25	326	98.26	0.03 ic	0.19 ic			0.03				0.009		0.226
3.29	328	98.29	0.42 oc	0.19 ic			0.42 s				0.009		0,620
3.33	331	98.33	0.42 oc	0.20 ic			0.41 s				0.009		0.615
3.36	333	98.36	0.42 oc	0.20 ic			0.42 s				0.009		0.631
3.40	335	98.40	0.42 oc	0.21 ic			0.37 s				0.009		0.585
3.43	337	98.43	0.43 oc	0.21 ic			0.31 s				0.009		0.527
3.47	340	98.47	0.43 oc	0.22 ic			0.40 s				0.009		0.621
3.50	342	98.50	0.43 oc	0.22 ic			0.34 s				0.009		0.566

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 5

LOT 2- CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.120 cfs
Storm frequency	= 1 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 280 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.030 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 2.97 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 6

LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.153 cfs
Storm frequency	= 1 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 307 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.070 ac	Curve number	= 81*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 2.97 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.020 x 98) + (0.050 x 74)] / 0.070



11

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

Hyd. No. 7

INFILTRATION PIT-2

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.000 cfs
Storm frequency	= 1 yrs	Time to peak	= 1164 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 0 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 5 - LOT 2- CONTROLLED	Max. Elevation	= 95.43 ft
Reservoir name	= INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 2	Max. Storage	= 131 cuft

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.



Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Pond No. 2 - INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 2

Pond Data

UG Chambers -Invert elev. = 94.50 ft, Rise x Span = 2.50 x 2.50 ft, Barrel Len = 20.00 ft, No. Barrels = 2, Slope = 0.00%, Headers = No **Encasement -**Invert elev. = 94.00 ft, Width = 4.00 ft, Height = 3.50 ft, Voids = 40.00%

Stage / Storage Table

Stage (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Contour area (sqft)	Incr. Storage (cuft)	Total storage (cuft)
0.00	94.00	n/a	0	0
0.35	94.35	n/a	22	22
0.70	94.70	n/a	27	49
1.05	95.05	n/a	37	86
1.40	95.40	n/a	41	128
1.75	95.75	n/a	43	171
2.10	96.10	n/a	43	214
2.45	96.45	n/a	41	255
2.80	96.80	n/a	37	293
3.15	97.15	n/a	27	319
3.50	97.50	n/a	22	342

Culvert / Orifice Structures

Weir Structures

	[A]	[B]	[C]	[PrfRsr]		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]
Rise (in)	= 4.00	3.00	0.00	Inactive	Crest Len (ft)	= 25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Span (in)	= 4.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	Crest El. (ft)	= 97.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
No. Barrels	= 1	1	0	0	Weir Coeff.	= 3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33
Invert El. (ft)	= 94.00	96.50	0.00	390.50	Weir Type	= 1			
Length (ft)	= 100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Multi-Stage	= Yes	No	No	No
Slope (%)	= 1.00	0.00	0.00	n/a	-				
N-Value	= .011	.013	.013	n/a					
Orifice Coeff.	= 0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	Exfil.(in/hr)	= 0.880 (b	y Wet area))	
Multi-Stage	= n/a	No	No	No	TW Elev. (ft)	= 0.00			

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s). Stage / Storage / Discharge Table

Stage ft	Storage cuft	Elevation ft	Clv A cfs	Clv B cfs	Clv C cfs	PrfRsr cfs	Wr A cfs	Wr B cfs	Wr C cfs	Wr D cfs	Exfil cfs	User cfs	Total cfs
0.00	0	94.00	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.000		0.000
0.04	2	94.04	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.003		0.003
0.07	4	94.07	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.003		0.003
0.11	7	94.11	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.003		0.003
0.14	9	94.14	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.003		0.003
0.17	11	94.18	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.21	13	94.21	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.24	16	94.25	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.28	18	94.28	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.31	20	94.32	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.35	22	94.35	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.38	25	94.39	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.42	28	94.42	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.45	30	94.46	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.49	33	94.49	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.52	36	94.53	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.56	39	94.56	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.60	41	94.60	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.63	44	94.63	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.67	47	94.67	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.70	49	94.70	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.74	53	94.74	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.004		0.004
0.77	57	94.77	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
0.80	60	94.81	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
0.84	64	94.84	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
0.87	68	94.88	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
0.91	72	94.91	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
0.94	75	94.95	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
0.98	79	94.98	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.01	83	95.02	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.05	86	95.05	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.08	91	95.09	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
											_		

13

INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 2 Stage / Storage / Discharge Table

Stage ft	Storage cuft	Elevation ft	Clv A cfs	Clv B cfs	Clv C cfs	PrfRsr cfs	Wr A cfs	Wr B cfs	Wr C cfs	Wr D cfs	Exfil cfs	User cfs	Total cfs
1.12	95	95.12	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.15	99	95.16	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.19	103	95.19	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.22	107	95.23	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.26	111	95.26	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.29	115	95.30	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.33	120	95.33	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.36	124	95.37	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.005		0.005
1.40	128	95.40	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.43	132	95.44	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.47	136	95.47	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.50	141	95.51	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.54	145	95.54	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.57	149	95.58	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.006
1.01	154	95.01	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.006		0.000
1.04	100	95.05	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.000		0.000
1.00	162	95.00	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.000		0.000
1.71	107	95.72	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.000		0.000
1.73	171	95.75	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.000		0.000
1.70	175	95.79	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.000		0.000
1.02	184	95.82	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.000		0.000
1.00	188	95.00	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.000		0.000
1.03	100	95.09	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.000		0.000
1.92	197	95.96	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.000		0.000
1.99	201	96.00	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.000		0.000
2.03	205	96.03	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.06	210	96.07	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2 10	214	96 10	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.13	218	96.14	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.17	222	96.17	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.21	227	96.21	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.24	231	96.24	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.28	235	96.28	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.31	239	96.31	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.35	243	96.35	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.38	247	96.38	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.42	251	96.42	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.45	255	96.45	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.48	259	96.49	0.00	0.00			0.00				0.007		0.007
2.52	263	96.52	0.00	0.00 ic			0.00				0.007		0.008
2.56	267	96.56	0.00	0.01 ic			0.00				0.007		0.014
2.59	270	96.59	0.00	0.02 ic			0.00				0.007		0.024
2.63	274	96.63	0.00	0.03 ic			0.00				0.008		0.037
2.66	278	96.66	0.00	0.05 ic			0.00				0.008		0.053
2.70	281	96.70	0.00	0.06 ic			0.00				0.008		0.069
2.73	285	96.73	0.00	0.08 ic			0.00				0.008		0.085
2.77	289	96.77	0.00	0.09 ic			0.00				0.008		0.096
2.80	293	96.80	0.00	0.10 ic			0.00				0.008		0.107
2.83	295	96.84	0.00	0.11 ic			0.00				0.008		0.116
2.87	298	96.87	0.00	0.12 ic			0.00				0.008		0.125
2.90	301	96.91	0.00	0.13 IC			0.00				0.008		0.133
2.94	303	96.94	0.00	0.13 IC			0.00				0.008		0.141
2.98	306	96.98	0.00	0.14 IC			0.00				0.008		0.148
3.01	309	97.01	0.00	0.15 IC			0.00				0.008		0.155
3.05	311	97.05	0.00	0.15 IC			0.00				0.008		0.161
3.08	314	97.08	0.00	0.16 IC			0.00				0.008		0.108
3.1Z	317	97.12	0.00	0.17 IC			0.00				0.008		0.174
3.13 2.40	319	97.15	0.00				0.00				0.000		0.100
3.10 2.22	322	97.19	0.00	0.1010			0.00				0.000		0.100
3.22	024 206	91.22 07.26	0.00	0.1010			0.00				0.009		0.191
3.20 3.20	320	91.20 07.20	0.03 10	0.1910			0.03				0.009		0.220
J.29 3 22	J∠O 224	31.23 07.23	0.42 00	0.1910			0.42 5				0.009		0.020
3.33	222 222	91.00 07 26	0.42 00	0.2010			0.415				0.009		0.010
3 10	225	07 AO	0.42 00	0.2010			0.425				0.009		0.001
3 4 3	227	07 <u>/</u> 2	0.42 00	0.2110			0.315				0.009		0.000
3.47	240	07 <u>/</u> 7	0.43 00	0.2110			0.015				0.009		0.027
3 50	342	97.50	0.43 oc	0.22 ic			0.34 s				0.009		0.566
0.00	074	01.00	0.4000	0.2210			0.040				0.000		5.000

Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description	
1	SCS Runoff	0.487	2	718	974				PRE CONDITIONS	
2	SCS Runoff	0.146	2	716	343				LOT 1- CONTROLLED	
3	SCS Runoff	0.210	2	716	424				LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED	
4	Reservoir	0.000	2	1326	0	2	96.72	167	INFILTRATION PIT- 1	
5	SCS Runoff	0.146	2	716	343				LOT 2- CONTROLLED	
6	SCS Runoff	0.210	2	716	424				LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED	
7	Reservoir	0.000	2	1326	0	5	95.72	167	INFILTRATION PIT- 2	
440-E9TH Avenue-2024-11-23.gpw					Return Period: 2 Year			Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024		

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 1

PRE CONDITIONS

Hydrograph type =	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.487 cfs
Storm frequency =	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 974 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.200 ac	Curve number	= 76*
Basin Slope =	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method =	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.59 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration =	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.160 x 71) + (0.040 x 98)] / 0.200



16

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 2

LOT 1- CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.146 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 343 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.030 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.59 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 3

LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.210 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 424 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.070 ac	Curve number	= 81*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.59 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.020 x 98) + (0.050 x 74)] / 0.070



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

Hyd. No. 4

INFILTRATION PIT-1

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.000 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 1326 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 0 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 2 - LOT 1- CONTROLLED	Max. Elevation	= 96.72 ft
Reservoir name	= INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 1	Max. Storage	= 167 cuft

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 5

LOT 2- CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.146 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 343 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.030 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.59 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 6

LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.210 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 424 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.070 ac	Curve number	= 81*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.59 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.020 x 98) + (0.050 x 74)] / 0.070



Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

21

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

Hyd. No. 7

INFILTRATION PIT-2

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.000 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 1326 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 0 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 5 - LOT 2- CONTROLLED	Max. Elevation	= 95.72 ft
Reservoir name	= INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 2	Max. Storage	= 167 cuft

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.


Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	0.718	2	718	1,450				PRE CONDITIONS
2	SCS Runoff	0.183	2	716	435				LOT 1- CONTROLLED
3	SCS Runoff	0.299	2	716	607				LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED
4	Reservoir	0.000	2	1526	0	2	97.16	222	INFILTRATION PIT- 1
5	SCS Runoff	0.183	2	716	435				LOT 2- CONTROLLED
6	SCS Runoff	0.299	2	716	607				LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED
7	Reservoir	0.000	2	1526	0	5	96.16	222	INFILTRATION PIT- 2
440	-E9TH Avenu	re-2024-1	1-23.gp	W	Return P	eriod: 5 Ye	ar	Saturday, 1	1 / 23 / 2024
440	-E9TH Avenu	le-2024-1	1-23.gp	N	Return P	eriod: 5 Ye	ar	Saturday, 1	1 / 23 / 2024

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 1

PRE CONDITIONS

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.718 cfs
Storm frequency	= 5 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 1,450 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.200 ac	Curve number	= 76*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 4.50 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.160 x 71) + (0.040 x 98)] / 0.200



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 2

LOT 1- CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.183 cfs
Storm frequency	= 5 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 435 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.030 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 4.50 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 3

LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.299 cfs
Storm frequency	= 5 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 607 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.070 ac	Curve number	= 81*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 4.50 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.020 x 98) + (0.050 x 74)] / 0.070



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 4

INFILTRATION PIT-1

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.000 cfs
Storm frequency	= 5 yrs	Time to peak	= 1526 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 0 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 2 - LOT 1- CONTROLLED	Max. Elevation	= 97.16 ft
Reservoir name	= INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 1	Max. Storage	= 222 cuft

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 5

LOT 2- CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.183 cfs
Storm frequency	= 5 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 435 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.030 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 4.50 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



28

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 6

LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.299 cfs
Storm frequency	= 5 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 607 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.070 ac	Curve number	= 81*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 4.50 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.020 x 98) + (0.050 x 74)] / 0.070



29

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 7

INFILTRATION PIT-2

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.000 cfs
Storm frequency	= 5 yrs	Time to peak	= 1526 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 0 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 5 - LOT 2- CONTROLLED	Max. Elevation	= 96.16 ft
Reservoir name	= INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 2	Max. Storage	= 222 cuft

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	0.926	2	716	1,873				PRE CONDITIONS
2	SCS Runoff	0.215	2	716	513				LOT 1- CONTROLLED
3	SCS Runoff	0.375	2	716	766				LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED
4	Reservoir	0.003	2	776	7	2	97.53	264	INFILTRATION PIT- 1
5	SCS Runoff	0.215	2	716	513				LOT 2- CONTROLLED
6	SCS Runoff	0.375	2	716	766				LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED
7	Reservoir	0.003	2	776	7	5	96.53	264	INFILTRATION PIT- 2
440	-E9TH Avenu	e-2024-1	1-23 ap		Return P	Period: 10 Y	/ear	Saturday 1	1/23/2024
440	-E9TH Avenu	ie-2024-1	1-23.gp	W	Return P	eriod: 10 Y	'ear	Saturday, 1	1 / 23 / 2024

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 1

PRE CONDITIONS

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.926 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 1,873 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.200 ac	Curve number	= 76*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.26 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.160 x 71) + (0.040 x 98)] / 0.200



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 2

LOT 1- CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.215 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 513 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.030 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.26 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 3

LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.375 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 766 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.070 ac	Curve number	= 81*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.26 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.020 x 98) + (0.050 x 74)] / 0.070



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

Hyd. No. 4

INFILTRATION PIT-1

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.003 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 776 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 7 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 2 - LOT 1- CONTROLLED	Max. Elevation	= 97.53 ft
Reservoir name	= INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 1	Max. Storage	= 264 cuft

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 5

LOT 2- CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.215 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 513 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.030 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.26 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



36

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 6

LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.375 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 766 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.070 ac	Curve number	= 81*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.26 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.020 x 98) + (0.050 x 74)] / 0.070



Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

Hyd. No. 7

INFILTRATION PIT-2

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.003 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 776 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 7 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 5 - LOT 2- CONTROLLED	Max. Elevation	= 96.53 ft
Reservoir name	= INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 2	Max. Storage	= 264 cuft

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	1.230	2	716	2,501				PRE CONDITIONS
2	SCS Runoff	0.259	2	716	623				LOT 1- CONTROLLED
3	SCS Runoff	0.483	2	716	999				LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED
4	Reservoir	0.064	2	724	80	2	97.70	282	INFILTRATION PIT- 1
5	SCS Runoff	0.259	2	716	623				LOT 2- CONTROLLED
6	SCS Runoff	0.483	2	716	999				LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED
7	Reservoir	0.064	2	724	80	5	96.70	282	INFILTRATION PIT- 2
440	-E9TH Avenu	e-2024-1	1-23.gp	ν	Return P	eriod: 25 Y	<i>Y</i> ear	Saturday, 1	1 / 23 / 2024
440	- E9TH Avenu	e-2024-1	1-23.gp	N	Return P	eriod: 25 Y	/ear	Saturday, 1	1 / 23 / 2024

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 1

PRE CONDITIONS

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 1.230 cfs
Storm frequency	= 25 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 2,501 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.200 ac	Curve number	= 76*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 6.34 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.160 x 71) + (0.040 x 98)] / 0.200



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 2

LOT 1- CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.259 cfs
Storm frequency	= 25 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 623 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.030 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 6.34 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 3

LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.483 cfs
Storm frequency	= 25 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 999 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.070 ac	Curve number	= 81*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 6.34 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.020 x 98) + (0.050 x 74)] / 0.070



42

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

Hyd. No. 4

INFILTRATION PIT-1

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.064 cfs
Storm frequency	= 25 yrs	Time to peak	= 724 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 80 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 2 - LOT 1- CONTROLLED	Max. Elevation	= 97.70 ft
Reservoir name	= INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 1	Max. Storage	= 282 cuft

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 5

LOT 2- CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.259 cfs
Storm frequency	= 25 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 623 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.030 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 6.34 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 6

LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.483 cfs
Storm frequency	= 25 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 999 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.070 ac	Curve number	= 81*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 6.34 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.020 x 98) + (0.050 x 74)] / 0.070



.

45

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

Hyd. No. 7

INFILTRATION PIT-2

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.064 cfs
Storm frequency	= 25 yrs	Time to peak	= 724 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 80 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 5 - LOT 2- CONTROLLED	Max. Elevation	= 96.70 ft
Reservoir name	= INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 2	Max. Storage	= 282 cuft

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	1.492	2	716	3,056				PRE CONDITIONS
2	SCS Runoff	0.297	2	716	717				LOT 1- CONTROLLED
3	SCS Runoff	0.576	2	716	1,202				LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED
4	Reservoir	0.133	2	722	147	2	97.94	303	INFILTRATION PIT- 1
5	SCS Runoff	0.297	2	716	717				LOT 2- CONTROLLED
6	SCS Runoff	0.576	2	716	1,202				LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED
7	Reservoir	0.133	2	722	147	5	96.94	303	INFILTRATION PIT- 2
440	-E9TH Avenu	e-2024-1	1-23 cm		Return P	eriod: 50 Y	/ear	Saturday 1	1/23/2024
440	-E9TH Avenu	e-2024-1	1-23.gp	N	Return P	eriod: 50 Y	'ear	Saturday, 1	1 / 23 / 2024

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 1

PRE CONDITIONS

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 1.492 cfs
Storm frequency	= 50 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 3,056 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.200 ac	Curve number	= 76*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 7.26 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.160 x 71) + (0.040 x 98)] / 0.200



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 2

LOT 1- CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.297 cfs
Storm frequency	= 50 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 717 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.030 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 7.26 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 3

LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.576 cfs
Storm frequency	= 50 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 1,202 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.070 ac	Curve number	= 81*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 7.26 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.020 x 98) + (0.050 x 74)] / 0.070



.

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

Hyd. No. 4

INFILTRATION PIT-1

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.133 cfs
Storm frequency	= 50 yrs	Time to peak	= 722 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 147 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 2 - LOT 1- CONTROLLED	Max. Elevation	= 97.94 ft
Reservoir name	= INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 1	Max. Storage	= 303 cuft

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 5

LOT 2- CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.297 cfs
Storm frequency	= 50 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 717 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.030 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 7.26 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



52

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 6

LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.576 cfs
Storm frequency	= 50 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 1,202 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.070 ac	Curve number	= 81*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 7.26 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.020 x 98) + (0.050 x 74)] / 0.070



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

Hyd. No. 7

INFILTRATION PIT-2

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.133 cfs
Storm frequency	= 50 yrs	Time to peak	= 722 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 147 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 5 - LOT 2- CONTROLLED	Max. Elevation	= 96.94 ft
Reservoir name	= INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 2	Max. Storage	= 303 cuft

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to Peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph Description
1	SCS Runoff	1.777	2	716	3,666				PRE CONDITIONS
2	SCS Runoff	0.337	2	716	818				LOT 1- CONTROLLED
3	SCS Runoff	0.676	2	716	1,424				LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED
4	Reservoir	0.194	2	722	221	2	98.23	325	INFILTRATION PIT- 1
5	SCS Runoff	0.337	2	716	818				LOT 2- CONTROLLED
6	SCS Runoff	0.676	2	716	1,424				LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED
7	Reservoir	0.194	2	722	221	5	97.23	325	INFILTRATION PIT- 2
440)-E9TH Avenu	e-2024-1	1-23.gp		Return P	eriod: 100	Year	Saturday, 1	1/23/2024

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 1

PRE CONDITIONS

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 1.777 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 3,666 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.200 ac	Curve number	= 76*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 8.25 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.160 x 71) + (0.040 x 98)] / 0.200



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 2

LOT 1- CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.337 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 818 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.030 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 8.25 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 3

LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.676 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 1,424 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.070 ac	Curve number	= 81*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 8.25 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.020 x 98) + (0.050 x 74)] / 0.070


Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

Hyd. No. 4

INFILTRATION PIT-1

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.194 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 722 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 221 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 2 - LOT 1- CONTROLLED	Max. Elevation	= 98.23 ft
Reservoir name	= INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 1	Max. Storage	= 325 cuft

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.



Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 5

LOT 2- CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.337 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 818 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.030 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 8.25 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Hyd. No. 6

LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.676 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 1,424 cuft
Drainage area	= 0.070 ac	Curve number	= 81*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= User	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 5.00 min
Total precip.	= 8.25 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.020 x 98) + (0.050 x 74)] / 0.070



61

Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Saturday, 11 / 23 / 2024

Hyd. No. 7

INFILTRATION PIT-2

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.194 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 722 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 221 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 5 - LOT 2- CONTROLLED	Max. Elevation	= 97.23 ft
Reservoir name	= INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 2	Max. Storage	= 325 cuft

Storage Indication method used. Exfiltration extracted from Outflow.



Hydraflow Rainfall Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Return	Intensity-Duration-Frequency Equation Coefficients (FHA)							
(Yrs)	В	D	E	(N/A)				
1	45.6000	11.6000	0.8600					
2	58.5710	12.5000	0.8720					
3	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000					
5	61.9250	12.6000	0.8350					
10	56.2460	11.6000	0.7840					
25	57.6765	11.1000	0.7450					
50	46.8240	9.7000	0.6890					
100	41.8680	8.6000	0.6450					

File name: IDF-ROMIG.IDF

Intensity = B / (Tc + D)^E

Return					Intens	sity Values	(in/hr)					
(Yrs)	5 min	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60
1	4.07	3.25	2.71	2.34	2.06	1.85	1.68	1.53	1.42	1.32	1.23	1.16
2	4.83	3.88	3.26	2.81	2.48	2.23	2.02	1.85	1.71	1.59	1.49	1.40
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	5.65	4.58	3.88	3.38	3.00	2.70	2.46	2.26	2.10	1.96	1.84	1.73
10	6.22	5.06	4.30	3.75	3.34	3.02	2.77	2.55	2.38	2.22	2.09	1.98
25	7.28	5.95	5.08	4.46	3.99	3.62	3.32	3.08	2.87	2.69	2.54	2.41
50	7.35	6.01	5.14	4.53	4.07	3.71	3.42	3.17	2.97	2.80	2.65	2.51
100	7.78	6.35	5.45	4.81	4.34	3.97	3.67	3.42	3.21	3.03	2.88	2.74

Tc = time in minutes. Values may exceed 60.

1 100p. Inc hame. 0.103cl3/gmaalou1/bropbox/0-0/inc/2024-000_440 501 E Avenue/0-brainage/storm events 000.pt	Precip.	ile name: C:\Users\gmaalouf\Dro	opbox\0-GME\2024-339_440 9th E	Avenue\6-Drainage\storm events SCS.pc
--	---------	---------------------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------------------------

	Rainfall Precipitation Table (in)							
Storm Distribution	1-yr	2-yr	3-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr
SCS 24-hour	2.97	3.59	0.00	4.50	5.26	6.34	7.26	8.25
SCS 6-Hr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Huff-1st	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Huff-2nd	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Huff-3rd	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Huff-4th	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Huff-Indy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Custom	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hydraflow Table of Contents

Hydraflow Hydrographs Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. v2023

Watershed Model Schematic	1
Hydrograph Return Period Recap	2

1 - Year

Summary Report	. 3
Hydrograph Reports	. 4
Hydrograph No. 1, SCS Runoff, PRE CONDITIONS	. 4
Hydrograph No. 2, SCS Runoff, LOT 1- CONTROLLED	5
Hydrograph No. 3, SCS Runoff, LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED	. 6
Hydrograph No. 4, Reservoir, INFILTRATION PIT- 1	. 7
Pond Report - INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 1	. 8
Hydrograph No. 5, SCS Runoff, LOT 2- CONTROLLED	10
Hydrograph No. 6, SCS Runoff, LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED.	11
Hydrograph No. 7, Reservoir, INFILTRATION PIT- 2	12
Pond Report - INFILTRATION PIT - LOT 2	13

2 - Year

Summary Report	15
Hydrograph Reports	16
Hydrograph No. 1, SCS Runoff, PRE CONDITIONS	16
Hydrograph No. 2, SCS Runoff, LOT 1- CONTROLLED	17
Hydrograph No. 3, SCS Runoff, LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED	18
Hydrograph No. 4, Reservoir, INFILTRATION PIT- 1	19
Hydrograph No. 5, SCS Runoff, LOT 2- CONTROLLED	20
Hydrograph No. 6, SCS Runoff, LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED	21
Hydrograph No. 7, Reservoir, INFILTRATION PIT- 2	22

5 - Year

Summary Report	23
Hydrograph Reports	24
Hydrograph No. 1, SCS Runoff, PRE CONDITIONS	24
Hydrograph No. 2, SCS Runoff, LOT 1- CONTROLLED	25
Hydrograph No. 3, SCS Runoff, LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED	26
Hydrograph No. 4, Reservoir, INFILTRATION PIT- 1	27
Hydrograph No. 5, SCS Runoff, LOT 2- CONTROLLED	28
Hydrograph No. 6, SCS Runoff, LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED	29
Hydrograph No. 7, Reservoir, INFILTRATION PIT- 2	30

10 - Year

Summary Report	31
Hydrograph Reports	32
Hydrograph No. 1, SCS Runoff, PRE CONDITIONS	32
Hydrograph No. 2, SCS Runoff, LOT 1- CONTROLLED	33
Hydrograph No. 3, SCS Runoff, LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED	
Hydrograph No. 4, Reservoir, INFILTRATION PIT- 1	35
Hydrograph No. 5, SCS Runoff, LOT 2- CONTROLLED	
Hydrograph No. 6, SCS Runoff, LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED	

Hydrograph No. 7, Reservoir, INFILTRATION PIT- 2 38

25 - Year	
Summary Report	39
Hydrograph Reports	40
Hydrograph No. 1, SCS Runoff, PRE CONDITIONS	40
Hydrograph No. 2, SCS Runoff, LOT 1- CONTROLLED	41
Hydrograph No. 3, SCS Runoff, LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED	42
Hydrograph No. 4, Reservoir, INFILTRATION PIT- 1	43
Hydrograph No. 5, SCS Runoff, LOT 2- CONTROLLED	44
Hydrograph No. 6, SCS Runoff, LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED	45
Hydrograph No. 7, Reservoir, INFILTRATION PIT- 2	46

50 - Year

ummary Report	47
lydrograph Reports	48
Hydrograph No. 1, SCS Runoff, PRE CONDITIONS	48
Hydrograph No. 2, SCS Runoff, LOT 1- CONTROLLED.	49
Hydrograph No. 3, SCS Runoff, LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED.	50
Hydrograph No. 4, Reservoir, INFILTRATION PIT- 1	51
Hydrograph No. 5, SCS Runoff, LOT 2- CONTROLLED	52
Hydrograph No. 6, SCS Runoff, LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED.	53
Hydrograph No. 7, Reservoir, INFILTRATION PIT- 2	54

100 - Year

Summary Report	55
Hydrograph Reports	56
Hydrograph No. 1, SCS Runoff, PRE CONDITIONS	56
Hydrograph No. 2, SCS Runoff, LOT 1- CONTROLLED	57
Hydrograph No. 3, SCS Runoff, LOT 1- NOT CONTROLLED	58
Hydrograph No. 4, Reservoir, INFILTRATION PIT- 1	59
Hydrograph No. 5, SCS Runoff, LOT 2- CONTROLLED	60
Hydrograph No. 6, SCS Runoff, LOT 2- NOT CONTROLLED	61
Hydrograph No. 7, Reservoir, INFILTRATION PIT- 2	62
IDF Report	63









Applicant Request for County Review

This request should be filled out by the applicant and submitted to the municipality where the application is being filed along with digital copies of all plan sets/information. Municipal staff will electronically file the application with the county, and a notice for the prompt payment of any fees will be emailed to the Applicant's Representative.



Date: 11/29/2024 Municipality: CONBHOHOCKEN BOROUGH Proposal Name: 440 EAST 9 HAVENUE Applicant Name: CATANIA CONSTRUCTION, UL Address: 4121 PILGRAM ROAD City/State/Zip: PCYMOUTH MEETINC P Phone: 4-84 - 368 - 7478 19482 Email: KCATANJA@ COMCAST. NET

Representative: MARK S. DANEK, ESCO.

Address: 100 FOUR FALLS COPPONATE CENTER - SUITE 1-210 City/State/Zip: W. CONSHOGOCKEN, PA 19428 Business Phone (required): 484 - 344 - 5429 Business Email (required):

Type of Review Requested:

(Check All Appropriate Boxes)

- Land Development Plan
- Subdivision Plan
- Residential Lot Line Change
- Nonresidential Lot Line Change
- Zoning Ordinance Amendment
- Zoning Map Amendment
- Subdivision Ordinance Amendment
- Curative Amendment
- Comprehensive / Other Plan

Conditional Use

□ Special Review*

*(Not included in any other category - includes parking lot or structures that are not associated with new building square footage)

Type of Plan:

Type of Submission: New Proposal

Tentative (Sketch) X Preliminary / Final

□ Resubmission*

* A proposal is NOT a resubmission if A) The proposed land use changes, or B) The amount of residential units or square footage proposed changes more than 40%, or C) The previous submission was over 5 years ago.

Zoning:

Existing District: BR-1

Special Exception Granted No Yes Yes Variance Granted No For

Plan Information:

Tax Parcel Number(s) 05-00-06904-00-3

Location 440 EAST 9TH AVENUE Nearest Cross Street PIGLITER STREET Total Tract Area 8,400 SF (0.1928 x.) Total Tract Area Impacted By Development 9,400 (If the development is a building expansion, or additional building on existing

development, or only impacts a portion of the tract, please provide a rough estimate of the land impacted, including associated yards, drives, and facilities.)

	Nun	mber of Senior New Housing		ior ising	Open Space Acres*	Nonresidential New	
Land Use(s)	Lots	Units	Yes	No			
Single-Family	2	1					
Townhouses/Twins							
Apartments							
Commercial							
Industrial							
Office		No.					
Institutional							
Other			a des				

*Only indicate Open Space if it will be on a separate lot or deed restricted with an easement shown on the plan

Additional Information:

VARIANCE ALREADY GRANTED FOR 30'WIDELOTS (2) IN-LITEU-OF 40'WIDE .



1-800-242-1776

				a state to the state of the sta
IMPERVIOUS CALCULA	TIONS - PROPOSE	D LOT 1		¹ Rer ¹ Re
PROPOSED HOUSE & PORC PROPOSED GARAGE PROPOSED WALKS PROPOSED PAVING	Η	1,020 SQ. 440 SQ. 208 SQ. I 100 SQ. I	FT. FT. FT. FT.	Liffle ark No No No No No No No No No No
TOTAL BUILDING COVERAG	E 1,46	60 SQ. FT. / 4,2	00 SF.= 34.8 %	CONSHOHOCKEN
TOTAL IMPERVIOUS	1,76	88 SQ. FT. / 4,2	200 SF.= 42.1 %	The The State of t
IMPERVIOUS CALCULA	TIONS - PROPOSE	D LOT 2		Angel Alley B E Hector St Spring Mill Ave E Hector St Spring Mill E Washington St Washington St
PROPOSED HOUSE & PORC PROPOSED GARAGE PROPOSED WALKS PROPOSED PAVING	Η	1,020 SQ. F 440 SQ. I 208 SQ. I 100 SQ. I	T. FT. FT. FT.	LOCATION MAP 1"=800'
TOTAL BUILDING COVERAG	E 1,46	60 SQ. FT. / 4,2	00 SF.= 34.8 %	I, KEITH CATANIA, BEING A MEMBER OF CATANIA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, A PENNSYLVANIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (THE "COMPANY") CERTIFY THAT THE COMPANY IS THE OWNER OF THE LAND DESIGNATED ON THIS PLAN AND THAT THE COMPANY DESIRES TO BE DULY RECORDED.
TOTAL IMPERVIOUS	1,76	8 SQ. FT. / 4,20	00 SF.= 42.1 %	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS DAY OF, 20 CATANIA CONSTRUCTION, LLC
				BY: KEITH CATANIA, MEMBER
ZONING REQUIR	EMENTS			COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA: COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY: ss
ZONED "BR1" - RESIDENTIA	AL - SINGLE-FAMILY DE	TACHED DWEL	LING	ON THIS THEDAY OF, 20, BEFORE ME, THE SUBSCRIBER, A NOTARY PUBLIC OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, PERSONALLY
I	REQUIRED PRO\ LOT	/IDED I F 1	PROVIDED LOT 2	APPEARED KEITH CATANIA, WHO ACKNOWLEDGED HIMSELF TO BE A MEMBER OF CATANIA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, A PENNSYLVANIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, AND THAT HE, AS SUCH MEMBER, BEING AUTHORIZED TO DO SO,
MINIMUM LOT AREA MINIMUM LOT WIDTH	4,000 SQ.FT. 4,20 40 FT. 30	00 SF FT.*	4,200 SF 30 FT.*	EXECUTED THIS PLAN ON BEHALF OF THE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY BY HIMSELF AS A MEMBER, THAT SAID LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY IS THE OWNER OF THE DESIGNATED LAND, AND THAT THE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY DESIRES THE FOREGOING PLAN TO BE DULY RECORDED.
MINIMUM SIDE YARD 25 MINIMUM SIDE YARD MINIMUM REAR YARD	2 @ 5' EACH 5.0' 2 @ 5' FT. 64	.0 F 1. ' & 5.0' ! FT.	23.0 FT. 5.0' & 5.0' 64 FT.	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HEREUNTO SET MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL.
MAXIMUM BUILDING HT MAXIMUM BLDG COVER. MAXIMUM IMPERV COVER.	35 FT. <3 35 % 34 60 % 42	з5 F Г. .8 % .1 %	<35 FT 34.8 % 42.1 %	NOTARY PUBLIC (SEAL) MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:
* VARIANCE GRANTED				
VARIANCE GRANTED				SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATION THIS IS TO STATE THAT THIS PLAN REPRESENTS A SURVEY PERFORMED BY
APPLICANT HAS BEEN GRANTED TO ALLOW A MINIMUM LOT WID THE REQUIRED 40' WIDE FOR A	A VARIANCE FROM SECTION TH OF 30' WIDE FOR EACH L SFDD.	N 27-1005.B. OT IN-LIEU OF		ME OR UNDER MY SUPERVISION, THAT ALL MONUMENTATION SHOWN THEREON EXIST AS LOCATED AND THAT THE DIMENSIONAL AND GEODETIC DETAILS ARE CORRECT.
				REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR
GENERAL NOTES 1. BOUNDARY AND TOPOGRAPHIC	SURVEY TAKEN FROM A SURVE	ΞY		REVIEWED BY CONSHOHOCKEN BOROUGH ENGINEER ON THIS DAY OF
PERFORMED BY GME ENGINEER 2. TOPOGRAPHY SURVEY BASED O RIM OF EXISTING SANITARY MAN	RING ON JULY 30, 2024. ON AN ASSUMED BENCHMARK. NHOLE IN ALLEY.			TOWNSHIP ENGINEER DATE
ASSUMED ELEVATION = 100.00 3. A PA-ONE CALL HAS BEEN PERF	ORMED BY THIS OFFICE ON 02/	18/22.		APPROVED BY THE BOROUGH COUNCIL OF CONSHOHOCKEN ON THIS DAY OF, 20
THE SERIAL NO. IS 2024-331236	9 PUBLIC WATER.			, 20
 LOTS ARE TO BE SERVICED BY F APPLICANT SHALL INSTALL SAN 	PUBLIC SEWER. TARY SEWER LATERALS AS PE	R BOROUGH		PRESIDENT
SEWER AUTHORITY AND BOROL 	CTRIC, TELEPHONE AND COMM IAL CONNECTION FROM THE NE	IUNICATION EW HOMES		SECRETARY
TO THE EXISTING OVERHEAD LI WITH THE PREVAILING STANDA COMPANIES PROVIDING SUCH S	NES ON E 9TH AVENUE IN ACCO RDS & PRACTICES OF THE UTIL SERVICE.	ORDANCE ITY AND OTHER		RECORDED THIS <u>DAY</u> OF <u>, 20</u> , IN T <u>HE</u> OFFICE FOR THE RECORDING OF DEEDS, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY IN NORRISTOWN, PENNSYL VANIA IN PLAN BOOK PAGE
8. SOILS ON THE SITE ARE TAKEN F 9. PROPERTY IS TAX BLOCK 052 - U 8,400 SQ. FT. (0.1928 AC.)	ROM THE NRCS WEBSOILSURV	YEY SITE. TAL LOT AREA IS		RECORDER
10. CURRENT OWNER IS: CATANIA CONSTRUCTIO 4171 PILGRAM ROAD	N, LLC			THIS IS TO STATE THAT THE LANDOWNER ACKNOWLEDGES THAT THE
PLYMOUTH MEETING, P/ 11. THE PERMANENT REMOVAL OF PROHIBITED.	A 19462 TOPSOIL FROM LAND WITHIN TI	HE BOROUGH IS		STORMWATER BMPs ARE FIXTURES THAT CAN BE ALTERED OR REMOVED ONLY AFTER APPROVAL BY THE TOWNSHIP. (BMP – SEEPAGE TRENCH UNDER DRIVEWAYS)
12. THE PERMIT HOLDER WILL NOT INSPECTIONS AT LEAST 48 HO INITIAL INSPECTION, ROUGH G STRUCTURES AND FINAL INSP	FY THE BOROUGH ENGINEER II URS BEFORE THE INSPECTION RADING, DRAINAGE FACILITIES ECTION.	N ORDER TO OBTAI IS TO BE MADE FOI , BMP'S, SPECIAL	N R	LANDOWNER/APPLICANT
13. THE APPLICANT IS REQUIRED TO WITH THE TOWNSHIP SHADE TO CONSTRUCTION AND EXISTING	D SCHEDULE A PRE-CONSTRUC REE COMMISSION PRIOR TO ST TREES (3) ON-SITE ARE TO DEV	CTION CONFERENC ART OF MAIN AND SHALL P	E	0′ 20′ 40′ 60′
PROTECTED WITH FENCING DU ARE PROPOSED ALONG THE S	IRING CONSTRUCTION. TWO(2)	STREET TREES	-	
			APPLICANT: KEITH CATANIA 4121 PILGRAM ROAD PLYMOUTH MEETING, PA 19462 484–368–7478	PREPARED FOR: CATANIA CONSTRUCTION, LLC 440 EAST 9TH AVENUE CONSHOHOCKEN BOROUGH, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA
D BY	PROPERTY ADDRESS: 440 EAST 9TH AVE			RECORD PLAN
IN G CODE.	TAX PARCEL NO. 05–00–06904–00–3			BORUSIEWICZ
DIRECTOR	CONSHOHOCKEN BORC BLOCK 052 – UNIT 0 DB 6169–PAGE 1312) 131		SURVEYORS AND SITE PLANNERS 718 GRAVEL PIKE COLLEGEVILLE, PA 19426
		J		Image: Source

PROPOSED HOUSE & PORCH PROPOSED GARAGE PROPOSED WALKS PROPOSED PAVING	
TOTAL BUILDING COVERAGE	1,4

				Cheful nor contract in the second in the sec
MPERVIOUS CALCUL	ATIONS - PROF	POSED LOT 1		Science of the log
PROPOSED HOUSE & POR	СН	1,020 \$ 440 \$	SQ. FT. SQ. FT.	And
PROPOSED WALKS PROPOSED PAVING		208 S 100 S	Q. FT. Q. FT.	Cliffe ark Web Web Web St C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
OTAL BUILDING COVERA	GE	1,460 SQ. FT. /	4,200 SF.= 34.8 %	CONSHOHOCKEN
OTAL IMPERVIOUS		1,768 SQ. FT. /	4,200 SF.= 42.1 %	La the second se
MPERVIOUS CALCUL	ATIONS - PROF	POSED LOT 2		Angel Alley B E Hector St Spring Mill Alley E Elm St E Elm St
PROPOSED HOUSE & POR	СН	1.020 SC	9. FT.	h St II washingura Schuylkill Expy 70
PROPOSED GARAGE 440 SQ. FT. PROPOSED WALKS 208 SQ. FT. PROPOSED PAVING 100 SQ. FT.				LOCATION MAP 1"=800'
OTAL BUILDING COVERA	GE	1,460 SQ. FT. /	4,200 SF.= 34.8 %	I, KEITH CATANIA, BEING A MEMBER OF CATANIA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, A PENNSYLVANIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (THE "COMPANY") CERTIFY THAT THE COMPANY IS THE OWNER OF THE LAND DESIGNATED ON THIS BLAN AND THAT THE COMPANY DESIDES TO BE DUI Y DECORDED
OTAL IMPERVIOUS		1,768 SQ. FT. /	4,200 SF.= 42.1 %	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS DAY OF, 20
				BY: KEITH CATANIA, MEMBER
ZONING DEOLID				COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA: COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY: ss
ZONED "BR1" - RESIDENT	K<i>EIVIEINIS</i> Tal - Single-Famil	Y DETACHED D	WELLING	ON THIS THE DAY OF , 20 , BEFORE ME, THE SUBSCRIBER, A
	REQUIRED	PROVIDED	PROVIDED	NOTARY PUBLIC OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, PERSONALLY APPEARED KEITH CATANIA, WHO ACKNOWLEDGED HIMSELF TO BE A MEMBER OF CATANIA CONSTRUCTION, LLC, A PENNSYLVANIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,
	4 000 00 FT		4 000 05	AND THAT HE, AS SUCH MEMBER, BEING AUTHORIZED TO DO SO, EXECUTED THIS PLAN ON BEHALF OF THE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY BY
MINIMUM LOT AREA MINIMUM LOT WIDTH	4,000 SQ.FT. 40 FT.	4,200 SF 30 FT.*	4,200 SF 30 FT.*	HIMSELF AS A MEMBER, THAT SAID LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY IS THE OWNER OF THE DESIGNATED LAND, AND THAT THE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY DESIRES THE FOREGOING PLAN TO BE DULY RECORDED.
MINIMUM FRONT YARD 2 MINIMUM SIDE YARD MINIMUM REAR YARD	2 @ 5' EACH 2 0 5' FT.	. 25.0 FT. 5.0' & 5.0' 64 FT.	25.0 FT. 5.0' & 5.0' 64 FT.	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HEREUNTO SET MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL.
MAXIMUM BUILDING HT MAXIMUM BLDG COVER.	35 FT. 35 %	<35 FT. 34.8 %	<35 FT 34.8 %	NOTARY PUBLIC (SEAL)
* VARIANCE GRANTED	κ. ου <i>γ</i> ε	42.1 /0	42.1 /0	
VARIANCE GRANTED				SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATION
APPLICANT HAS BEEN GRANTE	ED A VARIANCE FROM S	ECTION 27-1005.B.		THIS IS TO STATE THAT THIS PLAN REPRESENTS A SURVEY PERFORMED BY ME OR UNDER MY SUPERVISION, THAT ALL MONUMENTATION SHOWN THEREON EXIST AS LOCATED AND THAT THE DIMENSIONAL AND GEODETIC DETAILS ARE
TO ALLOW A MINIMUM LOT W THE REQUIRED 40' WIDE FOF	IDTH OF 30' WIDE FOR R A SFDD.	EACH LOT IN-LIEU O	F	CORRECT.
				REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR
GENERAL NOTES 1. BOUNDARY AND TOPOGRAPH	IC SURVEY TAKEN FROM A	A SURVEY		REVIEWED BY CONSHOHOCKEN BOROUGH ENGINEER ON THIS DAY OF
PERFORMED BY GME ENGINE 2. TOPOGRAPHY SURVEY BASEL BIM OF EXISTING SANITARY M	ERING ON JULY 30, 2024. O ON AN ASSUMED BENCH	MARK.		TOWNSHIP ENGINEER
ASSUMED ELEVATION = 100.0 3. A PA-ONE CALL HAS BEEN PEI	0 RFORMED BY THIS OFFICE	ON 02/18/22.		APPROVED BY THE BOROUGH COUNCIL OF CONSHOHOCKEN ON THIS DAY OF 20
4. LOTS ARE TO BE SERVICED B	Y PUBLIC WATER.			
5. LOTS ARE TO BE SERVICED B	Y PUBLIC SEWER.			PRESIDENT
 6. APPLICANT SHALL INSTALL SA SEWER AUTHORITY AND BOR 7. APPLICANT SHALL PROVIDE E 	NUTARY SEWER LATERAL OUGH STANDARDS. LECTRIC, TELEPHONE ANI	D COMMUNICATION		SECRETARY
SERVICE FACILITIES BY AN AI TO THE EXISTING OVERHEAD WITH THE PREVAILING STAND COMPANIES PROVIDING SUC	ERIAL CONNECTION FROM LINES ON E 9TH AVENUE DARDS & PRACTICES OF T H SERVICE.	I THE NEW HOMES IN ACCORDANCE HE UTILITY AND OTHEI	٦	RECORDED THIS <u>DAY</u> OF <u>, 20</u> , IN <u>THE</u> OFFICE FOR THE RECORDING OF
8. SOILS ON THE SITE ARE TAKE	N FROM THE NRCS WEBSC		-	PLAN BOOK PAGE .
9. PROPERTY IS TAX BLOCK 052 - 8,400 SQ. FT. (0.1928 AC.) 10. CURRENT OWNER IS:	UNIT 031, 050 & 063 AND ⁻	TOTAL LOT AREA	IS	KEUUKUEK
CATANIA CONSTRUCT 4171 PILGRAM ROAD PLYMOUTH MEETING,	ION, LLC PA 19462			THIS IS TO STATE THAT THE LANDOWNER ACKNOWLEDGES THAT THE STORMWATER BMPs ARE FIXTURES THAT CAN BE ALTERED OR REMOVED
11. THE PERMANENT REMOVAL C PROHIBITED.	OF TOPSOIL FROM LAND W	ITHIN THE BOROUGH	S	UNLY AFIER APPROVAL BY THE TOWNSHIP. (BMP - SEEPAGE TRENCH UNDER DRIVEWAYS)
12. THE PERMIT HOLDER WILL NO INSPECTIONS AT LEAST 48 H INITIAL INSPECTION, ROUGH STRUCTURES AND FINAL INS	DTIFY THE BOROUGH ENG HOURS BEFORE THE INSP I GRADING, DRAINAGE FA SPECTION.	INEER IN ORDER TO O ECTION IS TO BE MADE CILITIES, BMP'S, SPECI	BTAIN E FOR AL	LANDOWNER/APPLICANT
13. THE APPLICANT IS REQUIRED WITH THE TOWNSHIP SHADE CONSTRUCTION. ALL EXISTIN PROTECTED WITH FENCING	TO SCHEDULE A PRE-CO TREE COMMISSION PRIO NG TREES (3) ON-SITE ARE DURING CONSTRUCTION.	NSTRUCTION CONFER R TO START OF TO REMAIN AND SHA TWO(2) STREET TREE	ENCE LL BE S	0' 20' 40' 60'
ARE PROPOSED ALONG THE	SIREEI.			
			KEITH CATANIA 4121 PILGRAM ROAD PLYMOUTH MEETING, PA 19462 484–368–7478	CATANIA CONSTRUCTION, LLC 440 EAST 9TH AVENUE
	PROPERTY ADDRE	ESS:		RECORD PIAN
BY	TAX PARCEL NO.			
	05-00-06904-0	00-3		BORUSIEWICZ
RECTOR	CONSHOHOCKEN BLOCK 052 - U DB 6169-PAGE	BURU NIT 031 1312		SURVEYORS AND SITE PLANNERS 718 GRAVEL PIKE COLLEGEVILLE, PA 19426
L				EMAIL TBORUSIEWICZ@AOL.COM

PROCESSED AND REVIEWED REPORT PREPARED MONTGOMERY COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION I ACCORDANCE WITH THE MUNICIPALITY PLANNING

FOR THE

MONTGOMERY COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION MONTGOMERY COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION NO.

 FILE NO.:
 BA2661
 DATE:
 11/26/2024
 SCALE:
 1 INCH
 = 20 FEET
 SHELT NO.:
 1 OF 5



THE STORM SEEPAGE BEDS SHALL BE INSPECTED SEMI-ANNUALLY, AFTER SIGNIFICANT RAINFALL EVENTS, OR AS NEEDED TO ASCERTAIN IF ANY SEDIMENT IS ENTERING THE SYSTEM. IF SEDIMENT IS ACCUMULATING ON THE FLOOR OF THE YARD DRAINS, IT SHALL BE CAREFULLY REMOVED AND PLACED ELSEWHERE ON THE SITE AND IMMEDIATELY STABILIZED WITH PERMANENT STABILIZATION MEASURES. REMOVE ANY ACCUMULATED DEBRIS THAT MAY BE CLOGGING THE DOWN SPOUT GOING INTO THE SEEPAGE BEDS. ANY BARE SPOTS SHALL BE REPAIRED IMMEDIATELY WITH PERMANENT VEGETATION. ALL GUTTERS SHALL BE EQUIPPED WITH LEAF GUARDS OR CLEANED AS REQUIRED. ALL ROOF PIPING SHALL BE INSPECTED SEMI-ANNUALLY, AFTER SIGNIFICANT RAINFALL EVENTS, OR



FILE

-669-4	4917	WHILEMARSH	I TOWNSHIP, MONTGON	MERT COUNTY, PA
		GRADIN	G/IMPROVEM	ENTS PLAN
			BORUS	SIEWICZ
			SURVEYORS ANI) SITE PLANNERS
			718 GRA COLLEGEVILL	VEL PIKE E, PA 19426
			610-941-7181	EMAIL TBORUSIEWICZ@AOL.COM
NO.:	BA2661	DATE: 11/26/2024	SCALE: 1 INCH = 20 FEE	T SHEET NO.: 2 OF 5







PLANT LIST						
CODE	QTY.	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	SIZE		
TREES						
AR	2	ACER RUBRUM	RED MAPLE	3 1/2" CAL.		

PLANTING NOTES: 1. ALL EXISTING VEGETATION IS TO BE PROTECTED FROM DAMAGE UNLESS THEY ARE LABELED "TO BE REMOVED". PROVIDE, INSTALL AND MAINTAIN WOODCHIP TREE ROOT PROTECTION AND TREE PROTECTION FENCING AT A MINIMUM OF 15' FROM CANOPY/DRIPLINE, AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS AND DETAILS FOR THE LIFE OF THE WORK OR UNTIL EQUIPMENT ACCESS IS NO LONGER NEEDED. REPAIR OR REPLACE DAMAGED MATERIALS WITH COMPARABLE MATERIALS AS DETERMINED BY LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT, AT NO EXPENSE TO THE OWNER. 2. CONTRACTOR TO CALL PA ONE CALL PRIOR TO ANY SITE DISTURBANCE. CONTRACTOR IS LIABLE FOR DAMAGE TO ANY AND ALL PUBLIC AND PRIVATE UTILITIES, WATER AND SEWER LINES. TREES SHALL

BE LOCATED A MINIMUM OF TEN (10) FEET FROM UTILITY LINES. 3. ALL PLANT MATERIAL SHALL CONFORM TO THE STANDARDS OF NURSERY STOCK OF THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF NURSERYMEN AND AS PER CURRENT EDITION OF ANSI Z60.1, AMERICAN STANDARD FOR NURSERY STOCK. 4. THE LANDSCAPE CONTRACTOR SHALL WARRANTY ALL PLANT MATERIAL

FOR A PERIOD OF TWO (2) FULL YEARS AFTER THE DATE OF ACCEPTANCE. UNSATISFACTORY PLANT MATERIAL (IN THE OPINION OF THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT) SHALL BE REPLACED WITH THE SAME SIZE AND SPECIES AT NO COST TO THE OWNER. 5. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE LANDSCAPE CONTRACTOR TO ADEQUATELY AND PROPERLY MAINTAIN THE LANDSCAPED AREAS

DURING THE WARRANTY PERIOD, WHICH RESPONSIBILITY SHALL INCLUDE WATERING, CLEANING OF WEEDS AND DEBRIS, PRUNING AND TRIMMING, REPLACEMENT OF DEAD OR DISEASED PLANTINGS, AND FERTILIZING TO MAINTAIN HEALTHY GROWTH. 6. NO SUBSTITUTIONS OF PLANT MATERIAL SHALL BE PERMITTED WITHOUT

WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION OF THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT OR HIS REPRESENTATIVE. THIS SHALL APPLY TO SUBSTITUTIONS OF SPECIES, SIZE AND QUANTITY.

7. PLACE TOPSOIL TO A DEPTH OF 6" AT LAWNS AND 12" AT PLANT BEDS, AS NEEDED TO BRING LAWNS AND PLANTING BEDS TO THE GRADES AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS. TILL AND RAKE TO A SMOOTH, EVEN GRADE CONFORMING TO A DEPTH OF 18" AT THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE GRADING PLANS. REMOVE ROCKS, STONES AND DEBRIS WITH DIMENSION EXCEEDING 3/4 INCH. PROVIDE AND INCORPORATE ORGANIC MATTER THROUGHOUT PRIOR TO PLANTING. NO TOPSOIL IS TO BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE.

8. ALL LANDSCAPE AREAS TO BE MULCHED WITH 3" OF TRIPLE-SHREDDED BARK MULCH, NOT TO COME INTO CONTACT WITH THE STEMS OR TRUNKS OF THE PLANTS. 9. ALL DISTURBED AREAS NOT OTHERWISE PLANTED ARE TO BE SEEDED

WITH THE FOLLOWING SEED MIXTURE: 60% IMPROVED KENTUCKY BLUEGRASS VARIETIES (PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 2 AND A MAXIMUM OF 5 VARIETIES, WITH NO MORE THAN 50). 25% IMPROVED CHEWINGS TYPE FESCUE (PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 1 AND A MAXIMUM OF 3 VARIETIES). 15% IMPROVED PERENNIAL RYEGRASS VARIETIES (PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 1 AND A MAXIMUM OF 3 VARIETIES). CONTRACTOR IS TO VERIFY SEEDING QUANTITY PRIOR TO BID.

10. THE LANDSCAPE CONTRACTOR SHALL STAKEOUT PLANT LOCATIONS IN THE FIELD, INCLUDING ALL TREES AND MARK THE OUTLINES OF SHRUB BEDS AND MAJOR PLANTS. THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT OR HIS REPRESENTATIVE SHALL OBSERVE THESE LOCATIONS FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO PLANTING. THE LANDSCAPE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAKE ANY ADJUSTMENTS AS REQUESTED BY THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT. NOTIFY THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT AT LEAST THREE (3) BUSINESS DAYS IN ADVANCE FOR REVIEW OF STAKING. 11. NO DECIDUOUS SHADE TREES WILL BE LOCATED CLOSER THAN TEN

(10) FEET TO SANITARY SEWER OR WATER FACILITIES. 12. NO PLANTS, EXCEPT GROUND COVER SHALL BE PLANTED LESS THAN TWO (2) FEET FROM A STRUCTURE, WALK OR CURBLINE. 13. IF EXISTING TREES 6"+ IN CALIPER ARE REMOVED FROM THE SITE, REPLACEMENT TREES (MIN. 3.5" CAL.) MUST BE PROVIDED.

SOIL LEGEND:

SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL NAME & DESCRIPTION	DEPTH TO SEASONALLY HIGH WATER TABLE	DEPTH TO BEDROCK
UugD	URBAN LAND- UDORTHENTS SCHIST & GNEISS COMPLEX 8 TO 25 PERCENT SLOPES	60"	10" – 99"

NOTE: NO TREES TO BE REMOVED

20' 40'

60′

APPLICANT: KEITH CATANIA 4121 PILGRAM ROAD	PREPARED FOR: CATANIA CONSTRUCTION, LLC
19462 215–669–4917	WHITEMARSH TOWNSHIP, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA
	LANDSCAPING PLAN
	BORUSIEWICZ SURVEYORS AND SITE PLANNERS 718 GRAVEL PIKE COLLEGEVILLE, PA 19426 610-941-7181 EMAIL TBORUSIEWICZ@AOL.COM
FILE NO.: BA2661	DATE: 11/26/2024 SCALE: 1 INCH = 20 FEET SHEET NO.: 3 OF 5









UugD

60"

10" — 99"



NOTE:

& REMOVED



EXISTING DWELLING, PORCHES & GAR EXISTING WALKS & STEPS

TOTAL EXISTING BUILDING COVERAG

TOTAL EXISTING IMPERVIOUS COVER

GENERAL NOTES

- 1. BOUNDARY INFORMATION TAKEN FROM DEEDS OF RECORD.
- 2. PROPERTY IS TAX BLOCK 052 UNIT 031 AND THE TOTAL LOT AREA IS 8,400 SQ. FT. (0.1928 AC.)
- 3. CURRENT OWNER IS:

CATANIA CONSTRUCTION

SERIAL NO. 2024-3312363 Design stage only



CALL BEFORE YOU DIG PENNSYLVANIA LAW REQUIRES 5 WORKING DAYS NOTICE FOR NSTRUCTION PHASE AND WORKING DAYS IN DESIGN STAGE — STOP AND CALL

nnsylvania One Call System, Inc **1—800—242—1776**

PROPERTY ADDRESS: 440 EAST 9TH AVE TAX PARCEL NO. 05-00-06904-00-3 CONSHOHOCKEN BORO BLOCK 052 - UNIT 031 DB 6169-PAGE 1312

RAGE	1,455 SQ. FT. 326 SQ. FT.
Ε	1,455 SQ. FT. / 8,400 SF.= 17.3 %
RAGE	1,781 SQ. FT. / 8,400 SF.= 21.2 %





AERIAL PHOTO



Catania Construction, LLC – 440 East 9th Avenue

Waiver Request List:

1. Applicant is requesting a partial waiver from Section 22-306.A.(1) showing existing improvements within 100 feet of the site subject to the Applicant providing such information deemed necessary by the Borough Engineer. The Plans do show improvements 40' +/- beyond the property. The Applicant has provided an Aerial Photo to show improvements 100' from the site.

2. Applicant is requesting a waiver from Section 22-308.C. to permit a simultaneous submission, review, and approval of preliminary and final Land Development.

3. Applicant is requesting a waiver from Section 22-409.2. to permit grading within the 3' of the property lines and right-of-ways.

4. Applicant is requesting a waiver from Section 22-804 from the required dedication of park or recreational facilities/land. The Applicant will provide a fee-in-lieu-of.

5. The Applicant is requesting a waiver of Section 22-421.1 that requires that the Landscape Plan to be sealed by a Landscape Architect. Applicant is only providing two proposed Street Trees.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN ZONING HEARING BOARD APPLICATION Z-2024-17 APPLICATION/APPEAL OF CATANIA CONSTRUCTION 440 EAST NINTH AVENUE DECISION OF THE BOARD

I. <u>HISTORY</u>

On or about June 14, 2024, Catania Construction ("Applicant") filed an Application for relief from the Conshohocken Borough Zoning Ordinance of 2001 (together with all amendments thereto, the "Ordinance") for the property located at 440 East Ninth Avenue ("Subject Property"). The Subject Property is located in the BR-1 – Borough Residential District 1 and subject to the HRC – Historic Residential Conservation Overlay District.

The Applicants' request for relief included the following:

 A variance from the terms of Section 27-1005.B of the Ordinance to permit a lot width of 30 feet for a proposed 2-lot subdivision of two (2) single-family detached dwellings where a minimum lot width of 40 feet is required for a single-family detached dwelling.

After notice was duly given and advertised, a hearing was held on the Application on July 15, 2024. At the hearing, the following Exhibits were introduced and admitted:

P-1 Zoning Application

P-2 Deed

- P-3 Sketch Plan dated June 12, 2024
- P-4 Five (5) Aerial Photographs
- P-5 Zoning Determination Letter
- A-1 Petition in Support

Applicant was represented by Mark S. Danek, Esquire.

No member of the public requested or was granted party status.

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

- The Subject Property is located at 440 East Ninth Avenue, Conshohocken, Pennsylvania.
- The Subject Property is zoned BR-1 Borough Residential District
 1 and subject to the HRC Historic Residential Conservation
 Overlay District.
- 3. The Zoning Hearing Board ("Board") met all of the requirements of the Ordinance and the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code ("MPC") as to the requisite Legal Notice of the Hearing.
- 4. Applicant has standing to proceed with the Application.
- 5. At the hearing, Keith Catania offered the following testimony:
 - a. Mr. Catania is the owner of Catania Construction.
 - b. Applicant purchased the Subject Property on June 3, 2024.
 - c. The Subject Property is currently improved with a dilapidated dwelling.

- d. Applicant proposes to subdivide the Subject Property into two(2) lots to construct two (2) single-family detached dwellings.
- e. Each proposed dwelling would be 20 feet wide and 45 feet deep.
- f. Each dwelling would have a front porch and a rear deck.
- g. Each dwelling would be served by a 20-foot by 22 foot detached garage taking access from a rear alley.
- h. The Subject Property is particularly deep.
- i. Each proposed lot, while narrow, would provide a lot area in excess of the minimum requirement.
- j. A replacement dwelling for the currently-dilapidated house would either be more expensive than the market would support or significantly larger than surrounding dwellings to the point that the dwelling would be out-of-character with the neighborhood.
- k. Both dwellings will be sold and not maintained as rentals.
- Elizabeth Sadler, 453 East Ninth Avenue, provided comment in support of the Application.

III. **DISCUSSION**

Section 27-1005.B of the Ordinance states: "The minimum lot width shall be 40 feet for single-family detached dwellings and 25 feet for singlefamily semidetached dwellings per individual unit."

In a request for a variance, the Board is guided by Section 910.2 of the MPC. An applicant for a variance has the burden of establishing that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance will result in an unnecessary hardship as that term is defined by law, including court decisions, and that the allowance of the variance will not be contrary to the public interest. Section 910.2 of the MPC permits the Board to grant a variance where it is alleged that the provisions of the Ordinance inflict unnecessary hardship upon the applicant and when the Board can make certain prescribed findings where relevant in a given case.

An "unnecessary hardship is established by evidence that: (1) the physical features of the property are such that it cannot be used for a permitted purpose; or (2) the property can be conformed for a permitted use only at a prohibitive expense; or (3) the property has no value for any purpose permitted by the zoning ordinance." <u>Hertzberg v. Zoning Board of Adjustment of the City of Pittsburgh</u>, 721 A.2d 43, 47 (Pa. 1998)(internal citations omitted). Our Supreme Court has emphasized that "the hardship must truly be an unnecessary one unique or peculiar to the property, and not simply a mere hardship." <u>Kneebone v. Zoning Hearing Bd. of Twp. of Plainfield</u>, 276 A.3d 705, 718 (Pa. 2022). However, an applicant seeking a variance need not demonstrate

that the property is rendered valueless as zoned in order to show that a variance is needed to make reasonable use of the property. <u>Valley View Civic Ass'n v. Zoning Bd. of Adjustment</u>, 462 A.2d 637, 640 (Pa. 1983). "While an unnecessary hardship can be established by demonstrating that the hardship falls squarely within one of these three categories, in practice the evidence presented often does not fit neatly in one category or another but overlaps." <u>Nowicki v. Zoning Hearing Bd. of Borough of Monaca</u>, 91 A.3d 287, 292 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2014).

The party seeking the variance carries the burden of proving that an unnecessary hardship will result if the requested relief is denied. <u>Valley View</u> <u>Civic Ass'n v. Zoning Bd. of Adjustment</u>, 462 A.2d 637, 640 (Pa. 1983). This burden upon a landowner requesting a variance is a heavy one. <u>Williams v.</u> <u>Salem Twp.</u>, 500 A.2d 933, 937 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 1985) (*appeal denied*, 531 A.2d 781 (Pa. 1987)).

However, the Application requests a variance that is dimensional in nature. When seeking a dimensional variance within a permitted use, the owner is asking only for a reasonable adjustment of the zoning regulations in order to utilize the property in a manner consistent with the applicable regulations. <u>Hertzberg</u>, 721 A.2d at 47. Thus, the grant of a dimensional variance is of lesser moment than the grant of a use variance, since the latter involves a proposal to use the property in a manner that is wholly outside the zoning regulation. <u>Id.</u>

IV. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

From the facts presented, it is the judgment of the Board that the Applicants have proven an unnecessary hardship unique or peculiar to the Subject Property and that the variance is not contrary to the public interest. Accordingly, the Board is able to make the following relevant findings under Section 910.2 of the MPC:

- 1. That there are unique physical circumstances or conditions, including irregularity, narrowness or shallowness of lot size or shape, or exceptional topographical or other physical conditions peculiar to the Subject Property, and that an unnecessary hardship is created due to such condition;
- 2. The Subject Property cannot be developed in strict conformity with the provisions of the Ordinance and that the authorization for a variance is therefore necessary to enable the reasonable use of the Subject Property;
- 3. That the variance will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood or district in which the Subject Property is located, will not substantially or permanently impair the appropriate use or development of the adjacent property, or will not be detrimental to the public welfare;
- 4. That the alleged hardship has not been created by the Applicants;
- 5. That the variance represents the minimum that will afford relief and the least modification possible.

<u>ORDER</u>

AND NOW, this 29th day of August, 2024, the Application of Catania Construction, seeking a variance from the terms of Section 27-1005.B of the Conshohocken Borough Zoning Ordinance of 2001, to permit a lot width of 30 feet for a single-family detached dwelling, is **GRANTED** in conformance with the plans, testimony, and other evidence presented to the Zoning Hearing Board and with the **CONDITION** that documentation of structural deficiency be provided to the Conshohocken Borough Building Code Official for approval or Conditional Use approval be obtained to permit demolition of the existing single-family detached dwelling.

The Applicants are directed to apply to the Borough Zoning Officer to obtain any appropriate permits.

THE RELIEF GRANTED HEREIN SHALL EXPIRE IF THE APPLICANT FAILS TO OBTAIN PERMITS WITHIN ONE (1) YEAR OF THE DATE OF THIS DECISION.

CONSHOHOCKEN BOROUGH ZONING HEARING BOARD

/s/ Richard Barton

Richard Barton, Chair

/s/ Marlowe Doman

Marlowe Doman

<u>/s/ Tyler Dunphy</u> Tyler Dunphy

/s/ David Bria

David Bria

/s/ Dennis Fay

Dennis Fay

I, Gregory R. Heleniak, the Solicitor of the Borough of Conshohocken Zoning Hearing Board, hereby certify that each member of said Board has read and approved this written opinion, which accurately reflects the actions and vote by said Board at its July 15, 2024, hearing in this matter. Said Board members have consented to their signatures to be affixed to this Decision as above.

A copy of this Decision and

U.S. Mail to all parties on:

August 29, 2024

Order was sent via e-mail or

Gregory R. Heleniak, Esquire

{01842900;v1}



December 20, 2024

File No. 24-01278

Stephanie Cecco, Borough Manager Borough of Conshohocken 400 Fayette Street, Suite 200 Conshohocken, PA 19428

Reference: 440 East 9th Avenue – LD 2024-07 TMP #05-00-06904-00-3 Minor Subdivision and Preliminary/Final Land Development

Dear Ms. Cecco:

Pursuant to the Borough's request, Gilmore & Associates, Inc. has reviewed the submission for Minor Subdivision and Preliminary/Final Land Development for the above-referenced project. Upon review we offer the following comments for consideration by the Conshohocken Borough Council:

I. <u>Submission</u>

- A. Plans, consisting of sheets 1 through 5 of 5, dated November 26, 2024, as prepared by Borusiewicz Surveyors and Site Planners for 440 East 9th Avenue
- B. Drainage Report dated November 28, 2024, as prepared by GME Engineering for 440 E. 9th Avenue
- II. Project Description

The subject property, Tax Map Parcel number 05-00-06904-00-3, is situated in the BR-1 Borough Residential One Zoning District on the northern side of East 9th Avenue between Righter Street and Jones Street. The site is 8,400 square feet (approximately 0.193 acres) and current contains a 2-story 920 square-foot footprint dwelling with related accessory structures and rear access to a paved 20 foot wide alley right-of-way. All existing improvements are to be removed.

The Applicant is proposing to subdivide the lot equally into two 4,200 square foot parcels. The Applicant was granted a variance by the Zoning Hearing Board to permit lot widths of 30 feet for the proposed single-family detached dwellings. Each lot is to be improved with a 900 square foot footprint dwelling with a 120 square foot covered front porch, 160 square foot wooden deck, and a 440 square foot two-car garage with paved access to the alley. Each lot would include a seepage bed, which are proposed to discharge through curb cuts onto East 9th Avenue, to address stormwater management. Proposed public improvements include replacing the concrete sidewalk along the property frontage and two street trees.

III. <u>Review Comments</u>

A. Zoning Ordinance

We defer all comments with respect to the Conshohocken Borough Zoning Ordinance, including the Zoning Hearing Board Order, to the Borough's Zoning Officer.

B. Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance

We offer the following comments with respect to the Borough of Conshohocken Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance:

65 East Butler Avenue | Suite 100 | New Britain, PA 18901 | Phone: 215-345-4330 | Fax: 215-345-8606

- 1. <u>§22-304.B.(8)</u> The Zoning Requirements table on the Record Plan, Sheet 1, shall be revised to include the existing conditions.
- <u>§22-306.A.(1)</u> The Applicant has requested a waiver from showing existing features within 100 feet of the site subject to the Applicant providing information deemed necessary by our office. We support this waiver conditioned upon the Applicant incorporating an aerial photograph plan of sufficient detail into the plan set which depicts the existing features within 100 feet of the subject property.
- 3. <u>§22-306.A.(2)(h)&(k)</u> Existing and proposed utilities, including water, sewer, gas, and electric mains and services, shall be added to the plans.
- 4. <u>§22-308.C</u> The Applicant has requested a waiver to permit only one submission for Preliminary and Final land development approval.
- 5. <u>§22-404.1.A</u> A waiver would be required to permit the existing paved alley width to remain instead of providing the required minimum 15 foot paved width. Based on the existing approximately 14 foot paved width of the alley, we would support this waiver.
- 6. <u>§22-404.2.D</u> Additional information shall be provided regarding the proposed driveway and garage elevations to confirm that positive drainage will be provided around the garage structure.
- 7. <u>§22-404.3.F.(6)</u> Since the required parking spaces are proposed to be located in the garages, we recommend the deeds require reserving at least the 18 feet by 18 feet area required for the parking spaces for parking only (e.g. not for storage use), subject to approval by the Borough Solicitor.
- 8. <u>§22-405.2</u> The curb along the site frontage shall be removed and replaced due to the deteriorating condition and anticipated utility service connections.
- 9. <u>§22-409.1</u> The proposed grading shown on the Grading/Improvements Plan, Sheet 2, shall be revised to comply with the requirements of this section. This includes slopes greater than 3:1 being vegetated with low maintenance ground covers, shrubs and other plant material and slopes in excess of 2:1 being permanently stabilized with appropriate erosion control techniques and/or retaining walls.
- 10. <u>§22-409.2</u> The Applicant has requested waiver to permit the proposed grading within three feet of the property lines, which we support to permit connection into existing grades.
- 11. <u>§22-417</u> The Erosion Control Plan, Sheet 4, shall be revised to address the following comments regarding the proposed erosion and sediment controls:
 - a. The proposed Limit of Disturbance shall be outlined and the associated area indicated on the plan.
 - b. A construction sequence for the seepage beds shall be provided.
 - c. The seepage beds shall be protected from sedimentation and compaction during the construction phase to maintain maximum infiltration capacity. Barriers, such as orange construction fencing, should be used to protect the seepage beds.
- 12. <u>§22-421.1</u> The Applicant has requested a waiver from the requirement that the landscape plan be prepared by a registered landscape architect since only two (2) street trees are proposed.
- 13. <u>§22-421.4</u> This section requires shade trees be planted no more than 30 feet apart at a minimum distance of five (5) feet inside the lot lines. Though the two (2) required street trees are shown on the Landscaping Plan, Sheet 3, the separation is greater than 30 feet and it is unclear whether the proposed locations would interfere with the installation and maintenance of utility services. Additional information shall be provided to clarify whether a waiver is required.

- 14. <u>§22-804</u> The Applicant has requested a waiver to permit a fee in-lieu-of dedicating ten percent (10%) of the total site area to the Borough for park and recreational use.
- C. Stormwater Management Ordinance

We offer the following comments with respect to the Borough of Conshohocken Stormwater Management Ordinance and the Drainage requirements in the Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance:

- 1. <u>§19-303</u> Volume control calculations shall be added to the Drainage Report to confirm the requirements of this section have been met.
- 2. <u>§19-401.1.D</u> The stormwater management site plan signature blocks required by this section shall be added to the Grading/Improvements Plan, Sheet 2.
- <u>§19-401.1.E.(7)</u> The Stone Ballast Seepage Bed Detail on the Grading/Improvements Plan, Sheet 2, shall be revised to include, at a minimum, lid and invert elevations, overall seepage bed dimensions, top and bottom of stone elevations, and inverts for the 30 inch pipe for each seepage bed or the Proposed Drainage Plan shall be incorporated into the plan set.
- 4. <u>§19-702</u> Information shall be provided to clarify whether a sump pump is proposed since sump pumps shall extend under the sidewalk to the gutter. The roof drains and any sump pumps shall be provided with a solid lid cleanout between the contributing building and the right-of-way. Also, the proposed length of the square steel channel shall be revised to extend through the curb.
- 5. <u>§22-410.3.B.(5)</u> The Proposed Drainage Area plan shall be revised to identify the areas being captured by the proposed seepage beds. Also, pdf copies of the Existing and Proposed Drainage Area plans shall be included in future submissions.
- 6. <u>§22-410.3.B.(7)</u> The proposed roof drain layout and connections to the proposed seepage beds shall be added to the plans, including the proposed material, diameter, slopes, and invert elevations.
- 7. <u>§22-410.3.B.(10)</u> The Pond Report calculations shall be revised to reduce the pipe barrel lengths to match the proposed plans.
- 8. <u>§22-410.3.B.(11)</u> The labeled Righter Street right-of-way width on the Existing and Proposed Drainage Area plans shall be revised to 66 feet.
- 9. <u>§22-410.5.A.(2)</u> The proposed storage facility shall be designed to meet the requirements of providing storage volume of no less than the total additional volume of runoff based on a 100-year frequency 24-hour duration storm plus an additional storage allowance of 218 cubic feet to compensate for sediment accumulation.
- 10. <u>§22-410.5.A.(5)</u> The Stone Ballast Seepage Bed Detail on the Grading/Improvements Plan, Sheet 2, shall be revised indicate the maximum 100-year surface elevation.
- 11. <u>§22-410.5.A.(8)</u> Dewatering calculations shall be provided to show that the seepage beds will dewater in less than 72 hours.
- 12. <u>§22-410.5.C.(1)(d)</u> The infiltration rates used for design purposes shall be revised to apply a minimum safety factor of two (e.g. maximum of 0.62 inches/hour for Lot 1 and 0.43 inches/hours for Lot 2).
- <u>§22-410.5.C.(6)</u> The layout of the proposed seepage beds shall be revised to provide a minimum 10 feet of undisturbed fill or compacted impermeable material from the foundation walls of both the dwellings and garages.

14. <u>§22-410.5.D.(3)</u> – The proposed grading and seepage bed designs shall be revised to provide a minimum of one foot of cover, measured from the stop of stone to the finished surface elevation.

D. General Comments

We offer the following general comments:

- 1. The Applicant shall obtain all required approvals, permits, etc. (e.g. Fire Marshal, Conshohocken Borough Sewer Authority, MCPC, MCCD, PADEP, Aqua, etc.). Copies of these approvals and permits shall be submitted to the Borough of Conshohocken and our office.
- 2. General Note 1 on the Record Plan, Sheet 1, and General Note 1 on the Existing Conditions & Demolition Plan, Sheet 5, shall be clarified since they provide conflicting information regarding the source of the property boundary.
- 3. References to Units 50 and 63 in General Note 9 shall be clarified; General Note 13 shall be removed; and the deed book information updated on the Record Plan, Sheet 1.
- 4. The Concrete Walk Detail on the Grading/Improvement Plan, Sheet 2, shall be replaced with the Borough's standard detail. Also, the Borough's standard concrete curb/gutter and roadway restoration detail shall be added to the plans.
- 5. The Borough's Standard Notes and a note stating any existing features damaged during construction shall be replaced by the Owner at no cost to the Borough shall be added to the plans.
- 6. References to Township shall be replaced with Borough and references to Whitemarsh shall be replaced with Conshohocken.
- 7. Legal descriptions for the proposed lots shall be provided to our office for review.

If you have any questions regarding the above, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

Karen M. MacNair, P.E. Borough Engineer Gilmore & Associates, Inc.

KMM/ddr/

cc: Brittany Rogers, Executive Assistant Ray Sokolowski, Director of Public Services and Building Code Official Michael E. Peters, Esq., Borough Solicitor



BOROUGH OF CONSHOHOCKEN

Office of the Borough Manager

MEMORANDUM

Date: December 20, 2024

To: Stephanie Cecco, Borough Manager

- From: Tim Gunning, Fire Marshal Chris Small, Director of Licenses and Inspections
- Re: 440 East 9th Ave Prelim-Final Minor Subdivision and Land Development 12.2.2024 Submission (Original Submission)

As requested, the following materials were submitted for the above references land development proposal were reviewed:

• Plans, consisting of sheets 1 through 5 of 5, dated November 26, 2024, as prepared by Borusiewicz Surveyors and Site Planners for 440 East 9th Avenue

Upon review of the submitted plans, we have no comments.

BOROUGH COUNCIL Tina Sokolowski, President Kathleen Kingsley, Vice-President Anita Barton, Senior Member Alan Chmielewski, Member

<u>MAYOR</u> Yaniv Aronson

Alan Chmielewski, Memb Stacy Ellam, Member Ralph Frey, Member Adrian Serna, Member

> Stephanie Cecco Borough Manager

MONTGOMERY COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

JAMILA H. WINDER, CHAIR NEIL K. MAKHIJA, VICE CHAIR THOMAS DIBELLO, COMMISSIONER

WWW.MONTGOMERYCOUNTYPA.GOV



MONTGOMERY COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

Montgomery County • PO Box 311 Norristown, Pa 19404-0311

610-278-3722 PLANNING@MONTGOMERYCOUNTYPA.GOV

> SCOTT FRANCE, AICP EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

January 3, 2025

Stephanie Cecco, Borough Manager Borough of Conshohocken 400 Fayette Street, Suite 200 Conshohocken, Pennsylvania 19428

Re: MCPC #24-0268-001
Plan Name: 440 East 9th Avenue
2 lots/ 2 DU's comprising 0.192 acre (8400 sf)
Situate: 440 East 9th Avenue
Borough of Conshohocken

Dear Ms. Cecco:

We have reviewed the above-referenced subdivision and land development plan in accordance with Section 504 of Act 247, "The Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code," as you requested in an electronic submission received in this office on December 4, 2024. We forward this letter as a report of our review.

BACKGROUND

The applicant, Catania Construction, of Plymouth Meeting, PA has submitted for review a subdivision and land development plan proposing the subdivision of a tract into 2 new lots, and the construction of two single-family detached dwellings on two lots. The two-story dwellings have front porches, rear decks and rear garages with access available from the alley connecting to Righter Street. The plan shows the demolition of an existing dwelling and other structures on the lot, and the preservation and protection of an existing 26" tree (unknown species). The development tract is Tax Parcel 05-00-06904-0-3 that lies in the BR-1 Borough Residential District One and is subject to the HRC-Historic Residential Conservation Overlay District.

The BR-1 District requires a minimum lot area of 4,000 square feet and a minimum lot width of 40 feet. On August 29, 2024, the Conshohocken Zoning Hearing Board granted the applicant a variance from the required minimum lot width; the plan proposes a lot width of 30ft. for both lots.

Comprehensive Plan Compliance

The redevelopment of this property is consistent with the goals and intent of *MONTCO 2040: A Shared Vison*, the Montgomery County Comprehensive Plan, 2015. The Future Land Use Map designates this developed area of the borough as 'Village-Residential'. The development of a small lot, single-family residential home supports the future land use vision. The development plan appears generally consistent with the Conshohocken Borough Comprehensive Plan Update, 2018. It supports residential infill development in the community, provided the new residential units respect the existing character of the neighborhood.

RECOMMENDATION

The Montgomery County Planning Commission (MCPC) generally supports the applicant's proposal, however in the course of our review we have identified the issue of soil disturbance within the tree protection zone of a tree designated for preservation, which we believe the borough should consider in its assessment of the applicant's proposal.

REVIEW COMMENTS

Proposed Grading Within the Tree Protection Zone on Lot #1

The applicant's Erosion Control Plan shows a tree protection zone (TPZ) for a 26" tree on Lot #1's property boundary and the proposed tree protection fence for the tree. The plan shows a grade change within the TPZ of over 1 ½ ft. of 'cut'. The plan shows the proposed 100' contour in the tree protection zone and within 3 ft. of the center of tree trunk and the 102' contour. The proposed grading change with over **1** foot of soil removed within the dripline of an established large tree is detrimental to the tree's health and longevity. According to International Society of Arboriculture, ninety-five percent of the tree's living roots are in the upper 24" of the soil and leaving this area undisturbed is critical for the tree. We recommend the grading plan be modified to respect the integrity of the tree protection zone and ensure that no soil is disturbed in the TPZ.

CONCLUSION

We recommend the borough address the above tree-protection comment to its satisfaction. Please note that the review comments and recommendation contained in this report are advisory to the municipality and final disposition for the approval of any proposal will be made by the municipality.

The MCPC number #24-0268-001 has been set aside for the applicant's plan. If any subsequent plans are submitted for final recording, this MCPC number should appear on the applicant sheets within the plans in the box reserved for the seal of this agency.

Should the governing body approve a final plat of this proposal, the applicant must present the plan to our office for seal and signature prior to recording with the Recorder of Deeds office. A paper copy bearing the municipal seal and signature of approval must be supplied for our files.

Sincerely,

Bang W. Jeffies

Barry W Jeffries, ASLA, Senior Design Planner bjeffrie@montcopa.org - 610-278-3444

c: Keith Catania/Catania Construction, LLC, Applicant Mark Danek, Applicant's Representative Chair, Conshohocken Borough Planning Commission



440 East Ninth Avenue MCPC#240268001 Montgomery D 50 100 County Planning Commission Morganey Counts and Planning Conversion Pol bia 318 Anotaena Planning Conversion Pol bia 318 Anotaena Planning Pol bia 318 Anotaena Planning Averal photography provided by Nearnag



- 5 -





December 30, 2024

BCONS 24033

Stephanie Cecco, Borough Manager Conshohocken Borough 400 Fayette Street, Suite 200 Conshohocken, PA 19428

RE: 440 E. 9th Avenue – Preliminary/Final Land Development Application Traffic Engineering Review (1st Submission)

Dear Ms. Cecco:

As requested, we reviewed the following in connection with the referenced project:

• *"Proposed Two-Lot Minor Subdivision,"* (5 sheets) as prepared by Borusiewicz Surveyors and Site Planners, dated November 26, 2024, for the Applicant, Catania Construction, LLC.

The applicant is proposing to demolish the existing 880 SF two (2)-story single-family detached dwelling and detached garage on the subject parcel, subdivide the existing parcel into two (2) lots, and construct new residential single-family detached dwellings and detached garages on each newly subdivided lot. We offer the following comments for consideration:

- 1. §27-824 Traffic Impact Study In accordance with this section of the code, a Traffic Impact Study is technically not required.
- §22-404(1)(A) Alleys, Driveways, and Parking Areas In accordance with this section of the code, the existing residential alley should be improved to provide a minimum paved surface of 15' along the property frontage.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

PENNONI ASSOCIATES INC.

Bein R. Keanney

Brian R. Keaveney, PE, PTOE Transportation Division

cc: Ray Sokolowski, Executive Director of Operations Brittany Rogers, Executive Assistant to the Borough Manager Karen MacNair, PE, Borough Engineer Michael E. Peters, Esq., Borough Solicitor Allison A. Lee, PE, Zoning Officer



December 23, 2024

BCONS 24033

Stephanie Cecco, Borough Manager Conshohocken Borough 400 Fayette Street, Suite 200 Conshohocken, PA 19428

RE: 440 E. 9th Avenue – Preliminary/Final Land Development Application Zoning LD Review (1st Submission)

Dear Ms. Cecco:

As requested, we reviewed the following in connection with the referenced project:

• *"Proposed Two-Lot Minor Subdivision,"* (5 sheets) as prepared by Borusiewicz Surveyors and Site Planners, dated November 26, 2024, for the Applicant, Catania Construction, LLC.

The applicant, Catania Construction, LLC, proposes to:

- demolish the existing 880 SF two (2)-story single-family detached dwelling and detached garage on the subject parcel;
- subdivide the existing 60' wide by 140' long (or 8,400 SF) subject parcel into two (2) lots, with each lot comprised of a 30' wide by 140' long (or 4,200 SF) lot size; and,
- construct new residential single-family detached dwellings and detached garages on each newly subdivided lot.

The site is located within the BR-1 – Borough Residential District 1 and subject to the HRC – Historical Residential Conservation Overlay District. The site is fronted by East 9th Avenue (80' right-of-way) to the west; a twenty (20') wide unnamed alley to the east; and residential properties also located within the BR-1 zoning district to the north and south.

ZONING VARIANCE RECEIVED

The Applicant appeared before the Zoning Hearing Board at the regularly scheduled meeting held on July 15, 2024 under zoning application no. Z-2024-17. The Applicant was granted zoning relief for the following section of the Borough Zoning Ordinance per the Zoning Hearing Board Decision and Order dated August 29, 2024:

• **§27-1005.B** – To permit a lot width of 30 feet for a single-family detached dwelling

The zoning variance was granted based in conformance with the plans, testimony, and other evidence present to the Zoning Hearing Board and with the condition that documentation of structural deficiency be provided to the Conshohocken Borough Building Code Official for approval or Conditional Use approval be obtained to permit demolition of the existing detached dwelling.

Per Section §27-1902-C, a historic single-family detached dwelling is defined as "a dwelling designed for and occupied exclusively as a residence for only one family and not attached to any other building or dwelling unit, which was constructed more than 50 years ago." In addition, demolition is defined as "the complete removal of a structure or a scope of construction (alteration, addition, renovation or reconstruction) of a structure where only the foundation of the original structure remains."

Per the Montgomery County property records, the existing single-family detached dwelling was constructed in 1925 (99 years ago) which is greater than 50 years old and therefore is subject to the code provisions of Part 19-C of the HRC – Historic Residential Conservation Overlay District, specifically, Section §27-1905-C for demolition of the existing historic single-family detached dwellings. The Applicant had submitted a certified structural deficiency report dated July 22, 2024 as prepared by Oliver Structural Engineering to the Borough. The structural deficiency report was approved by the Conshohocken Borough building code official on July 26, 2024 for the proposed demolition of the existing single-family detached dwelling.

ZONING COMMENTS

- Per §27-811.C.(1) & (2) Size Limit for Accessory Buildings Any freestanding building used for an accessory use shall not exceed 350 square feet in area or 15 feet in height if the structure has a peak roof or 10 feet in height if it has a flat roof. Notwithstanding the provisions of Subsection C(1), a private garage designated solely for the parking of vehicles shall be permitted to be a maximum of 450 square feet in area, provided the following criteria are met:
 - (a) The purpose of the expanded size for garages is to permit adequate space for the parking of at least two vehicles. Use of the garage shall be limited to the parking of vehicles.
 - (b) The garage shall comply with the height limitations of Subsection C(1).

The Applicant shall clarify the proposed roofing type and height of the detached garages on the new lots to ensure compliance with the above code section since the detached garages are not shown on the architectural drawings nor indicated on the land development plans. A note shall be provided on the plans denoting the proposed garage roof type and height for each new lot.

Per §27-816.I - Electric, Diesel, Gas or Other Power - Every use requiring power shall be so
operated that the service lines, substation, etc., shall conform to the most acceptable safety
requirements recognized by the Pennsylvania Bureau of Labor and Industry and shall be so
constructed, installed, etc., to be an integral part of the architectural features of the plant, or if
visible from abutting residential properties shall be concealed by coniferous planting.

The Applicant shall clarify and show if there are any mechanical equipment such as AC units, condensers, etc. proposed for each dwelling and if these units will be installed outside of the dwelling shall be adequately screened from the abutting residential properties.

3. **Per §27-818 – Water Supply and Sewage Disposal -** All development in the Borough shall be served by public water supply and sewage disposal facilities.

The Applicant is showing the location of the existing electric and gas services for the existing dwelling to be demolished and source of these utility services not shown on the plans. No other existing utilities was clearly identified on the site nor shown within the right-of-way. The Applicant shall clarify and show the location of the existing utilities currently servicing the site and the proposed utilities to service each new lot on the plans.
4. **Per §27-821.A to E – Lighting -** No lighting of private property shall be permitted that shall cause a hazard or a nuisance to abutting roads and properties, according to the following regulations:

The Applicant shall clarify if any exterior lighting is proposed for each new dwelling. If exterior lighting is proposed, the Applicant shall be required to comply with the code provisions of this Code Section, as well as, provide a lighting plan indicating the location, mounting height, fixture type, wattage and isolux diagram (plotted on the site plan) for all proposed light fixtures with no exterior lighting levels in excess of 0.25 footcandles at a lot line abutting a residential property.

5. **Per §27-1005.C – Front Yard Setback -** The front yard setback shall be 25 feet, to be measured from the ultimate right-of-way line; except where there is an established building line, then the building line of the majority of the buildings on that side of the block shall be used.

The Applicant is showing a dimension of 25 feet on the plans, measured from the right-of-way line to the front façade of the front porch. The Applicant shall clarify by labeling the 25 feet dimension as the front yard setback on the plans.

6. Per §27-1005.D – Rear Yard Setback - The minimum rear yard setback shall be 25 feet.

The Applicant is showing a 64 feet dimension from the rear façade of proposed dwelling to the rear lot line. The Applicant shall also show and label the 25 feet rear yard setback line on the plans.

 Per §27-1005.E – Side Yard Setback - The minimum side yard setback shall be five feet for each side; semidetached dwellings shall have a five-foot side yard setback for the side not sharing a common wall.

The Applicant is showing a 5 feet dimension from the side lot lines to the side façades of proposed dwelling. The Applicant shall clarify by labeling the 5 feet side yard setback line on the plans.

8. Per §27-1005.G & §27-811.C.(3) – Maximum Impervious Coverage - The maximum impervious coverage shall not exceed 60% of the lot area. A maximum of two permanent rear off-street parking spaces per single-family dwelling measuring nine feet by 18 feet may be excluded from the impervious coverage calculation. Such spaces will be subject to review by the Borough Engineer to determine that there are no adverse effects related to drainage and stormwater management. The cost of the engineering review will be borne by the homeowner. Impervious coverage for private garages shall be subject to the provisions of §27-811.C. Provided the requirements of § 27-811.C, subsections C.(2)(a) and C.(2)(b) of this section are met, the additional 100 square feet (or increment thereof) permitted by Subsection C.(2) shall be excluded from the applicable impervious surface coverage and building coverage requirements.

The Applicant shall clarify the proposed roof type and height of the detached garages on the plans for each new lot to ensure compliance with §27-811.C.(1) & (2).

The Applicant has provided a building coverage and impervious coverage calculations on the Record Plan (Sheet 1 of 5). If the proposed garages comply with the accessory building requirements under Section §27-811.C.(1) & (2), a portion of the proposed two (2)-car garage square footage may be excluded from the total applicable building and impervious coverages for each lot as provided in the above code provisions.

Proposed Building Coverage:					
Front porch = 6' x 20'	=	120	SF		
New dwelling = [(20' x 45') + (1.5' x 9.5')	=	913.5	SF		
New garage = 20' x 22'	=	440	SF		
<u>Garage allowance = 440 SF – 350 SF</u>	=	- 90	<u>SF</u>		
Total Proposed Building Coverage	= 1	,383.5	SF / 4,2	00 SF = 3	32.9 %
Proposed Impervious Coverage:					
Proposed Building Coverage	=	1,383	.5 SF		
New walkways = 4' x (25' + 27')) =	208	SF		
New paving = 5' x 30'	=	150	SF		
Imper. allowance = 440 SF - [(2) 9'x18' SF]	=	116	SF		
Total Proposed Impervious Coverage	=	1,857.	5 SF/4	4,200 SF	= 44.2%

Both the proposed 32.9% building and 44.2% impervious coverages for the site will be in compliance with the permitted maximum 35% building and maximum 60% impervious coverages, respectively. The Applicant shall clarify, confirm, and update the proposed building and impervious calculations and coverages on the plans accordingly.

GENERAL ZONING COMMENTS

- 9. The Applicant shall expand the "Zoning Requirements" table on Sheet 1 of 5 to include a column to show the existing conditions.
- 10. The Applicant is indicating a proposed building height of less than 35 feet in the "Zoning Requirements" table on Sheet 1 of 5. Based on the architectural plans, the average building height shown is 28'. The Applicant shall clarify, confirm, and provide the actual proposed building height in the "Zoning Requirements" table.
- 11. The Applicant shall include reference to the "HRC Historic Residential Conservation Overlay District" under the "Zoning Requirements" table on Sheet 1 of 5 in addition to reference to the BR-1 zoning district.
- 12. The Applicant shall include a note on the Existing Conditions and Demolition Plan (Sheet 5 of 5) to reference the certified structural deficiency report dated July 22, 2024 as prepared by Oliver Structural Engineering.
- 13. The Applicant shall show the required yard setback lines on the existing and proposed site plans.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Allisin A. Lee

Allison A. Lee, PE Zoning Officer **PENNONI ASSOCIATES INC.**

cc: Brittany Rogers, Executive Assistant to the Borough Manager Ray Sokolowski, Executive Director of Operations Karen MacNair, PE, Borough Engineer Michael E. Peters, Esq., Borough Solicitor

U:\ACCOUNTS\BCONS\BCONS24033 - ZONING LD REVIEW Û 440 E. 9TH AVE\DOCUMENTS\440 E. 9TH AVE ZONING LD REVIEW 12.23.24.DOCX